# Variable impacts of different remission states on health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis

M.H.Y. Ma, F. Ibrahim, G.H. Kingsley, A. Cope, D.L. Scott

Academic Department of Rheumatology, Division of Immunology, Infection and Inflammatory Disease, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, United Kingdom.

# Abstract Objective

Targeting remission in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) improves health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and disability. However, the impacts of different remission criteria and durations and their frequencies are uncertain. Our observational study assessed these factors.

# Methods

We recruited RA patients with disease durations <10 years, stable suppressive therapies and stable disease activity scores for 28 joints using ESR (DAS28-ESR)  $\leq 3.2$ . Intermittent and sustained remisisons were classified using DAS28ESR, simple disease activity index (SDAI) and ACR/EULAR Boolean criteria. HRQoL, measured using SF-36, fatigue, EuroQol and health assessment questionnaire (HAQ) was compared using time-integrated areas under the curve (AUC).

## Results

104 patients were enrolled and followed for 12 months. DAS28-ESR remissions were intermittent in 42%, sustained in 47% and absent in 11%. Boolean remissions were intermittent in 38%, sustained in 10% and absent in 52%. Baseline remissions by all criteria significantly improved HAQ, Euroqol, SF36 and fatigue scores compared with low disease activity (LDAS); AUCs showed significant benefits for all HRQoLs persisted over 12-months. Boolean remissions achieved most benefits. Over time all remission states gave significantly better HRQoL scores than LDAS. Sustained DAS28ESR and SDAI remissions improved HRQoL more than intermittent remissions. Sustained and intermittent Boolean remissions gave similar improvements. Analysis of SF-36 domains showed even sustained Boolean remissions failed to optimise pain and fatigue.

# Conclusion

All remissions improve HRQoL but different criteria have variable impacts. Boolean remission had most impact but occurred least. There are trade-off between optimising individual impacts (Boolean remissions) and achieving maximal overall impacts (DAS28-ESR remissions).

Key words rheumatoid arthritis, remission, HAQ, quality of life

Margaret H.Y. Ma, MBBS, BSc, MRCP, MSc, PhD Fowzia Ibrahim, MSc

Gabrielle H. Kingsley, BSc, MB, PhD, FRCP\* Andrew Cope, BSc, MBBS, PhD, FRC, HFEA David L. Scott, BSc, MD, FRCP

\*Deceased

Please address correspondence to: Dr Margaret Ma, Academic Department of Rheumatology, Division of Immunology, Infection and Inflammatory Disease, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, Weston Education Centre, 10 Cutcombe Road, London SE5 9RJ, United Kingdom. E-mail: margaret.ma@nhs.net Received on January 21, 2017; accepted

in revised form on June 8, 2017. © Copyright CLINICAL AND

EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2018.

Funding: this study was funded by the NIHR through the Doctoral Research Fellowship awarded to M. Ma (NIHR/DRF/2009/02/086).

Competing interests: none declared.

#### Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is characterised by both inflammatory synovitis and long term disability and impaired health-related quality of life (HRQoL) (1, 2). Patients focus on their impaired HRQoL, which can be assessed by a variety of validated instruments including generic measures such as Medical outcomes study 36-Item Health Survey forms (SF-36), EuroQol and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT-F) and disease-specific measures like the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) (3).

Targeting remission when treating RA. encapsulated in treat-to-target management (4, 5), is considered to maximise HRQoL and minimise disability (6, 7). There is strong evidence that effective treatment of active RA decreases disability (8, 9). There is also considerable evidence treatment improves HRQoL (10-13). Radner et al. (14, 15) have provided observational evidence and an analysis of clinical trial data that shows remission in general and sustained remission in particular benefit HRQoL. Other observational study data supports the concept that sustained remission most benefits disability (16). However, many chanllenges remain when targeting remission. One issue is that some patients have histological evidence of persisting inflammation despite achieving clinical remission (17). Another complexity is the varying perspectives of patients about what they want from treatment (18).

