

**Supplementary file 1. Details on search strategy and process.****Supplementary table S1.** Databases with corresponding search string.

Database	Search string
PubMed	(Sjögren's syndrome) OR Sjogren's syndrome [MeSH Terms] AND Capillaroscopy) OR capillaroscopy [MeSH Terms])
Web of Science	ALL=(Sjögren Syndrome) AND ALL=(capillaroscopy)
Embase	('Sjogren syndrome'/exp OR 'sjogren syndrome') AND ('capillaroscopy'/exp OR 'capillaroscopy') AND [humans]/lim AND [em base]/lim

ALL: all fields; MeSH: Medical Subject Headings; exp: explosion; lim: limited.

**Supplementary table S2.** Inclusion and exclusion criteria for title, abstract and full text selection.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Sjögren Syndrome	Other diseases ( <i>e.g.</i> rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, ...) or healthy subjects
Assessment of the microcirculation	Assessment of the macrocirculation
Structural assessment (i.e. qualitative, [semi-] quantitative) of the microcirculation at the nailfold	Functional assessment of the microcirculation ( <i>e.g.</i> thermography, laser techniques...)
Capillaroscopy as outcome measure	Other outcome measure such as fingers wounds, ulcers, etc...
Original research	Other studies such as reviews, opinions, conference papers, etc...
	Case reports or series under five patients
	Absence of abstract / full text
English written language	Non-English language

**Supplementary file 2. Study design and methodology.**

**Supplementary table S3.** Details on study design, methodology and population of the included nailfold capillaroscopy studies in SS.

Author, study design	Sample size	Classification criteria	Sex (M/F)	Age (years)	RP/ACA	Tool, magnification	N° of fingers/site
Ohtsuka <i>et al.</i> 1997 [1], case series	22	Daniels and Talal criteria [2]	0/22	52.1	NR	Microscope + videography	3 <sup>rd</sup> fingers
<i>Tektonidou et al. 1999 [3], case-control</i>	40	<i>1993 Diagnostic Criteria from the European Community for pSS [4]</i>	3/37	55	14 RP- 16 RP+ 10 ACA+	<i>Stereomicroscope</i>	<i>4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> fingers (capillary density), other parameters on 10 fingers</i>
Nagy <i>et al.</i> 2004 [5], cross-sectional	7	NR	0/7	47.3	NR	Stereomicroscope, 100X	NR
<i>Capobianco et al. 2005 [6], case-control study</i>	61	<i>1993 Diagnostic Criteria from the European Community for pSS [4]</i>	2/59	49.2	31 RP- 30 RP+ 1 ACA+	<i>Stereomicroscope, 6.5-65X</i>	<i>8 fingers (all except thumbs)</i>
Aguiar <i>et al.</i> 2006 [7], case-control	15	2001 Manthorpe criteria [8]	1/14	45.1	7 RP- 8 RP+	Microscope + videograph, 310X	4 <sup>th</sup> left finger
Szabo <i>et al.</i> 2008 [9], case-control	30	2002 AECG criteria [10]	1/29	57.2	14 RP- 16 RP+	NVC	4 <sup>th</sup> finger of non-dominant hand
Riccieri <i>et al.</i> 2009 [11], case series	66	2002 AECG criteria [10]	1/65	54.2	40 RP- 26 RP+	NVC	NR
<i>Pavlov-Dolijanovic et al. 2012 [12], prospective cohort study</i>	102	<i>2002 AECG criteria [10]</i>	NR	NR	102 RP+	<i>Stereomicroscope, 16X-100X</i>	<i>8 fingers (all except thumbs)</i>
<i>Baldini et al. 2013 [13], case series</i>	41	<i>2002 AECG criteria [10]</i>	<i>0/41*</i>	45	<i>0 RP- 41 RP+/ ACA+</i>	NR	NR
<i>Çakmakçı Karadoğan et al. 2015 [14], cross-sectional</i>	18	NR	2/16	56	18 RP-	<i>NVC, 16-100X</i>	<i>8 fingers (all except thumbs)</i>
<i>Corominas et al. 2015 [15], cross-sectional</i>	136	<i>2002 AECG criteria [10]</i>	<i>6/144**</i>	58	<i>92 RP- 44 RP+</i>	<i>NVC, 200X</i>	<i>8 fingers (all except thumbs)</i>
Shenavandeh <i>et al.</i> 2019 [16], cross-sectional	14	2016 ACR/EULAR criteria [17]	1/13	NR	NR	Stereomicroscope, 250X	NR
Van Roon <i>et al.</i> 2019, cross-sectional [18]	30	2002 AECG criteria [10]	NR	NR	NR	Stereomicroscope, 180X	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> fingers of both hands
<i>Bernardino et al. 2020, cross-sectional [19]</i>	15	<i>2016 ACR/EULAR criteria [17]</i>	<i>0/15</i>	49.5	<i>1 RP- 14 RP+</i>	<i>NVC, 200X</i>	<i>8 fingers (all except thumbs)</i>

