Musculoskeletal ultrasound in paediatric rheumatology: the Italian perspective

Sirs,

In recent years, musculoskeletal ultrasound (MSUS) has been regarded as the most suitable imaging tool to assess children with chronic inflammatory arthritis, owing to several advantages over other imaging modalities (1, 2). However, MSUS in children requires a steep, long-lasting learning curve, due to the peculiar changes of the immature skeleton and of the sonographic findings over time. Furthermore, thorough standardisation and validation in pediatrics are still under investigation (3-5). Among several collaborative initiatives teeming with these topics, the Italian Pediatric Ultrasound in RhEumatology (I-PURE) group, born in 2014, performed a survey to investigate the current use and the relevant topics of interest of MSUS among Italian paediatric rheumatologists.

The survey questionnaire was emailed in July 2015 to the listserve of the Italian Paediatric Rheumatology Study Group, was completed by each respondent through a web-based system in approximately 10-15 minutes, and explored: 1) demographic and professional data; 2) use of MSUS in daily practice; 3) rating (0 = not important at all; 5 = extremely important) the level of importance of applications of MSUS in clinical practice, and of different joints to investigate by MSUS; 4) rating (0-5) the level of importance of conventional radiology, MSUS and MR for the diagnosis and monitoring of JIA. Responses were analyzed by descriptive statistics. Respondents’ data were matched with the Pediatric Rheumatology International Trial Organization (PRINTO) Italian centres, available online at http://www.printo.it/pediatric-rheumatology/centi.asp?Lingua=Italy&Paese=Italy. No ethical approval was required.

Seventeen physicians out of 174 (10%) completed the survey. Fifty-three per cent of the respondents versus 14% of the non-respondents were part of the PRINTO network. Sixty-five per cent spent more than 75% of the working time with children affected by rheumatic diseases and almost all (94%) have been visiting them for more than 5 years, with 24% for more than 20 years. Thirteen respondents (76%) used MSUS in daily practice; three by themselves, whereas ten referring patients to radiologists (46%), adult rheumatologists (31%), paediatric rheumatologists (23%), or orthopaedic surgeons (8%) with experience in MSUS. The majority (82%) considered the ability of MSUS to assess active synovitis and to guide intraarticular corticosteroid injections as the most important clinical applications (score 4/5), followed by the support in monitoring the disease activity and in therapeutic decision-making. Fifteen respondents (88%) indicated the wrist, the ankle and the midfoot as the most relevant joints to investigate by MSUS (Fig. 1). MSUS was regarded as the most suitable imaging modality to diagnose (88%) and to monitor disease activity (94%) in JIA, compared to MR (75% and 9%, respectively) and conventional radiology (19% and 18%, respectively).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to assess the use and interest in MSUS in paediatric rheumatology. Very few performed MSUS assessments by themselves. MSUS was considered of high relevance in assessing active synovitis and guiding intraarticular corticosteroid injections, in particular for the evaluation of the wrist, the ankle and the midfoot joints, in line with previous studies (6-10). Our response rate was low, but still higher than a similar survey recently conducted in the Italian adult Rheumatology Units (10). The low diffusion of MSUS among Italian paediatric rheumatologists may be explained by the recent astounding interest in MSUS in children, compared to the well-established experience in adults. Furthermore, unlike adult rheumatologists’ training programmes, no formal training on MSUS is usually planned during the educational course of paediatric rheumatologists. Our survey suggests that suitable training and further research on MSUS in paediatric rheumatology are priorities for successfully running the path already experienced in adults.
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Fig. 1. Frequency (%) of respondents who rated the MSUS evaluation of a specific joint as very important or extremely important.
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