The key factors influencing how RA remission benefits HRQoL are their type, duration and frequency and considerable uncertainty remains about their relative importance in assessing treatment benefits. The use of less arduous remission criteria has been the subject of critical comment (19). We examined all three factors in a prospective cohort of treated RA patients with stable low disease activity states. We evaluated three questions about how remission affects HRQoL. Firstly do different definitions of RA remission have different impacts on HRQoL? Secondly how important are sustained as opposed to intermittent remissions? Finally what is the relative frequency of different forms of remission?

# Patients and methods

Patients

We recruited consecutive consenting adult RA patients who had been diagnosed using the 1987 revised ACR criteria and were currently attending three rheumatology centres in south London who met the following criteria. Recruitment period was from 2009-2011. Firstly, they had disease durations of less than 10 years from the date of diagnosis. Secondly, they had received stable doses of disease modifying antirheumatic (DMARD) or biologic therapies for over 6 months. Thirdly, their disease activity scores for 28 joints assessed using the erythrocyte sedimenatation rate (DAS28-ESR) had been  $\leq$ 3.2 for one month or longer.

### Assessments

Initial data was collected about demographics, disease duration and current treatment. Disease activity assessments were made initially and every three months for one year to assess the following remission criteria: DAS28-ESR (erythrocyte sedimentation rate), DAS28-CRP (C-reactive protein), simple disease activity index (SDAI), clinical disease activity index (CDAI) and American College of Rheumatology/ European League Against Rheumatism (ACR/EULAR) Boolean remission criteria (20, 21).

Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index (HAQ-DI) (22). The Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF36) (23) including its eight domains and two sub-scales. The EQ-5D, which is also known as EuroQol (24), recorded as a single score, and the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FAC-IT-F) (25) for recording self-reported fatigue. These outcomes were all measured every 3 months over the 12-month period of follow-up.

#### Definitions of remission

Internationally agreed criteria were used (19, 20). Point remission at baseline was DAS28-ESR <2.6, DAS28-CRP <2.32, SDAI  $\leq$ 3.3 and CDAI  $\leq$ 2.8. ACR/EULAR Boolean remission was defined as TJC, SJC, CRP (mg/dl) and patient VAS (0–10 cm) all  $\leq$ 1. Low disease activity State (LDAS) at baseline was defined as patients not in remission by any definition with DAS28-ESR  $\leq$ 3.2. Sustained remission (SR) was defined as achieving remission at all visit time-points, intermittent remission (IR) defined as achieving remission in at least 1 visit time-point, but not all. No remission (NR) was defined as never achieving remission by any clinical definition at any visit time-point over the 12-month period of follow-up.

#### Statistical analysis

STATA 11.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Individual variables were assessed descriptively as median values and interquartile ranges (IQR). To assess the impact of remission on HRQoL over 1 year, time-integrated values were calculated using area under the curve (AUC). These were computed using GraphPad Prism software using the trapezoidal method. Last observations carried forward (LOCF) method was used to handle missing data. Comparisons of these HROoL measures between remission vs. non- remission at baseline and between NR vs. IR, IR vs. SR and NR vs. IR were performed using Mann-Whitney test.

#### Ethics approval

The study was approved by the local ethics committee and conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki (REC:09/H0803/154, Wandsworth Research Ethics Committee). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### Results

Patient cohort and remission rates 104 patients were enrolled of median age 56 years and median disease duration 45 months. Their baseline characteristics are shown in Table I.

At baseline 67/102 (66%) were in DAS28-ESR remission and 35/102 (34%) were in LDAS states. Other remission criteria at baseline were met by 58 (59%) for DAS28-CRP remission, 45 (46%) for SDAI remission, 47 (46%) for CDAI remission and 30 (30%) for Boolean remission.

During the 12-month follow-up inter-

Table I. Baseline characteristics of patients.

