Inducing tissue specific tolerance in autoimmune disease with tolerogenic dendritic cells
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ABSTRACT

Current immunosuppressive therapy acts systemically, causing collateral damage and does not necessarily cope with the cause of rheumatoid arthritis. Tissue specific immune modulation may restore tolerance in patients with autoimmune diseases such as RA, but desires knowledge on relevant target autoantigens. We present the case of type 1 diabetes as prototype autoimmune disease with established autoantigens to set the stage for tissue-specific immune modulation using tolerogenic dendritic cells pulsed with autoantigen in RA. This approach induces autoantigen-specific regulatory T cells that exert their tissue-specific action through a combination of linked suppression and infectious tolerance, introducing a legacy of targeted, localised immune regulation in the proximity of the lesion. Several trials are in progress in RA employing various types of tolerogenic DCs. With knowledge on mode of action and confounding effects of concomitant immunosuppressive therapy, this strategy may provide novel immune intervention that may also prevent RA in high-risk subjects.

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune disease which primarily affects the synovial joints. Nowadays disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) are commonly used in the clinic as therapy for disease management. Unfortunately, considerable numbers of patients do not achieve remission or (fully) respond to such treatment. Moreover, therapy with DMARDs or biologic immune suppressive drugs also entail undesirable effects such as infections (1, 2). This all emphasises the need for novel intervention therapies and ideally approaches that prevent or even cure RA. Knowledge in the field of RA is increasing, yet the precise aetiology and relevant autoantigens are not completely unravelled. The first aim is to understand the mechanism underlying loss of self-tolerance and disease maintenance. We will discuss the latest findings in autoimmune diseases and the trend towards tissue specific immune modulation with tolerogenic dendritic cells.

Loss of self-tolerance

Loss of self-tolerance is critical in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases such as RA. It delineates a state where the maintenance and control of autoreactive T and B cells is disrupted through breaching central or peripheral tolerance. Dendritic cells (DCs) play an important role in maintaining peripheral tolerance as well as inducing an immune response (3). Thymic medullary DCs present self-antigens to T cells, thereby mediating positive and negative selection to (high affinity) autoantigens expressed in the thymus. Native self-peptides binding with low affinity to MHC molecules remain invisible, or secludably contribute to shaping of the thymic T cell repertoire (4). In addition, the transcription factor autoimmune regulator (AIRE) regulates the thymic expression of antigens present in the periphery, and limited expression of tissue specific antigens in the thymus could contribute to incomplete negative selection (5). Consequently, the presence of autoreactive T cells in the circulation recognising low-affinity or non-thymically expressed self-peptides is unavoidable. Peripheral tolerance checkpoints outside the thymus are therefore necessary to secure self-tolerance (6).

Escape mechanisms revealed in type 1 diabetes

In type 1 diabetes (T1D) patients, T cells specific for intermediate to low-
affinity islet specific peptides were found, whereas these T cells were rarely found in control subjects (7, 8). Higher availability of low-affinity peptide epitopes in the periphery may be sufficient to activate T cells. While autoreactive T cells are often assumed reacting with high affinity binding peptides, we showed that low-affinity peptides should also be taken into consideration in the context of autoimmunity and lack of self-tolerance. Another finding is that T1D points to an alternative escape mechanism of central tolerance, i.e. post-transcriptional modification of tissue specific proteins, hence potentially affecting thymic negative selection. Here, expression of splice variants of an islet-specific gene, islet-specific glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic subunit-related protein (IGRP), differed between thymus versus pancreatic beta cells that was associated with lack of T-cell tolerance (9, 10). Finally, the finding that CD8 T cells, barely in contact with MHC and very low-affinity binding still have destructive abilities puts forward the need for efficient peripheral regulation of autoimmune response to resists the destructive responses (11).

