

Juvenile- and adult-onset systemic lupus erythematosus: a comparative study in a large cohort from the Spanish Society of Rheumatology Lupus Registry (RELESSER)

V. Torrente-Segarra¹, T.C. Salman Monte², I. Rúa-Figueroa³, F. Alonso⁴, F.J. López-Longo⁵, M. Galindo-Izquierdo⁶, J. Calvo-Alén⁷, A. Olivé-Marqués⁸, J. Ibáñez-Ruán⁹, L. Horcada¹⁰, A. Sánchez-Atrio¹¹, C. Montilla¹², R.B. Melero-González¹³, E. Díez-Álvarez¹⁴, V. Martínez-Taboada¹⁵, J.L. Andreu¹⁶, O. Fernández-Berrizbeitia¹⁷, J.A. Hernández-Berriain¹⁸, M. Gantes¹⁹, B. Hernández-Cruz²⁰, A. Pecondón-Español²¹, C. Marras²², G. Bonilla²³, J.M. Pego-Reigosa²⁴, on behalf of the RELESSER Study Group of the Spanish Society of Rheumatology (SER) and the Study Group of Systemic Autoimmune Diseases of the SER (EAS-SER)

¹Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospitalet-Sant Joan Despí Moisès Broggi University General Hospital, Hospitalet Llobregat; ²Dept. of Rheumatology, Parc de Salut Mar-IMIM, Barcelona; ³Dept. of Rheumatology, Doctor Negrín University Hospital of Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria; ⁴Research Unit, Spanish Society of Rheumatology, Madrid; ⁵Dept. of Rheumatology, Gregorio Marañón University Hospital, Madrid; ⁶Dept. of Rheumatology, Doce de Octubre University Hospital, Madrid; ⁷Dept. of Rheumatology, Sierrallana Hospital, Torrelavega; ⁸Dept. of Rheumatology, Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital, Badalona; ⁹Rheumatology Unit, Clínica POVISA de Vigo; ¹⁰Dept. of Rheumatology, Navarra Hospital, Navarra, Pamplona; ¹¹Dept. of Rheumatology, Príncipe de Asturias University Hospital, Madrid; ¹²Dept. of Rheumatology, Salamanca Clinic University Hospital, Salamanca; ¹³Dept. of Rheumatology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Ourense; ¹⁴Dept. of Rheumatology, León Hospital; ¹⁵Dept. of Rheumatology, Marqués de Valdecilla University Hospital, Santander; ¹⁶Rheumatology Department, Puerta del Hierro-Majadahonda Hospital, Madrid; ¹⁷Dept. of Rheumatology, Basurto Hospital, Basurto; ¹⁸Rheumatology Department, Hospital Insular of Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria; ¹⁹Rheumatology Department, Tenerife Clinic Hospital, Tenerife; ²⁰Dept. of Rheumatology, Virgen Macarena Hospital, Sevilla; ²¹Dept. of Rheumatology, Miguel Servet University Hospital, Zaragoza; ²²Dept. of Rheumatology, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Hospital, Murcia; ²³Dept. of Rheumatology, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid; ²⁴Dept. of Rheumatology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Vigo, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Galicia Sur (IISGS), Vigo, Spain.

Abstract

Objective

We aimed to describe juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus (jSLE) features and to establish its differences compared to adult-onset SLE (aSLE) from a large national database.

Methods

Data from patients (≥ 4 ACR criteria) included in Spanish Society of Rheumatology Lupus Registry (RELESSER) were analysed. Sociodemographic, clinical, serological, activity, treatment, cumulative damage, comorbidities and severity data were collected. Patients with disease onset < 18 years were described and compared to those with disease onset ≥ 18 years.