Patient characteristics		
Age, median (IQR) years Disease duration, median (IQR) months Female (percent) IgM RF positive (percent) ACPA positive (percent)		56 (47, 69) 45 (23, 75) 63% 88% 72%
Ethnicity	Caucasian Asian Afro-carribean	74% 7% 19%
SJC28, median (IQR) ESR, median (IQR) Patient Global, median (IQR) (0-100mm)		0 (0,1) 0 (0,1) 8 (4, 16) 18 (10, 35)
DAS28ESR, median (IQR) DAS28CRP, median (IQR) SDAI, median (IQR)		2.10 (1.40, 2.78) 2.15 (1.79, 2.72) 3.60 (1.70, 7.56) 2.20 (1.20, 7.20)
LDAI, median (IQR) HAQ, median (IQR) EQ5D, median (IQR) FOSD VAS, median (IQR)		0.13 (0, 0.75) 0.80 (0.69, 0.88) 80 (70 90)
FACIT-F, median (IQR) SF36 MCS, median (IQR) SF36 PCS, median (IQR)		42 (35, 47) 52 (44, 58) 44 (38, 52)
Erosive disease (percent) Erosive progression (mercent)		52% 14%
Treatments	Methotrexate Sulphasalazine Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide	87% 27% 31% 4%
	Prednisolone Combination disease-modifying therapy Tumour necrosis factor inhibitors	3% 43% 16%



mittent DAS28-ESR remissions occurred in 42% and sustained remission in 47% patients; only 11% of patients never achieved a DAS28-ESR remission. In contrast intermittent Boolean remissions occurred in 38% and sustained remission in only 10%; 52% of patients never achieved a Boolean remission. Other remission criteria were achieved by intermediate numbers of patients; the findings are summarised in Figure 1.

#### Baseline remission status

Comparing patients in baseline remission by DAS28ESR, SDAI or Boolean remission criteria with patients in low disease activity states (LDAS) showed patients in initial remission by all three criteria had significantly better baseline HAQ, Euroqol, FACIT F and SF36 scores than LDAS patients (Table II). Those patients in remission at baseline also had better AUC assessments of all HRQoL outcomes over the ensuing

HRQoL	LDAS	DAS28 Remission		SDAI Remission		Boolean Remission	
		Level	Significance	Level	Significance	Level	Significance
			Baseline				
HAQ	0.75 (0.5,1.38)	0 (0, 0.5)	<0.001	0 (0, 0.125)	<0.001	0 (0, 0.125)	< 0.001
Euroquol	0.69 (0.59,0.76)	0.80 (0.69, 1.00)	0.024	1.00 (0.80, 1.00)	< 0.001	1.00 (0.80, 1.00)	< 0.001
FACIT-F	35 (31, 38)	43 (38, 47)	< 0.001	46 (42, 50)	< 0.001	46 (43, 50)	< 0.001
SF36 PCS	39 (34, 43)	48 (40, 53)	< 0.001	51 (46, 55)	0.001	52 (48, 55)	< 0.001
SF36 MCS	49 (41, 53)	54 (47, 58)	0.021	57 (51, 58)	< 0.001	57 (51,58)	0.002
		A	rea Under Curv	e			
HAQ AUC	10.3 (4.0,14.1)	1.2 (0, 6.6)	< 0.001	0.28 (0, 1.8)	< 0.001	0.43 (0, 188)	< 0.001
Euroquol AUC	8.7 (7.7, 9.3)	9.9 (8.7, 11.4)	< 0.001	10.6 (9.5,11.7)	< 0.001	11.1 (9.6, 12.0)	< 0.001
FACIT-F AUC	414 (349, 488)	537 (455 575)	< 0.001	553 (492, 589)	< 0.001	569 (488, 594)	0.001
SF36 PCS AUC	467 (430, 512)	588 (513 638)	< 0.001	622 (554, 695)	< 0.001	622 (585, 655)	0.007
SF36 MCS AUC	552 (500, 648)	618 (557, 674)	0.005	649 (583, 691)	0.001	663 (597, 689)	< 0.001

Table II. Impact of baseline LDAS and remission status on baseline and AUC HRQoL.