**Post-translational modification as a mechanism of escaping central tolerance**

Post-translational modification of proteins in tissues may produce peptides with high affinity to MHC and enhances the risk of priming autoreactive T cells. Assuming that such modified antigens are not expressed in the thymus, the repertoire of T cells is not adjusted to tolerate these antigens. In RA, citrullinated antigens appeared an important group of autoantigens to which an autoimmune response is directed, as anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) are present in about 70% of RA patients (12). Citrullinated proteins are post-translationally modified through peptidyl arginine deiminase (PAD) which converts arginine to citrulline (Table I). Citrullination occurs physiologically under several conditions, including inflammation (13). Smoking is proposed to promote this form of post-translational modification and is an environmental risk factor for developing RA, especially for individuals with susceptible HLA-DRB1 alleles (14). RA shows a strong association with MHC molecules, in particular HLA-DRB1 with shared epitopes (SE), which also brings forward the important role of antigen presenting cells (APCs) in shaping and controlling autoimmune responses (15). Remarkably, susceptible HLA-DR4 molecule has a high affinity for citrullinated antigens. Indeed, RA-predisposing SE alleles act as immune response genes in the ACPA response, because they influence both the magnitude and the specificity of this RA-specific antibody response, whereas protection from ACPA is associated with protective HLA-DR13 (16, 17). When presented by inflammatory APCs, neo-epitopes generated from citrullinated proteins are proposed to elicit an immune response that results in joint inflammation. Indeed, citrullinated proteins are found abundantly in inflamed RA joints, but are not specific to RA (6). It is still unclear how a response to generally presented citrullinated proteins leads to localised joint inflammation. More recently in RA patients, antibodies against differential modified carbamylated proteins (anti-CarP antibodies) have been found. Here, the amino acid lysine is converted to homocitrulline by a chemical reaction. Anti-CarP antibodies form a distinct antibody cluster without overlap in recognition with citrullinated proteins (18).

Post-translational modification of autoantigens as a mechanism to generate novel auto antigens has been described in other inflammatory disorders and autoimmune diseases. For example, modified islet autoantigens are involved in autoimmune T1D. Autoreactive T cells against modified preproinsulin peptide have been found in T1D patients (19). In this study, the enzyme tissue transglutaminase, also involved in coeliac disease, alters preproinsulin by deamination, creating negatively charged peptides which binds with high affinity to the risk molecules HLA-DQ8 in T1D. In RA, citrullinated vimentin peptides have been identified as T cell epitopes in HLA-DR4-positive patients (20). To conclude, post-translational modification of antigens constitutes an important process involved in autoimmune diseases through generating high-affinity peptides in inflammation, which have not yet been presented to the immune system.

**Peripheral tolerance deficiencies in autoimmune diseases**

It is established that regulatory T cells (Tregs) are essential for maintaining peripheral tolerance and to prevent autoimmune diseases (21). Defective Treg function is found in patients with autoimmune diseases (22-25). However, effector T cells resistant to regulation have also been reported, implicating several mechanisms leading to impaired regulation (26). Tregs from peripheral blood in RA patients are functionally different than in healthy controls, failing to regulate pro-inflammatory cytokines released by effector T cells (27). Another study demonstrated both increased percentages and functionality of Tregs in RA synovial fluid, compared to peripheral blood. Here, the inadequate immune regulation seemed due to the impaired susceptibility of effector T cells. Even though some studies show effector T cells to be less susceptible to Treg suppressive functions, increasing Treg numbers does enhance regulation (28).

A therapeutic approach expanding Tregs involved in autoimmune regulation may restore tolerance in patients.

**The role of DCs in maintaining peripheral tolerance and inducing immune response**

Being the ultimate controllers of the immune response, we should take into account the essential involvement of DCs in maintaining peripheral tolerance. Immature DCs residing in peripheral tissues contribute to tolerogenicity and avoidance of destructive T cell autoreactivity through the induction of anergy and T cells secreting immunomodulatory cytokines (adaptive Tregs). On the other hand, these DCs mature upon sensing various danger signals in inflammatory milieu to activate naïve T cells thus losing their regulatory competences. Murine stud-
ies have demonstrated the central role of DCs in breaching self-tolerance and initiating RA (29). Two signals are required to activate T cells: presentation of antigen in MHC-peptide complex and activating co-stimulatory molecules. Additionally, cellular adhesion molecules and pro-inflammatory cytokines support effector T cell activation (30). DCs process and present yet unknown antigens to inflammatory T cells that activate B cells to produce ACPA (Fig. 1A). Also other cell types such as B cells might trigger autoimmunity since DC-less mice are able to break self-tolerance and develop fatal autoimmunity (31). For therapeutic purposes, it would be useful to prevent full maturation of peripheral DCs. Since this cannot easily be achieved in vivo, an alternative approach is to generate tolerogenic DCs with a stable semi-mature phenotype that present antigen in tolerogenic setting with inhibitory costimulus, MHC-peptide complex and anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β.