Results

We reviewed 3,428 aSLE patients (89.6% women) and 484 jSLE patients (89.8% girls), 93% Caucasian (both groups). Mean age at diagnosis was 38.1 ± 14 and 16.6 ± 6.3 years ($p < 0.001$) and mean age at the end of follow-up was 48.8 ± 14.3 and 31.5 ± 30 years ($p < 0.001$), respectively. jSLE showed significantly more clinical (including lymphadenopathy, fever, malar rash, mucosal ulcers, pericarditis, pleuritis, Raynaud's phenomenon, lupus nephritis, recurrent nephritis, histologic nephritis changes, thrombocytopenia, haemolytic anaemia, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, seizures, lupus headache and organic brain syndrome) and immunological (a-dsDNA and a-Sm antibodies, hypocomplementaemia) involvement than did aSLE, except for secondary Sjögren's syndrome, a-Ro antibodies, fibromyalgia and osteoporosis. jSLE also showed more SLE family history, longer diagnosis delay, higher SLEDAI and Katz scores, but lower Charlson scores than aSLE. Several specific domains were more frequently involved in SLICC/ACR DI in jSLE. jSLE patients more frequently underwent all SLE-related treatment and procedures, as well as dialysis and kidney transplantations.

Conclusion

jSLE shares many clinical and serological features with aSLE. However, jSLE patients typically manifested more activity, severity, cumulative damage in certain areas, than their aSLE counterparts.

Key words

juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus, adult-onset systemic lupus erythematosus, activity, severity, cumulative damage, comorbidity

Tarek C. Salman-Monte
 Íñigo Rúa-Figueroa
 Fernando Sánchez-Alonso,
 Francisco Javier López-Longo
 María Galindo-Izquierdo
 Jaime Calvo-Alén
 Alejandro Olivé-Marqués
 Jesús Ibañez-Ruán
 Loreto Horcada
 Ana Sánchez-Atrio
 Carlos Montilla
 Rafael Benito Melero-González
 Elvira Díez-Álvarez
 Victor Martínez-Taboada
 José Luis Andreu
 Olaia Fernández-Berribeitia
 José Ángel Hernández-Beriaín
 Marian Gantes
 Blanca Hernández-Cruz
 Ángela Pecondón-Español
 Carlos Marras
 Gema Bonilla
 José M. Pego-Reigosa

Please address correspondence to:
 Dr Vicenç Torrente-Segarra,
 Department of Rheumatology,
 Hospitalet General Hospitalet-Moisès
 Broggi, Hospitalet Llobregat,
 C/ Josep Molins 29-41,
 08906 Hospitalet Llobregat, Spain.
 E-mail: vtorrente@hsjdbcn.org; vicente.torrentesegarra@sanitatintegral.org

Received on January 24, 2017; accepted in revised form on April 3, 2017.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND
 EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2017.

Funding: This study was supported by FIS grant PI11/02857 (Instituto Carlos III, Fondos FEDER).

The RELESSER Registry was founded by grants from GSK, Roche, UCB and Novartis.

The board of Doctor Negrín University Hospital of Gran Canaria approved the protocol. RD 1720.

J.M. Pego-Reigosa is supported by grant 316265 (BIOCAPS) from the European Union 7th Framework Program (FP7/REGPOT-2012-2013.1).

Competing interests: F.J. López-Longo has received speaker fees from Abbvie, Roche Farma, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, UCB, MSD, and research funding from Abbvie and GSK.

The other authors have declared no competing interests.

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a multisystem autoimmune rheumatic disease. Up to 20% of patients with SLE experience disease onset prior to the age of 18 (1). The annual incidence of juvenile SLE onset (jSLE) is estimated to be 0.36–0.9 per 100,000 children per year, which makes it difficult to collect data and advance our understanding of the disease in the particular patient group (1). Despite the improvement in survival rates during the last decades (10-year survival rate ≈90%) (2), jSLE have a significantly lower life expectancy than the general population, with a 4-fold greater risk of death. Mortality rates are also higher compared to adult-onset SLE (aSLE) (3, 4). Indeed, significant differences between aSLE and jSLE in terms of disease onset and course have been described (5–12). jSLE appears to be more aggressive than aSLE and leads to worse outcomes, which underscores the importance of proper diagnosis, treatment and follow-up (13). However, most of those direct comparisons have been made using small jSLE cohorts (14). In addition, different genetic susceptibility backgrounds related to the age at onset of disease have been described within a large sample of SLE patients (11 jSLE and 1206 aSLE) (15). This specific genetic background probably results in a different SLE phenotype based on the age at onset (16).