ACA: anticentromere antibodies; ACR/EULAR: American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism; AECG: American and European Consensus Group criteria 2002; NVC: nailfold videocapillaroscopy; NR: not reported; pSS: primary Sjögren's syndrome RP: Raynaud's phenomenon; SS: Sjögren's syndrome.

*Only the studies in italic were considered for general conclusion-making (quality check score ≥5/7).*

\* All patients had overlap syndrome of SS and (early) systemic sclerosis (ACA+) \*\*A total of 150 SS patients underwent NC, 136 of which were diagnosed of SS according to the 2002 criteria of the American-European Consensus Group.

**Supplementary table S4.** Quality assessment of the included nailfold capillaroscopy studies.

Study	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Total score
Ohtsuka <i>et al.</i> 1997 [1]	0,5	1	1	0	0	1	0	3,5
Tektonidou <i>et al.</i> 1999 [3]	1	1	1	0,5	1	1	1	6,5
Nagy <i>et al.</i> 2004 [5]	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Capobianco <i>et al.</i> 2005 [6]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
Aguiar <i>et al.</i> 2006 [7]	1	1	1	0	1	0,5	0	4,5
Szabo <i>et al.</i> 2008 [9]	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	4
Riccieri <i>et al.</i> 2009 [11]	1	0	0	0,5	1	1	1	4,5
Pavlov-Dolijanovic <i>et al.</i> 2012 [12]	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	5
Baldini <i>et al.</i> 2013 [13]	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	5
Çakmakçı Karadoğan <i>et al.</i> 2015 [14]	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	6
Corominas <i>et al.</i> 2015 [15]	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	5
Shenavandeh <i>et al.</i> 2019 [16]	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	4
Van Roon <i>et al.</i> 2019 [18]	0,5	0	1	0	1	1	1	4,5
Bernardino <i>et al.</i> 2020 [19]	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	6
<b>Total score of all studies per quality criterion</b>	10	6	12	6	13	12	5	8

Only the studies in italic were considered for general conclusion-making (Quality check score  $\geq 5/7$ ).

Q1: Was the target population well described (fulfilment to defined classification criteria, disease duration, disease activity)?; Q2: Was a (healthy or not) control group present?; Q3: Was the used tool well described, including the used magnification, and adequate to evaluate the capillaroscopic characteristics?; Q4: Has the capillaroscopy been performed in a standardized way, being the inclusion of 8 fingers (all except for the thumbs)?; Q5: Was a clear description given of the capillaroscopic characteristics?; Q6: Was the correct statistics used (continuous vs dichotomous/ordinal/nominal scores)?; Q7: Otherwise good methods (no other flaws in the methodology)?