Comparing low disease activity state at baseline (LDAS) with baseline DAS28ESR, SDAI and Boolean remission

All values are reported as median (IQR). HRQoL: Health-related quality of life; SF36: short form 36; PCS: physical component score; MCS: mental component score; HAQ: health assessment questionnaire; AUC: area under the curve.



**Fig. 2.** Impact of intermittent and sustained remission by DAS28, SDAI and Boolean criteria on HRQoL area under curve. Medians with interquartile and minimum and maximum ranges. IR: intermittent remission; SR: sustained remission. NS: non-significant;  $p \le 0.05$ ,  $p \le 0.01$ ,  $p \le 0.001$ ,  $p \ge 0.001$  using Mann-Whitney test



12 months compared to LDAS patients. The different remission criteria had variable effects on different HRQoL outcomes. The impacts on HRQoL were greatest with Boolean remission and least with DAS28-ESR remissions.

Intermittent and sustained remissions

Both intermittent and sustained remission states using DAS28-ESR, SDAI and Boolean criteria gave significantly better HRQoL scores than LDAS (Fig. 2). With both DAS28ESR and SDAI, HRQoL was significantly better with sustained remissions than with intermittent remissions. With Boolean criteria both sustained and intermittent remissions gave similar improvements; Boolean remissions also gave the best overall benefits in improving HRQoL.

#### SF36 components

The improvements for each of the eight SF-36 domains with different remssion criteria is shown using spidergrams in Figure 3. The AUCs were better for all

domains when patients achieved remission. DAS28-ESR and SDAI sustained remissions gave greater improvements than intermittent remissions. The improvements across the different SF-36 domains were greatest with Boolean remissions; and intermittent and sustained Boolean remissions achieved similar improvmemnts. However, even with Boolean remissions vitality, general health and bodily pain domains were not optimised.

#### Discussion

We have confirmed that RA patients who achieve remissions at both single time points or sustained remissions have better HRQoL than patients who only achieve low disease activity states, in keeping with previous reports (6-16, 26-28). However, we have also shown that not all remission criteria are equivalent. Achieving Boolean remissions has the greatest impact on HRQoL, DAS28-ESR remissions have the least impact and SDAI remis-

sions have an intermediate impact. We found that with Boolean remissions most aspect of HRQoL are normalised; fatigue, pain and general health are the main exceptions and are not completely controlled. Sustained and intermittent remission had similar benefits with Boolean remissions, but only 38% of patients achieved any Boolean remission. The situation was different with DAS2-ESR remissions. Although they improved most aspects of HRQoL compared to low disease activity, their but impacts were relatively modest, and there were substantial differences between sustained and unsustained remission. Nevertheless 89% of patients had some periods in DAS28 remission. The inter-relationships between HAQ scores and remissions are complex. Firstly, there is some evidence that initial HAQ scores predict subsequent remissions, with patients having low HAQ scores being more likely to achieve remissions after treatment 29, 30). Secondly, we have found that HAQ scores are significantly lower when patients achieve remission by any criteria than when they are in low disease activity states. This finding replicates several other published studies. (31, 32). Thirdly, there is debate about the optimal target for HAQ scores. Molenaar et al. (33) suggested HAQ scores <0.5 were optimal targets. However, we found median HAQ scores with SDAI and Boolean remissions were zero. suggesting there may be floor effects with low disease activity states and that HAQ scores of 0.5 may be suboptimal as a target. Finally the relationship of HAO to remission may be influenced by the type of patients studied. Analysis of HAQ scores in patients from the DREAM cohort who all received biologic therapy, had somewhat higher HAQ scores when in remission (34) than the patients we studied. However, they are all likely to have overall worse severities than the more heterogenous patient group we studied. Interestingly the median HAQ value in our patients was also lower than the original cutoff for predictive validity of the ACR/ EULAR Boolean criteria (20).