Nonetheless, many clinical features and laboratory parameters, as well as activity, comorbidities, treatment, damage measures and other long-term outcomes are yet to be explored in a large series of jSLE patients. Moreover, they remain to be compared to aSLE counterparts.

Therefore, we aimed to 1) describe jSLE clinical and serological profiles and 2) compare all clinical and serological features, activity scores, damage, severity, comorbidities and therapeutic SLE-related features between jSLE and aSLE, within a large cohort from the Spanish Society of Rheumatology Lupus Registry (RELESSER).

Materials and methods

Research Study Network

Data were obtained from the Registry of SLE Patients of the Spanish Society of

Rheumatology (RELESSER), which is a hospital-based registry that consists of two stages. The first is a cross-sectional stage, the main objective of which is to describe the characteristics and comorbidities of those patients diagnosed with SLE in Spain. This is followed by a second longitudinal follow-up stage over time involving repeated yearly visits. The RELESSER Registry was conducted by the *Systemic Autoimmune Rheumatic Diseases Study Group* of the Spanish Society of Rheumatology and it included 45 participating rheumatology departments. All investigators signed a written commitment before participation. Informed consent was obtained from all patients who participated in the longitudinal stage. The study was approved by the local ethics committees of the participating centres in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki's guidelines for research in humans (17).

Study design

This is a national, multicentre, descriptive study of a cohort with a cross-sectional analysis made at the time of the last medical visit for every patient (or upon death, if applicable). A detailed description of its methodology has been provided elsewhere (17). Briefly, a specific protocol was created to collect around 400 variables per patient. Information was obtained by reviewing clinical histories and was electronically gathered. In order to minimise missing data, all investigators were encouraged to carry out a census of their SLE patients and fill out any missing data. In order to ensure data homogeneity and quality, every item in the protocol had a highly standardised definition. In addition, all investigators completed a training course beforehand to avoid information bias and all had online access to guidelines on how to complete the protocol. The first patient was enrolled in October 2011 and the electronic data collection was completed by August 2012. Subsequently, a professional monitor with experience in rheumatologic studies reviewed the database to identify any missing or inconsistent. Such occurrences were discussed with the relevant principal investigators and sent to the sub-investigators for additions and/or corrections.

Table I. Clinical, serological, activity and chronicity scores, comorbidity and therapeutic features in juvenile-onset-SLE.