## References

- OHTSUKA T: Nailfold capillary abnormalities in patients with Sjögren's syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Br J Dermatol* 1997; 136: 94-6.
- TEKTONIDOU M, KASKANI E, SKOPOULI FN, MOUTSOPOULOS HM: Microvascular abnormalities in Sjögren's syndrome: nailfold capillaroscopy. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 1999; 38: 826-30.
- NAGY Z, CZIRJAK L: Nailfold digital capillaroscopy in 447 patients with connective tissue disease and Raynaud's disease. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2004; 18: 62-8.
- CAPOBIANCO KG, XAVIER RM, BREDEMEIER M, RESTELLI VG, BRENOL JC: Nailfold capillaroscopic findings in primary Sjögren's syndrome: clinical and serological correlations. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005; 23: 789-94.
- AGUIAR T, FURTADO E, DORIGO D, BOTTINO D, BOUSKELA E: Nailfold videocapillaroscopy in primary Sjögren's syndrome. *Angiology* 2006; 57: 593-9.
- SZABO N, CSIKI Z, SZANTO A, DANKO K, SZODORAY P, ZEHER M: Functional and morphological evaluation of hand microcirculation with nailfold capillaroscopy and laser Doppler imaging in Raynaud's and Sjögren's syndrome and poly/dermatomyositis. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2008; 37: 23-9.
- RICCIERI V, SCIARRA I, CECCARELLI F *et al.*: Nailfold capillaroscopy abnormalities are associated with the presence of anti-endothelial cell antibodies in Sjögren's syndrome. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2009; 48: 704-6.
- PAVLOV-DOLIJANOVIC S, DAMJANOV NS, STOJANOVIC RM, VUJASINOVIC STUPAR NZ, STANISAVLJEVIC DM: Scleroderma pattern of nailfold capillary changes as predictive value for the development of a connective tissue disease: a follow-up study of 3,029 patients with primary Raynaud's phenomenon. *Rheumatol Int* 2012; 32: 3039-45.
- BALDINI C, MOSCA M, DELLA ROSSA A *et al.*: Overlap of ACA-positive systemic sclerosis and Sjögren's syndrome: a distinct clinical entity with mild organ involvement but at high risk of lymphoma. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2013; 31: 272-80.
- CAKMAKCI KARADOGAN D, BALKARLI A, ONAL O, ALTINISIK G, COBANKARA V: The role of nailfold capillaroscopy in interstitial lung diseases - can it differentiate idiopathic cases from collagen tissue disease associated interstitial lung diseases? *Tuberk Toraks* 2015; 63: 22-30.
- COROMINAS H, ORTIZ-SANTAMARIA V, CASTELLVÍ I *et al.*: Nailfold capillaroscopic findings in primary Sjögren's syndrome with and without Raynaud's phenomenon and/or positive anti-SSA/Ro and anti-SSB/La antibodies. *Rheumatol Int* 2016; 36: 365-9.
- SHENAVANDEH S, HABIBI S, HABIBI Y, NAZARINIA M: Mechanic hands: clinical and capillaroscopy manifestations of patients with connective tissue diseases presented with and without mechanic hands. *Clin Rheumatol* 2019; 38: 2309-18.
- VAN ROON AM, HUISMAN CC, VAN ROON AM *et al.*: Abnormal Nailfold Capillaroscopy Is Common in Patients with Connective Tissue Disease and Associated with Abnormal Pulmonary Function Tests. *J Rheumatol* 2019; 46: 1109-16.
- BERNARDINO V, RODRIGUES A, LLADO A, PANARRA A: Nailfold capillaroscopy and autoimmune connective tissue diseases in patients from a Portuguese nailfold capillaroscopy clinic. *Rheumatol Int* 2020; 40: 295-301.

**Supplementary file 3. Tables with overview of nailfold capillaroscopic findings in SS patients.**

**Supplementary table S1. Summary on density in SS patients.**

Author	Sample size	Description	Result in SS patients			Result in HC	Significance		
			RP-	RP+	ACA+		RP-	RP+	ACA+
Tektonidou <i>et al.</i> 1999	40 SS (14 RP-, 16 RP+, 10 ACA+), 40 HC	Mean capillary density*	9.8±1.5	8.4±2.0	7.2±1.6	10.5±1.1	<i>p</i> =0.09	<i>p</i> <0.00001	<i>p</i> <0.00001
		Avascularity*	0	ND	ND		ND	ND	
Capobianco <i>et al.</i> 2005	61 SS (30 RP+, 31 RP-), 21 HC	Deletion score (median)**	0.0 (0.0-0.3)			0.0 (0.0-0.0)	<i>p</i> <0.001		
			RP+	RP-			0.0 (0.0-0.0)	<i>p</i> =0.050 ( <i>p</i> =0.009 if only RP- were considered vs HC)	
Çakmakçı Karadoğan <i>et al.</i> 2015	8 SS-ILD/ 10 SS (RP-)	Mean capillary density§	SS-ILD 9.75 (9-12)	SS 11.2 (9-13)	NA	NA			
		Avascularity§	0	0					
Bernardino <i>et al.</i> 2020	15 SS (14 RP+, 1 RP-)	Mean capillary density^	8.8			NA	NA		