Joining fields of rheumatoid arthritis and type 1 diabetes

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a prototype tissue specific autoimmune disease sharing interesting features with RA. Yet, several differences are notable in the pathogenesis (Table I). The involvement of adaptive immune responses and presence of autoantibodies in patients with autoimmune diseases has been well established. ACPA show a strong association with RA and predict progression of joint damage in patients, supporting a predominant (pathogenic) role for autoantibodies in the disease pathogenesis (32). On the contrary, the relevance of antibodies in T1D appears less evident. Autoantibodies are not required for diabetes induction as shown in a patient with X-linked agammaglobulinemia, who developed T1D (33). Clinical remission of T1D in patients involved in immune intervention trials are rarely associated with changes in islet autoantibodies (34). It is, however, not yet excluded that autoantibodies could contribute to control of the inflammatory response in the pancreas, which is getting out of hand, and thus might be “smoke” rather than “fire” in T1D. Alternatively, islet autoantibodies are a bystander product of the autoimmunity. Second, while the role of CD8 T cells in RA is not evident, CD8 T cells are key players in T1D and destroy beta cells in the islets of Langerhans. Presence of beta cell-specific CD8 T cells has been demonstrated in human islets (35). Instead, the CD4 T cells are central in mediating RA and B cell activation, particularly Th type 1 and more recently discovered Th type 17 (14). The contribution of different effectors in RA compared to T1D implies diversity in autoimmune pathogenesis. Still, similar regulatory processes can be involved since Tregs affect micellaneous cells around APCs such as Th1, B and CD8 T cells. This immune regulation can be defective in autoimmune diseases, allowing development of reactivity to self-antigens (Chapter loss of self-tolerance). A remarkable similarity of RA and T1D is the association with particular HLA class II alleles. Individuals with HLA-DRB1 containing SE are at highest risk for developing RA, while in T1D the risk alleles are HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8. The existence of protective HLA alleles in RA and T1D (HLA-DRB1 containing DERAA and HLA-DQ-6, respectively) is also intriguing, however the mechanisms by which they protect in autoimmune diseases are not yet understood (36-38).

Heterogeneity of disease population

Disease heterogeneity has to be taken into account when considering therapy application to patients. Both RA and T1D involve heterogeneous populations: the clinical course can vary between patients, being that some patients show a mild disease course and others suffer fulminant autoimmune destruction resulting in rapid physical deterioration. Disparity in autoimmune pathogenesis has as consequence that patients do not respond uniformly to therapy. It is therefore difficult to predict effectiveness of a specific therapy in individual patients. Identifying immune signature associated with clinical benefit through biomarkers and distinguishing subpopulations, will aid assessment of new therapies and progressing towards personalised therapy. Islet transplantation in T1D has guided the discovery of several immune correlates of disease progression and intervention. Several accomplishments of immune monitoring have been achieved in T1D islet transplantation. For example, the presence of autoreactive CD8 and CD4 T cells before trans-

| Table I. Common features and differences in the immunopathogenesis of RA and T1D. |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Similarities | RA | T1D |
| Post-translational modification | PADI4 converting arginine to citrulline | Tissue-transglutaminase inducing deamidation of proinsulin |
| Impaired immune regulation | Defective Treg function and susceptibility Teff for regulation | Defective Treg function and susceptibility Teff for regulation |
| Genetic protection | HLA DRB1 containing DERAA | HLA DQ6 |
| Genetic risk predisposition | HLA DRB1 SE | HLA DQ 2 and 8 |

| Differences | RA | T1D |
| Relevant autoantigens | Citrullinated and carbamylated antigens | Beta-cell specific antigens |
| Environmental factors | Smoking | Unresolved |
| Trigger for loss of self-tolerance | Smoking, PTM | Unresolved |
| Role B and T-cells | Predominantly B cells and CD4 T cells | Predominantly CD8 T cells |
| Role autoantibodies | Most likely pathogenic | Not pathogenic |
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plantation have a high association with graft failure and can predict clinical outcome after transplantation (39). In RA, ACPA can be used to sub-classify patients in ACPA positive and negative. These subgroups display major differences in genetic risk predisposition, remission rates and response to treatment (40). Therefore, ACPA may act as a proper biomarker for a substantial group of RA patients, but it is necessary to define common features of ACPA negative patients which remains difficult. Anti-CarP antibodies are present in about 45% of RA patients and may be an additional entity to further classify RA patients (18, 32). The presence of varying autoantibodies suggests divergence of involved autoantigens in individual patients. Keeping in mind disease heterogeneity, especially when selecting target autoantigens, is imperative for tissue specific immune modulation.