Variable	jSLE (n=484)	Variable	jSLE (n=484)
<i>Sociodemographics</i>		<i>Histologic classification</i>	
Race, Caucasian	441 (93.0%)	- Class I	5 (2.7%)
Age at the last evaluation, years	31.5 ± 10.5 30 [24-38]	- Class II	25 (13.8%)
Delay in diagnosis, months	39.9 ± 5.0 5 [0-37]	- Class III	33 (18.2%)
Age at diagnosis, years	16.6 ± 6.3 16 [13-18]	- Class IV	96 (53.0%)
<i>Constitutional</i>		- Class V	14 (7.7%)
Lymphadenopathy	60 (12.6%)	- Class VI	2 (1.1%)
Weight loss	52 (10.8%)	- Class II and V	3 (1.6%)
Splenomegaly	29 (6.2%)	- Class III and V	1 (0.5%)
Fever**	26 (5.4%)	- Class IV and V	2 (1.1%)
<i>Mucocutaneous</i>		Haematuria*	191 (42.8%)
Malar rash*	327 (68.3%)	Cellular casts*	158 (5.1%)
Photosensitivity*	287 (61.4%)	<i>Creatinine units:</i>	
Mucosal ulcers*	245 (52.4%)	- Micromol/L	6 (3.5%)
Discoid rash*	100 (21.4%)	- Mg/dL	164 (96.4%)
Cutaneous ulcers**	15 (3.1%)	Histological change after re-biopsy	20 (29%)
Scarring alopecia**	9 (1.8%)	Recurrent nephritis**	76 25.1%
<i>Articular</i>		<i>New renal biopsy:</i>	
Arthritis*	375 (78.2%)	- Only 1	33 (20.1%)
Erosive arthritis**	40 (8.4%)	- More than 1	4 (2.4%)
Myositis*	26 (5.6%)	High blood pressure in 1 st nephritis episode	70 (15.4%)
Atrophy, muscle weakness**	15 (3.1%)	Corticosteroids interruption	46 (10.3%)
Osteoporosis**	15 (3.2%)	Creatinine clearance <50%**	36 (7.8%)
Fibromyalgia	11 (2.4%)	Proteinuria >3.5g/24hs**	26 (5.5%)
Tendon rupture**	5 (1.0%)	Renal terminal failure **	27 (5.9%)
Number of avascular osteonecrosis**	1.6 ± 0.6 2 [1-2]	<i>Proteinuria</i>	
<i>Respiratory</i>		- g/24hs	135 (g/24h)
Pleuritis*	134 (28.3%)	- mg/24hs	34 (mg/24h)
Interstitial alveolitis	20 (4.2%)	<i>Neuropsychiatric</i>	
Pulmonary embolism	11 (2.2%)	Depression	71 (15.0%)
Lung fibrosis**	6 (1.2%)	Seizures*	65 (13.6%)
Pulmonary hypertension**	8 (1.6%)	Seizures**	46 (9.7%)
Alveolar haemorrhage	6 (1.2%)	Lupus headache(s)*	40 (8.5%)
Shrinking lung	4 (0.8%)	Organic brain syndrome*	25 (5.3%)
Pleural fibrosis**	1.0 (0.2%)	Cognitive impairment/ Psychosis**	21 (4.5%)
Pulmonary infarction**	1.0 (0.2%)	Psychosis*	19 (4.0%)
<i>Heart</i>		Neuropathy**	13 (2.8%)
Pericarditis*	94 (19.9%)	Transverse myelitis**	3 (0.6%)
Vasculitis*	60 (12.8%)	Number of strokes**	1.2±0.6 1[1-1]
Vascular disease**	25 (5.2%)	<i>Ophthalmological</i>	
Valvular dysfunction**	19 (4.0%)	Cataract**	31 (6.5%)
Libman-Sachs Endocarditis	8 (1.7%)	Visual disorder*	24 (5.1%)
Cardiomyopathy**	7 (1.5%)	Retinopathy**	22 (4.6%)
Angina or by pass**	6 (1.2%)	<i>Gastrointestinal</i>	
Myocarditis**	3 (0.6%)	Serositis*	18 (3.9%)
Number of heart attacks**	1.1 ± 0.0 1.0 [1-1]	Hepatitis	13 (2.7%)
<i>Vascular</i>		Pancreatic insufficiency	1 (0.2%)
Raynaud phenomenon	172 (37.5%)	<i>Haematological</i>	
Small tissue loss**	22 (4.7%)	Leukopenia*	322 (68.1%)
Major tissue loss**	3 (0.6%)	Lymphopenia	1964 (52.7%)
Venous thrombosis**	3 (0.6%)	Thrombocytopenia*	136 (29.4%)
Intermittent claudication >6 months**	1 (0.2%)	Haemolytic anemia*	70 (14.9%)
<i>Kidney</i>		Severe thrombocytopenia	44 (9.7%)
Proteinuria >0.5 g*	220 (46.2%)	Haemoglobin < 8 gr/dl*	40 (9.0%)
Lupus nephritis	216 (45.8%)	Haemophagocytic syndrome	2 (0.4%)
		Low haematocrit*	32.3 ± 6.0 33.5 [29-36.8]