ACA+: positivity for anticomere autoantibodies; HC: healthy controls; NA: not applicable; ND: not defined; RP-: absence of Raynaud's phenomenon; RP+: presence of Raynaud's phenomenon; SS: Sjögren's syndrome; SS-ILD: SS associated interstitial lung disease.

\*The classification was made according to Maricq with some modifications. The capillary density was estimated as the mean number of capillary loops/mm in the distal capillary row of the fourth and fifth finger on both hands (1, 2).

\*\*Deletion score according to Lee's scale (3): for each digit assessed in 10x, a deletion degree from 0 to 3 is established according to Lee's scale (0- absence of capillary deletion, 1- one or two discrete deletion areas, 2- more than two discrete deletion areas, 3- confluent areas of capillary deletion). Deletion area is defined as the loss of more than two successive capillaries in the distal row of the periungual region. The degrees assigned to each digit are added up and divided by the number of digits assessed, resulting in a deletion score. A deletion score > 0.3 was deemed abnormal.

§ Avascular areas were defined by referring to Lambova *et al.* as a distance between two adjacent capillary loops from the distal rows greater than 0.5 mm or greater than 0.3 mm in the proximal area (4).

^ Density was calculated as the mean capillary number in the distal row by mm<sup>2</sup>.

**Supplementary table S2. Summary on dimension in SS patients.**

Author	Sample size	Description	Result in SS patients			Result in HC	Significance	
			RP-	RP+	ACA+			
Tektonidou <i>et al.</i> 1999	40 SS (14 RP-, 16 RP+, 10 ACA+), 40 HC	Presence of enlarged capillaries*	0%	12.5%	80%	ND	ND	
Capobianco <i>et al.</i> 2005	61 SS (30 RP+, 31 RP-), 21 HC	Mean number of ectasias**	0.9			0.5	<i>p</i> =0.301	
		Mean number of megacapillaries**	0.0			0.0	<i>p</i> =0.107	
		Presence of megacapillaries**	11.5%			0%	<i>p</i> =0.182	
Çakmakçı Karadoğan <i>et al.</i> 2015	8 SS-ILD/ 10 SS (RP-)	Presence of ectasia§	SS-ILD 25%	SS 0%	NA	NA		
		Megacapillaries§	0					0
Corominas <i>et al.</i> 2015	136 SS (44 RP+, 92 RP-)	Presence of dilated capillaries ¶	RP+ 40%	RP- 10%	NA	<i>p</i> =0.008 in RP+ vs. RP- patients		
		Presence of giants ¶	ND	ND				NA
Bernardino <i>et al.</i> 2020	15 SS (14 RP+, 1 RP-)	Presence of enlarged capillaries^	73.3%			NA	NA	
		Presence of giant capillaries^	33.3%					

ACA+: positivity for anticomere autoantibodies; HC: healthy controls; NA: not applicable; ND: not defined; RP-: absence of Raynaud's phenomenon; RP+: presence of Raynaud's phenomenon; SS: Sjögren's syndrome; SS-ILD: SS associated interstitial lung disease.

\*The nailfold capillaries were classified as normal, borderline, definitely enlarged and extremely enlarged, based on the descriptions of Maricq *et al.* (1, 2). Of note, the description of enlarged capillaries in this study is prone to interpretation bias as no specification was given on exact dimensions.

\*\*Ectasias were defined as capillaries about 4x larger than the normal calibre; megacapillaries were defined as capillaries about 10x larger than normal ones (1). The number of each of the capillary characteristics represents the total count divided by the number of digits examined.