The assessment of HRQoL using SF36 and EQ5D is more complex. Both assessments have been used in RA and are able to detect changes in health status (35, 36). They both improve with effective treatments and have been most often studied in patients receiving biologics. Although deeper remissions, particularly Boolean remissions, gave the greates improvements in these measures, we found vitality, general health and bodily pain SF-36 domains were not optimised in any patient group. This reflects previously reported failure to minimise pain levels in RA patients in DAS28 remission reported by Lee et al. (37). FACIT-F assessments, which focuss on fatigue showed a similar pattern of incomplete control, which has also recently been reported in patients treated with biologics who have achieved remissions (38). These findings imply that achieving remission by intensive drug treatment may not always normalise HRQoL in RA. One explanation for this finding is the impact of comorbidities (39-41), which we did not assess in detail. It is also

likely that in established RA it may be impossible to reverse the impact of the disease; as a consequence there is greatest emphasis on achieving remission by early intensive treatment. The strengths of our study include its relatively large size and its complete 12 months regular follow-up in a homogenous clinical population receiving similar treatment approaches. The observations were made by a small number of trained collaborating clinicians with good inter and intra-observer reproducibility in their assessments and a range of HRQoL instruments were used. It also has several limitations. Firstly, relatively few achieved sustained Boolean remissions, limiting an assessment of intense remissions. Secondly, as different remission criteria describe overlapping patient groups, the analytical approaches available are limited. Thirdly, we studied patients seen in specialist centres; community-based patients might show different inter-relationships between disease activity and HRQoL. Finally, due to the observational design of this study, as well as the small sample size, we were unable to address treatment effects on HRQoL within the remission groups.

There remains a paradox in setting the target for treating RA intensively. How low and how sustained should the target be set (42)? The benefits of Boolean remission, which improves HRQoL and disability greatest but still has incomplete effects on fatigue and pain, must be set against its relative rarity (43, 44). It is possible that not all patients can ever achieve Boolean remission; and there is evidence that different remission criteria have different predictive factors (45). It is also likely that complete remission is an appropriate target in early RA (46) and less intense remissions reasonable in established disease. Our study design did not focus on a comparison of early and established disease, but there is strong evidence remission rates are higher when patients with early disease receive intensive treatments. (47). Current expert opinion continues to have doubts about the relative benefits of aiming for LDAS or different remission criteria (48). Our findings highlight the nature of this uncertainty, which reflects

the benefits for groups of patients versus individual patients. Future prognostic markers may allow a resolution of doubts by identifying individual targets for patients in which bespoke targets replace global aims. Although this is currently a future aspiration, it might be sensible to start using it in different disease durations and to mainly focus on obtaining Boolean remission in early RA patients beginning intensive treatment strategies.

#### References

- SCOTT DL, WOLFE F, HUIZINGA TW: Rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* 2010; 376: 1094-108.
- SCOTT DL, SMITH C, KINGSLEY G: What are the consequences of early rheumatoid arthritis for the individual? *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2005; 19: 117-36.
- KINGSLEY G, SCOTT IC, SCOTT DL: Quality of life and the outcome of established rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2011; 25: 585-606.
- 4. SMOLEN JS, BREEDVELD FC, BURMESTER GR *et al.*: Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: 2014 update of the recommendations of an international task force. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016; 75: 3-15.
- CALABRA A, CATERINO AL, ELEFANTE E et al.: One year in review 2016: novelties in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2016; 34: 357-72.
- STOFFER MA, SCHOELS MM, SMOLEN JS *et al.*: Evidence for treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: results of a systematic literature search update. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016; 75: 16-22.
- SCHOELS M, KNEVEL R, ALETAHA D et al.: Evidence for treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: results of a systematic literature search. Ann Rheum Dis 2010; 69: 638-43.
- CALLHOFF J, WEISS A, ZINK A, LISTING J: Impact of biologic therapy on functional status in patients with rheumatoid arthritisa meta-analysis. *Rheumatology* 2013; 52: 2127-35.
- BARRA L, HA A, SUN L, FONSECA C, POPE J: Efficacy of biologic agents in improving the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) score in established and early rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis with indirect comparisons. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2014; 32: 333-41.
- RENDAS-BAUM R, BAYLISS M, KOSINSKI M et al.: Measuring the effect of therapy in rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials from the patient's perspective. Curr Med Res Opin 2014l; 30: 1391-403.
- KALYONCU U, DOUGADOS M, DAURÈS JP, GOSSEC L: Reporting of patient-reported outcomes in recent trials in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic literature review. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68: 183-90.
- 12. FRENDL DM, WARE JE JR: Patient-reported functional health and well-being outcomes with drug therapy: a systematic review of randomized trials using the SF-36 health

survey. Med Care 2014; 52: 439-45.