Towards tissue specific immune modulation

Current treatments in RA and T1D are very dissimilar. (Table II) RA therapy is mainly focussed on restraining joint inflammation with immune suppressors to avoid pain and further damage. In T1D, we remain at insulin replacement treatment to control glucose balance, since autoimmune destruction of beta cells in the pancreas obliterates endogenous insulin production. Yet no therapy is used to intervene in the islet autoimmunity causing the disease. Using different approaches, the overarching goal symptomatic control in RA and T1D therapy is essentially the same. Therapy with tolerogenic semi-mature DCs, addressing the disordered balance in the immune system could be applicable in several autoimmune diseases and unites the fields of RA and T1D. When tolerance to self-antigens is lost, restoring the balance of the immune system to counteract autoimmune inflammation might be a solution. Even though understanding disease mechanisms in RA provided targeted immune therapies such as anti-TNF-α, these therapies still act as non-specific immune suppressors with the risk of infections. To induce tissue specific immune regulation, there are two requirements: (1) targeting of tissue specific antigens by using (2) anti-inflammatory adjuvants. With the concept that immature and semi-mature DCs have the ability to direct the immune system to a tolerant state, the development of

---

**Table II.** Comparison of currently available treatments and monitoring in RA and T1D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Similarities</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>T1D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>= Disease management as current goal of therapy</td>
<td>DMARD – control joint inflammation</td>
<td>Insulin – control glycaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= Disease heterogeneity</td>
<td>ACPA positive/negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differences</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>T1D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Use immune suppressive drugs</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Not applied as treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Role of anti-TNF</td>
<td>Beneficial</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Access to lesion/ imaging</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Problematic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Immune monitoring</td>
<td>Not yet available</td>
<td>Diab-Q-kit, Cytokine analysis (ELISA), Proliferation assay (LST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Proof of safety human vaccination autoantigens</td>
<td>Not yet determined</td>
<td>Safety proven (PI C19-A3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Definition clinical efficacy</td>
<td>Radiographic imaging</td>
<td>C-peptide level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in vitro tolerogenic DCs (tolDCs) has been explored for clinical application in autoimmunity.

Generation of tolDCs in vitro
Various methods generating different types of tolDCs in vitro were studied. Rapamycin, dexamethasone, vitamin A, vitamin D, IL-10 and growth factors such as G-CSF, VEGF, VIP and numerous others are known to induce tolDCs. These tolDCs resemble semi-mature DCs and require shared features, these include anti-inflammatory cytokine profiles (low IL-12 and high IL-10), resistance to maturation and induction of specific T-cell profiles. Stability of the tolerogenic phenotype of DCs and resistance to maturation is of crucial importance as tolDCs will be injected in human and flaring up of autoimmunity would be detrimental. TolDCs modulated with 1,25(OH)2 vitamin D3 (VitD3) alone or combined with dexamethasone preserve a stable regulatory phenotype in vivo upon restimulation with LPS, CD40-L and inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IL-β and PGE2) (30). Compared to VitD3 or dexamethasone alone, a combined treatment enhanced modulation regarding surface marker expression, inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokine production, and decrease of T cell stimulatory capacity (30, 41). Combined successive treatment with VitD3-dex is for these reasons an attractive modulating therapy to induce tolDCs.

TolDCs mechanisms of action
Tolerogenic DCs function through the induction and stimulation of antigen specific Tregs. Important for this process are programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) and membrane-bound TNF (42, 43). Tolerogenic DCs are capable of deleting T cells in an antigen-dependent manner and with co-ligation of PD-L1 (44). Cytotoxic CD8 T cells counter this effect by eliminating tolDCs (45). Membrane bound TNF, however, is involved in induction of Tregs and blocking of this molecule with adalimumab prevented generation of Tregs and their suppression of proliferation of CD4 T cells (43). The induced Tregs (iTregs) are expected to suppress autoreactive B and T cells and dampen the inflammatory reaction (Fig. 1B) and are, therefore, capable of targeting pathological response in both T1D and RA. Upon cognate interaction with DCs, iTregs stimulate the expression of regulatory receptors (ICOS-L and B7-H3) thereby altering the pro-inflammatory DC phenotype to anti-inflammatory, a mechanism called ‘infectious tolerance’. These modulated DCs can further prime IL-10 producing Tregs of different specificities co-presented with the iTreg antigen (46). Therefore, the suppressive effect of tolDCs is not limited to the specificity of the selected pulsed peptide, but spreads to other epitopes expressed in the proximity of the pro-inflammatory DC by linked suppression. Effector T cells with a different specificity than the iTregs are suppressed simultaneously, provided that their corresponding antigen is presented by the same antigen presenting cell (46). Finally, tolDC express CXCR3 on the surface, which facilitates the migration to the inflammatory lesion producing CXCL10 (47, 48). Taken together, therapy with pulsed tolDCs targets and localised immune regulation in vivo, via induction of tissue specific Tregs in the proximity of the lesion through infectious tolerance and linked suppression. These features render tolDCs ideal as intervention therapy for RA, possibly without a need for additional immune suppressive drugs.