§ "Enlarged capillary" or "ectasia" is used when the magnitude of a capillary is wider than 0,05 µm. Though this definition seems not correct and should be interpret with caution. No definition was given for megacapillaries.

¶ Dilated capillaries: 20–50 µm; Megacapillaries: >50 µm.

^ Enlarged capillaries: >30 µm; Giant capillaries: >50 µm.

Supplementary table S3. Summary on morphology in SS patients.

Author	Sample size	Description		Result in SS patients			Result in HC	Significance		
				RP-	RP+	ACA+		RP-	RP+	ACA+
Tektonidou <i>et al.</i> 1999	40 SS (14 RP-, 16 RP+, 10 ACA+), 40 HC	Normal*	Crossing	21.4 %	43.7%	10%	12.5%	$p>0.05$	<b><math>p&lt;0.05</math></b>	$p>0.05$
			Tortuous	35.7 %	37.5%	10%	32.5%	$p>0.05$		
		Abnormal*	Bizarre	0	6.2%	10%	0	$p>0.05$		
Capobianco <i>et al.</i> 2005	61 SS (30 RP+, 31 RP-), 21 HC	Abnormal**	Median number of meandering	0.5		0.3	$p=0.200$			
			Median number of bushy	0.0		0.0	$p=0.556$			
			Median number of bizarre	0.0		0.0	$p=0.404$			
Çakmakçı Karadoğan <i>et al.</i> 2015	8 SS-ILD /10 SS (RP-)	Normal		SS-ILD	SS	NA	ND			
				ND	ND					
		Abnormal <sup>§</sup>	Multiple crossing	62.5%	60%	NA				
			Meandering	25%	0%					
			Bizarre	37.5%	20%					
	Bushy	12.5%	0%							
Bernardino <i>et al.</i> 2020	15 SS (14 RP+, 1 RP-)	Normal <sup>^</sup>	Crossing	100%		NA	NA			
			Tortuous	100%						
		Abnormal <sup>^</sup>	Meandering	27%						
			Neoangiogenesis	0%						

ACA+: positivity for anticentromere autoantibodies; HC: healthy controls; NA: not applicable; ND: not defined; RP-: absence of Raynaud's phenomenon; RP+: presence of Raynaud's phenomenon; SS: Sjögren's syndrome; SS-ILD: SS associated interstitial lung disease.

\*Abnormal morphology, including bushy capillaries and bizarre capillaries according to Andrade *et al.* (1, 2). Tortuous and crossings were considered as variations of a normal morphology such as described by Andrade *et al.* (1, 2) and as proposed by the EULAR Study Group on Microcirculation in Rheumatic Diseases (5-7).

\*\*Abnormal morphology, including meandering capillaries (novel shaped), bushy capillaries (resembling arboreal formations), bizarre capillaries (those not fitting any particular description) according to Andrade *et al.* (1, 2). The number of each of the capillary characteristics represents the total count divided by the number of digits examined. The median of all subjects was reported.

§Abnormal morphology included bushy (Loops with limbs that originate from multiple small buds), meandering (limbs upon themselves or that cross another several times), bizarre (capillaries with striking atypical morphology, not conforming to the previously defined categories) according to Andrade *et al.* (1, 2). Tortuosity is reached with the presence of 2 or more cross-capillaries in a 1 mm area. Of note, none of the patients were suffering from Raynaud's phenomenon.

<sup>^</sup>Normal (tortuositas and crossing) and abnormal (branched and meandering) were interpreted as consented by the EULAR Study Group on Microcirculation in Rheumatic Diseases (5-7).