- 13. BOUBOUCHAIROPOULOU N, FLOURI I, DROSOS AA *et al.*: Treatment with the first TNF inhibitor in rheumatoid arthritis patients in the Hellenic Registry of Biologic Therapies improves quality of life especially in young patients with better baseline functional status. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2016; 34: 999-1005.
- RADNER H, SMOLEN JS, ALETAHA D: Remission in rheumatoid arthritis: benefit over low disease activity in patient-reported outcomes and costs. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2014; 16: R56.
- 15. RADNER H, ALASTI F, SMOLEN JS, ALETAHA D: Physical function continues to improve when clinical remission is sustained in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2015; 17: 203.
- 16. EINARSSON JT, GEBOREK P, SAXNE T, KRIS-TENSEN LE, KAPETANOVIC MC: Sustained remission improves physical function in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis, and should be a treatment goal: a prospective observational cohort study from southern Sweden. J Rheumatol 2016; 43: 1017-23.
- ANANDARAJAH A, THIELE R, GIAMPOLI E et al.: Patients with rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission manifest persistent joint inflammation on histology and imaging studies. J Rheumatol 2014; 41: 2153-60.
- 18. VAN TUYL LH, HEWLETT S, SADLONOVA M et al.: The patient perspective on remission in rheumatoid arthritis: 'You've got limits, but you're back to being you again'. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 1004-10.
- BOERS M: Let's stop fooling ourselves. In RA, only ACR/EULAR criteria define remission and equate with absence of disease! *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016; 75: e68.
- 20. FELSON DT, SMOLEN JS, WELLS G et al.: American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism provisional definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011; 63: 573-86.
- 21. MACK ME, HSIA E, ALETAHA D: Comparative assessment of the different American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism remission definitions for rheumatoid arthritis for their use as clinical trial endpoints. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017; 69: 518-28.
- FRIES JF, SPITZ P, KRAINES RG, HOLMAN HR: Measurement of patient outcome in arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1980; 23: 137-45.
- WARE JEJR, SHERBOURNE CD: The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care. 1992; 30: 473-83.
- EuroQol--a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. the EuroQol group. *Health Policy* 1990; 16: 199-208.
- 25. YELLEN SB, CELLA DF, WEBSTER K, BLEN-DOWSKI C, KAPLAN E: Measuring fatigue

and other anemia-related symptoms with the functional assessment of cancer therapy (FACT) measurement system. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 1997; 13: 63-74.