Target autoantigens in RA and T1D
In contrast to RA, autoantigens involved in T1D pathogenesis that may act as targets for therapy are becoming established. A good candidate for therapy is proinsulin that is specifically expressed in beta cells and thus enables targeted tissue specific therapy. Intriguingly, newly diagnosed T1D patients elicit proinflammatory T-cell responses to a naturally processed and presented peptide fragment of proinsulin, C19-A3, whereas healthy HLA matched subjects respond by protective T-cells responses (IL-10) (49). Indeed, T1D patients responding by IL-10 producing T cells develop disease manifesting approximately 7 years later than those not producing IL-10. On this premise, a clinical trial with T1D patients is launched soon using generated tolDCs from autologous monocytes pulsed with proinsulin peptide C19-A3. This peptide has already been tested in humans and proven to be safe for clinical trials (50).

Defining similar target autoantigens is necessary to present tolerance specifically in inflamed joints. A diverse, and still growing list of citrullinated autoantigens are associated with RA such as vimentin, fibrinogen, collagen type II, α-elastase, clusterin, histones and PAD-4 (12, 40). However, most of these antigens exist in tissues throughout the body, rather than being restricted to joints, which may impair the ambition of achieving localised, tissue-specific therapy. Furthermore, RA patients show variable reactivity to autoantigens, further challenging the choice of target antigens for therapeutic application. Besides improving biomarkers to tackle this issue, an alternative readily available approach is the use of a mix of antigens. Yet, since tolDC were shown to act through linked suppression, it is not necessary to know all autoantigenic targets, provided that these occur in the proximity of the therapeutic antigen of choice, or indeed, on the same APC.

Safety of tolDC therapy
In RA, two trials with tolDCs are in progress: Rheumavax using a panel of four citrullinated peptides (vimentin, fibrinogen alpha and beta chain, collagen type II), and AUTODECRA with autologous synovial fluid (3, 51). Both trials assess safety of tolDC administration. In these trials, tolDC are attained by blocking NfKB (Rheumavax) or with dext-vitD3 (AUTODECRA), be it that the latter protocol primary modulates through dexamethasone, which may impair the capacity of tolDCs to induce antigen-specific Tregs (42). So far, intradermal injection of tolDC’s seems safe in terms of adverse effects such as allergic reactions, exacerbation of autoimmunity and proinflammatory immunity, as preliminary data of the Rheumavax trial and other trials in T1D indicate (12, 52). Yet, confounding effects of concomitant immune suppressive drugs...


24. TREE TI, LAWSON J, EDWARDS H et al.: Naturally arising human CD4 T cells that recognize islet autoantigens and secrete interleukin-10 regulate proinflammatory T-cell responses and how to battle these without taking a toll on the immune system controlling infections or tumours. Future research needs to reveal potent targets for tissue specific immune intervention and prevention therapy in RA as well as address the monitoring of immunological efficacy.

Key messages

1. Dendritic cells are essential in maintaining peripheral tolerance by inducing regulatory T cells;

2. Tissue specific regulatory T-cells can be induced that act through linked suppression and infectious tolerance;

3. Tolerogenic dendritic cell therapy appears a promising treatment for local and specific regulation of autoimmunity.
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Conclusion

We are now able to generate stable tolDCs that can be tailored to induce tissue specific tolerance. This innovation represents an attractive tool to attack the pathogenic source of both autoimmune diseases. The safety of administrating tolDC’s has been demonstrated in early tolDC trials in clinical autoimmunity, while the immunological and clinical efficacy needs to be established. Combining knowledge on autoimmune diseases such as T1D and RA helps us understand the common features of autoimmune responses and how to battle these without taking a toll on the immune system controlling infections or tumours. Future research needs to reveal potent targets for tissue specific immune intervention and prevention therapy in RA as well as address the monitoring of immunological efficacy.