**Supplementary table S4.** Summary on haemorrhages in SS patients.

Author	Sample size	Description	Result in SS patients			Result in HC	Significance		
			RP-	RP+	ACA +		RP-	RP+	ACA +
Tektonidou <i>et al.</i> 1999	40 SS (14 RP-, 16 RP+, 10 ACA+), 40 HC	Haemorrhages1*	7.1%	25%	10%	10 %		<i>p</i> >0.05	
		Haemorrhages2*	7.1%	31.2%	50%		0	<i>p</i> >0.05	<i>p</i> <0.05
		Pericapillary haemorrhages*	0	18.7%	40%	0	<i>p</i> >0.05	<i>p</i> <0.05	<i>p</i> <0.05
		Thrombotic capillaries*	0	6.2%	20%	0	<i>p</i> >0.05	<i>p</i> >0.05	<i>p</i> <0.05
Capobianco <i>et al.</i> 2005	61 SS (30 RP+, 31 RP-), 21 HC	Mean number of haemorrhages**	0.0			0.0	<i>p</i> >0.05		
		Presence of haemorrhages**	36%			19%	<i>p</i> >0.05		
Çakmakçı Karadoğan <i>et al.</i> 2015	8 SS-ILD/10 SS (RP-)	Micro-haemorrhages <sup>§</sup>	SS-ILD	SS		NA	ND		
			12.5%	0%					
Corominas <i>et al.</i> 2015	136 SS (44 RP+, 92 RP-)	Pericapillary haemorrhages	RP+	RP-		NA	ND		
			15%	10%					
		Thrombosis	13%	7%					
Bernardino <i>et al.</i> 2020	15 SS (14 RP+, 1 RP-)	Haemorrhages <sup>^</sup>	46.67%			NA	NA		

ACA+: positivity for anticentromere autoantibodies; HC: healthy controls; NA: not applicable; ND: not defined; RP-: absence of Raynaud's phenomenon; RP+: presence of Raynaud's phenomenon; SS: Sjögren's syndrome; SS-ILD: SS associated interstitial lung disease.

\*Pathological haemorrhages were defined as "more than two punctate haemorrhages per finger" (haemorrhages2), "confluent areas" of haemorrhages or "pericapillary" haemorrhages. This in contrast with non-pathological haemorrhages which were defined as "less than two punctate haemorrhages per finger" (haemorrhages 1).

\*\*Haemorrhages were counted in each digit, summed and divided by the number of fingers evaluated. The mean of all patients was reported.

§Micro-haemorrhages were defined as the presence of 2 or more bleeding areas in at least 2 fingers.

^No specified description/definition of haemorrhages was given.

**Supplementary table S5.** Summary of the semi-quantitative assessment in SS patients.

Author	Sample size	Description	Result in SS patients		Result in HC	Significance
Çakmakçı Karadoğan <i>et al.</i> 2015	8 SS-ILD/10 SS	Normal*	SS-ILD	SS	NA	<i>p</i> >0.05 (SS vs. SS-ILD)
			0%	40%		
			Minor abnormalities*	37.5%		
		Major abnormalities*	62.5%	20%		
Bernardino <i>et al.</i> 2020	15 SS (14 RP+, 1 RP-)	NFC-score**	1.67	NA	NA	

NA: not applicable; NFC-score: nailfold capillaroscopic score; RP-: absence of Raynaud's phenomenon; RP+: presence of Raynaud's phenomenon; SS: Sjögren's syndrome; SS-ILD: SS associated interstitial lung disease.

\*The overall capillaroscopic patterns were defined as follows: • Normal: 7-10 capillaries/mm, normal morphology (hairpin-shaped loops but absence of normal variations such as "tortuous", "crosses"), normal distribution (arranged in parallel rows), absence of haemorrhages, absence of abnormal morphology ("neoangiogenesis" aspect); • "Minor abnormalities": 7-10 capillaries/mm, less than 50% "tortuous" loops (a type of normal morphology), arranged in parallel rows, absence of haemorrhages, absence of abnormal morphology ("neoangiogenesis"); • "Major abnormalities": decreased capillary density, more than 50% "tortuous" loops (a type of normal morphology), dilated capillaries ("enlarged"-no clear definition), and/or disarranged loops, with haemorrhages; more than 50% abnormal morphology ("neoangiogenesis"), dilated capillaries ("enlarged"-no clear definition), and/or haemorrhages.

Of note, the term tortuosity was not conform to the EULAR Study Group on Microcirculation in Rheumatic Diseases consented definition (5-7). The authors defined tortuosity as the presence of two or more cross-capillaries in a 1mm area.