- 26. WELSING PM, VAN GESTEL AM, SWINKELS HL, KIEMENEY LA, VAN RIEL PL: The relationship between disease activity, joint destruction, and functional capacity over the course of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001; 44: 2009-17.
- 27. ALEMAO E, JOO S, KAWABATA H et al.: Effects of achieving target measures in rheumatoid arthritis on functional status, quality of life, and resource utilization: analysis of clinical practice data. Arthritis Care Res 2016; 68: 308-17.
- SCOTT IC, IBRAHIM F, LEWIS CM, SCOTT DL, STRAND V: Impact of intensive treatment and remission on health-related quality of life in early and established rheumatoid arthritis. *RMD Open* 2016; 2: e000270.
- EBERHARDT K, FEX E: Clinical course and remission rate in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: Relationship to outcome after 5 years. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998; 37: 1324-9.
- GOSSEC L, DOUGADOS M, GOUPILLE P et al.: Prognostic factors for remission in early rheumatoid arthritis: A multiparameter prospective study. Ann Rheum Dis 2004; 63: 675-80.
- 31. SVENSSON B, ANDERSSON MLE, BALA SV, FORSLIND K, HAFSTROM I: Long-term sustained remission in a cohort study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Choice of remission criteria. *BMJ Open* 2013; 3: e003554.
- 32. COMBE B, LOGEART I, BELKACEMI MC et al.: Comparison of the long-term outcome for patients with rheumatoid arthritis with persistent moderate disease activity or disease remission during the first year after diagnosis: data from the ESPOIR cohort. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 724-9.
- 33. MOLENAAR ET, VOSKUYL AE, DIJKMANS BA: Functional disability in relation to radiological damage and disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in remission. *J Rheumatol* 2002; 29: 267-70.
- 34. VERMEER M, KUPER HH, MOENS HJ et al.: Sustained beneficial effects of a protocolized treat-to-target strategy in very early rheumatoid arthritis: three-year results of the Dutch Rheumatoid Arthritis Monitoring remission induction cohort. Arthritis Care Res 2013; 65: 1219-26.
- 35. MATCHAM F, SCOTT IC, RAYNER L et al.: The impact of rheumatoid arthritis on quality-of-life assessed using the SF-36: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2014; 44: 123-30.
- 36. GÜLFE A, WALLMAN JK, KRISTENSEN LE: EuroQol-5 dimensions utility gain according to British and Swedish preference sets in rheumatoid arthritis treated with abatacept, rituximab, tocilizumab, or tumour necrosis

factor inhibitors: a prospective cohort study from southern Sweden. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2016; 18: 51.

- 37. LEE YC, CUI J, LU B *et al.*: Pain persists in DAS28 rheumatoid arthritis remission but not in ACR/EULAR remission: a longitudinal observational study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2011; 13: R83.
- 38. DRUCE KL, BHATTACHARYA Y, JONES GT, MACFARLANE GJ, BASU N: Most patients who reach disease remission following anti-TNF therapy continue to report fatigue: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register for Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2016; 55: 1786-90.
- 39. THIELE K, HUSCHER D, BISCHOFF S et al.: Performance of the 2011 ACR/EULAR preliminary remission criteria compared with DAS28 remission in unselected patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72: 1194-9.
- 40. INANC N, YILMAZ-ONER S, CAN M, SOKKA T, DIRESKENELI H: The role of depression, anxiety, fatigue, and fibromyalgia on the evaluation of the remission status in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2014; 41: 1755-60.
- 41. CRILLY MA, JOHNSTON MC, BLACK C: Relationship of EQ-5D quality of life with the presence of co-morbidity and extra-articular features in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Qual Life Res* 2014; 23: 1435-43.
- 42. PORTER D, DALE J, SATTAR N: How low to aim in rheumatoid arthritis? Learning from other disciplines. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014; 73: 480-2.
- 43. SHAHOURI SH, MICHAUD K, MIKULS TR et al.: Remission of rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice: application of the American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism 2011 remission criteria. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63: 3204-15.
- 44. UHLIG T, LIE E, NORVANG V et al.: Achievement of remission and low disease activity definitions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice: results from the NOR-DMARD Study. J Rheumatol 2016; 43: 716-23.
- 45. BARNABE C, HOMIK J, BARR SG, MARTIN L, MAKSYMOWYCH WP: The effect of different remission definitions on identification of predictors of both point and sustained remission in rheumatoid arthritis treated with anti-TNF therapy. J Rheumatol 2014; 41: 1607-13.
- 46. SCOTT DL: Beyond methotrexate monotherapy for early rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* 2016; 388: 309-10.
- 47. JURGENS MS, WELSING PM, JACOBS JW: Overview and analysis of treat-to-target trials in rheumatoid arthritis reporting on remission. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012; 30 (Suppl. 73): S56-63.
- SMOLEN JS, ALETAHA D, MCINNES IB: Rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* 2016; 388: 2023-38.