Variable	jSLE (n=484)	Variable	jSLE (n=484)
<i>Immunological</i>		<i>Malignance**:</i>	
ANA*	475 (98.5%)	- Solid without metastasis	15 (3.1%)
Low complement*	403 (85.2%)	- Solid with metastasis	0 (0%)
Anti-dsDNA*	391 (83.0%)	- Leukaemia	1 (0.2%)
False Lues serology*	200 (44.1%)	- Lymphoma	2 (0.4%)
Anti-Ro*	155 (33.4%)	<i>Pharmacological treatment</i>	
Anti-RNP	120 (26.0%)	Corticosteroids (ever)	433 (93%)
Anti-Sm*	118 (25.9%)	<i>Corticosteroids dosage:</i>	
Anti-La	87 (18.8%)	- <10 mg	211 (75.3%)
<i>Other</i>		- 10-30 mg	50 (17.8%)
Amenorrhoea <40	22 (51.2%)	- 30-60 mg	14 (5%)
Rare manifestations	56 (11.6%)	- >60 mg	5 (1.7%)
Secondary Sjögren's	31 (6.5%)	Antimalarial	382 (82.2%)
Malignant neoplasia**	18 (3.8%)	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	327 (70.7%)
Other connective	15 (3.6%)	Azathioprine	212 (46.1%)
Mixed connective tissue disorder	7 (1.7%)	Aspirin	167 (41.9%)
Number of malignancies**	1.3 ± 0.6 1 [1-1]	Cyclophosphamide	167 (36.1%)
<i>SLE criteria</i>		Mycophenolate mophetil	113 (24.7%)
Number of SLE criteria	6.4 ± 1.8 6 [5-8]	Corticosteroids for kidney disease	119 (24.5%)
<i>Indexes</i>		Corticosteroids for cutaneous disease	83 (17.1%)
SLEDAI	3.3 ± [4.1] 2 [0-4]	Oral anticoagulants	63 (13.7%)
SLICC	1.2 ± 1.6 1 [0-2]	Corticosteroids (for haematological disease)	64 (13.2%)
KATZ	3.1 ± 1.9 3 [2-4]	Methotrexate	63 (13.1%)
CHARLSON	1.6 ± 1.2 1 [1-2]	Rituximab	47 (10.3%)
<i>Mortality</i>		Immunoglobulin IV	41 (9.1%)
Dead	13 (3%)	Mycophenolic acid	18 (4.6%)
<i>Comorbidity</i>		Leflunomide	14 (2.9%)
Smokers	123 (28.8%)	Anti-TNF	9 (1.9%)
Dyslipidaemia	104 (22.4%)	Abatacept	1 (0.2%)
Family history	77 (21.3%)	<i>Non pharmacological treatment</i>	
High blood pressure	100 (20.8%)	Dialysis	23 (5%)
Autoimmune thyroiditis	31 (6.6%)	Kidney transplantation	15 (3.3%)
Alcoholism	14 (3.1%)	Splenectomy	14 (3.1%)
Congestive heart failure	8 (1.7%)	Plasmapheresis	11 (2.3%)
<i>Diabetes mellitus**:</i>		<i>Comorbidities treatment</i>	
- With affection	8 (1.7%)	Calcium and Vitamin D	304 (69.3%)
- Without affection	0 (0%)	Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors	137 (31.1%)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	7 (1.5%)	Statins	85 (19.4%)
Peripheral arterial disease	3 (0.7%)	Anti-osteoporotic	85 (19.1%)
		Diuretic	90 (20.7%)
		Anti-diabetic	6 (1.4%)

Mean: standard deviation); Median: [range]; Number: (percentage). *SLEDAI items. **SLICC/ACR items.

Study population

Out of 4,024 SLE-diagnosed patients enrolled in the cross-sectional stage of the RELESSER Registry, all those who fulfilled at least four American College Rheumatology (ACR) 1997 SLE criteria (18, 19) were included. We divided patients in two groups, those in whom the disease started prior to 18 years of age (jSLE) and those in whom it started at 18-years-old or later (aSLE).