\*\* NFC-score was calculated as follows: each capillaroscopic finding rated from 0-3 according to variations in density, dimension, morphology or haemorrhages. Score 1: normal density, 10% longer capillaries, 50% of "morphological changes", absence of haemorrhages; Score 2: normal or decreased density, 10% of elongated capillaries, 50% of "morphological changes", presence of haemorrhages; Score 3: decreased density, 10% of elongated capillaries, 75% of "morphological changes", presence of haemorrhages.

Of note, the term "morphological change" was not defined, as such it remains unclear if variation of normal, such as 'tortuous' and 'crossed' capillaries should be considered as a "morphological change".

**References**

- ANDRADE LE, GABRIEL JUNIOR A, ASSAD RL, FERRARI AJ, ATRA E: Panoramic nailfold capillaroscopy: a new reading method and normal range. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1990; 20: 21-31.
- MARICQ HR: Wide-field capillary microscopy. *Arthritis Rheum* 1981; 24: 1159-65.
- LEE P, LEUNG FY, ALDERDICE C, ARMSTRONG SK: Nailfold capillary microscopy in the connective tissue diseases: a semi-quantitative assessment. *J Rheumatol* 1983; 10: 930-8.
- LAMBOVA S, MULLER-LADNER U: Capillaroscopic findings in systemic sclerosis -- are they associated with disease duration and presence of digital ulcers? *Discov Med* 2011; 12: 413-8.

**Supplementary table S6.** Summary of the qualitative assessment in SS patients.

Author	Sample size	Description	Result in SS patients			Result in HC	Significance
			RP-	RP+	ACA +		
Tektonidou <i>et al.</i> 1999	40 SS (14 without RP, 16 with RP, 10 ACA +), 40 HC	Normal pattern*	RP- 57.1%	RP+ 12.5%	ACA + 0	67.5%	p>0.05
		Non-specific abnormalities*	42.8%	65.8%	40%	32.5%	p>0.05
		Scleroderma pattern*	0	12.5%	80%	0	p>0.05
Capobianco <i>et al.</i> 2005	61 SS (30 RP+, 31 RP-), 21 HC	Normal pattern**	59%			85.7%	ND
		Non-specific abnormalities**	29.5%			14.3%	
		Scleroderma pattern**	11.5% (6RP+,1RP-)			0%	
Pavlov-Dolijanovic <i>et al.</i> 2012	102 SS (RP+)	Normal pattern^	84 (82%)			NA	NA
		Non-specific abnormalities^	7 (7%)				
		Scleroderma pattern^	11 (11%)				
Baldini <i>et al.</i> 2013	41 OS (RP+)	Non-specific abnormalities°	32%			NA	p=0.05
		Scleroderma pattern°	Early	29%		NA	ND
			Active	18%		NA	p=0.03
			Late	21%		NA	ND
Corominas <i>et al.</i> 2015	136 SS (44 RP+, 92 RP-)	Normal pattern <sup>§</sup>	41.1%			NA	NA
		Non-specific abnormalities <sup>§</sup>	27.2%				
		Scleroderma pattern <sup>§</sup>	10.2%				
Bernardino <i>et al.</i> 2020 <sup>§</sup>	15 SS (14 RP+, 1 RP-)	Normal pattern <sup>§</sup>	0.0%			NA	NA
		Non-specific abnormalities <sup>§</sup>	66.7%				
		Scleroderma pattern <sup>§</sup>	33.3%				

ACA+: positivity for anticentromere autoantibodies; HC: healthy controls; NA: not applicable; ND: not defined; NS: not significant ; OS: overlap syndrome, indicating patients fulfilling both the criteria for “early” systemic sclerosis (8) and SS (9); RP-: absence of Raynaud’s phenomenon; RP+: presence of Raynaud’s phenomenon; SS: Sjögren’s syndrome; SS-ILD: SS associated interstitial lung disease.

\*Adapted from Maricq *et al.* 1976 the authors distinguished (10) : 1) Normal pattern. 2) Non-specific findings: variations of normal morphology (tortuous and crossed), abnormal morphology (“bizarre”) and haemorrhages (less than 2 punctuate haemorrhages per finger) 3) ‘Other findings’: more than 2 punctuate haemorrhages per finger, pericapillary haemorrhages and/or thrombotic capillaries. 4) Scleroderma pattern: giants (“definitely or extremely enlarged capillaries”), lowered density, abnormal shapes (“bushy”) and haemorrhages (“capillary telangiectasias”). The authors sub-specify on an “active pattern”, a “slow pattern” and an “overlap pattern”, which we did not consider in this table as it is a non-standardised definition and we could not identify the abnormalities with commonly used definitions.

\*\*The scleroderma pattern was defined as the occurrence of avascular areas and the presence of enlarged capillaries, according to Maricq’s description (11). Non-specific findings were poorly described, though included abnormal morphologies (“bushy”, “bizarre” and “meandering”).

^Normal pattern was defined as normal morphology (hair pin) or minor changes in dimension and distribution. “Non-specific abnormalities” were defined as normal and abnormal morphology (“meandering”-no definition given, and crossed-no definition given), focal haemorrhages, capillary thinning, capillary spasm, nonhomogeneous distribution/dimension (including width and length) of loops, prominent venous plexus. A scleroderma patterns was described according to Cutolo *et al.*’s definition (12). Of note ACA-status was unknown for most of the patients (n=81), though from the 21 with known ACA status 11 were ACA+ and had Raynaud.

°No specified definition of the qualitative assessment was given.

§The capillaroscopic patterns were defined as “normal” (no abnormalities are observed), non-specific abnormalities (when several capillaroscopic changes are observed although they do not fulfil the scleroderma pattern) and a scleroderma pattern according to Cutolo *et al.* (13).

§The capillaroscopic patterns were defined as “normal” (no abnormalities are observed), non-specific abnormalities (when several capillaroscopic changes are observed although they do not fulfil the scleroderma pattern) and a scleroderma pattern according to Cutolo *et al.* (13).

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| <p>5. SMITH V, BEECKMAN S, HERRICK AL <i>et al.</i>: An EULAR study group pilot study on reliability of simple capillaroscopic definitions to describe capillary morphology in rheumatic diseases. <i>Rheumatology</i> (Oxford) 2016; 55: 883-90.</p> <p>6. CUTOLO M, MELSENS K, HERRICK AL <i>et al.</i>: Reliability of simple capillaroscopic definitions in describing capillary morphology in rheumatic diseases. <i>Rheumatology</i> (Oxford) 2018; 57: 757-9.</p> <p>7. SMITH V, HERRICK AL, INGEGNOLI F <i>et al.</i>: Standardisation of nailfold capillaroscopy for the assessment of patients with Raynaud’s phenomenon and systemic sclerosis. <i>Autoim-</i></p> | <p><i>mun Rev</i> 2020; 19: 102458.</p> <p>8. LEROY EC, MEDSGER TA, JR: Criteria for the classification of early systemic sclerosis. <i>J Rheumatol</i> 2001; 28: 1573-6.</p> <p>9. VITALI C, BOMBARDIERI S, JONSSON R <i>et al.</i>: Classification criteria for Sjögren’s syndrome: a revised version of the European criteria proposed by the American-European Consensus Group. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2002; 61: 554-8.</p> <p>10. MARICQ HR, SPENCER-GREEN G, LEROY EC: Skin capillary abnormalities as indicators of organ involvement in scleroderma (systemic sclerosis), Raynaud’s syndrome and dermatomyositis. <i>Am J Med</i> 1976; 61:</p> | <p>862-70.</p> <p>11. MARICQ HR, LEROY EC, D’ANGELO WA <i>et al.</i>: Diagnostic potential of <i>in vivo</i> capillary microscopy in scleroderma and related disorders. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 1980; 23:183-9.</p> <p>12. CUTOLO M, GRASSI W, MATUCCI-CERINIC M: Raynaud’s phenomenon and the role of capillaroscopy. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 2003; 48: 3023-30.</p> <p>13. CUTOLO M, SULLI A, PIZZORNI C, ACCARDO S: Nailfold videocapillaroscopy assessment of microvascular damage in systemic sclerosis. <i>J Rheumatol</i> 2000; 27: 155-60.</p> |
|---|---|--|