Variables

Socio-demographics: age, ethnicity, sex, age at onset, delay of diagnosis, and disease duration.

Clinical and serological features (by organs and systems) (17): extracted from ACR criteria, SLE Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI), British Island Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG 2004) (20) and Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index (SLICC/

ACR DI) specific items, infrequent manifestations, mortality and cause of death (17).

Activity score: SLEDAI (21) at the time of the last evaluation.

Immunological factors: complement levels, ANA, anti-Ro/SS-A, anti-La/SS-B, anti-U1RNP, anti-Sm, anti-dsDNA, anti-cardiolipin antibodies and lupus anticoagulant, as measured using standardised techniques.

Damage and severity scores: cumulative

damage was assessed using the SLICC/ACR DI (22), and disease severity with the Katz index (23).

SLE treatment factors: current or previous use (and reason for discontinuation) of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), oral and intravenous steroids (including maximum dose and reason for prescribing them), azathioprine, hydroxychloroquine, methotrexate, leflunomide, cyclosporine-A, mycophenolate mophetil, cyclophosphamide, rituximab, belimumab, immunoglobulins, mycophenolic acid, plasmapheresis, splenectomy, dialysis and kidney transplantation.

Co-morbidities and their treatments: smoking status, dyslipidaemia, diabetes, high blood pressure, hypothyroidism, chronic pneumopathy, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, peripheral vasculopathy, malignancies, liver disease, severe infections, hospitalisations, oral hypoglycemic drugs, statins, anti-hypertensive medication, oral contraception, calcium-vitamin D and bisphosphonates and Charlson index (17, 24).

Any and all variable-related information was classified as 'present' if it occurred at any time since SLE onset (12). A specific guideline of codes and definitions for all RELESSER investigators was created to standardise and clarify data collection.

Statistical analyses

Means and standard deviations or medians and interquartile percentiles for numeric variables based on normal distribution, as well as absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables, were calculated. Global and segmented population-based analyses on the presence of jSLE *versus* aSLE were carried out. The relation of each independent variable with the dependent variable (age at diagnosis) was assessed by applying statistical tests: the Student's *t*-test for numerical variables and the Chi-squared test for comparing categorical variables among groups.

Statistical significance was assumed as $p < 0.05$. All analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Table II. All clinical, serological, activity and chronicity scores, comorbidity and therapeutic features we found significantly different among juvenile-onset-SLE and adult-onset-SLE ($p < 0.05$).

Variable	aSLE (n=3.428)	jSLE (n=484)	p-value
<i>Constitutional</i>			
Lymphadenopathy	321 (9.6%)	60 (12.6%)	0.039
Splenomegaly	96 (2.9%)	29 (6.2%)	<0.001
Fever**	117 (3.5%)	26 (5.4%)	0.035
<i>Mucocutaneous</i>			
Malar rash*	1656 (49.0%)	327 (68.3%)	<0.001
Mucosal ulcers*	1388 (41.2%)	245 (52.4%)	<0.001
<i>Articular</i>			
Myositis*	113 (3.4%)	26 (5.6%)	0.033
Osteoporosis**	252 (7.6%)	15 (3.2%)	0.001
Fibromyalgia	224 (6.7%)	11 (2.4%)	<0.001
<i>Heart</i>			
Pericarditis*	486 (15.5%)	94 (19.9%)	0.009
Vasculitis*	267 (8%)	60 (12.8%)	0.001
Cardiomyopathy**	104 (3.1%)	7 (1.5%)	0.050
<i>Respiratory</i>			
Pleuritis*	693 (20.7%)	134 (28.3%)	0.001
<i>Vascular</i>			
Raynaud	1068 (32.2%)	172 (37.5%)	0.022
Small tissue loss**	66 (2%)	22 (4.7%)	<0.001
<i>Kidney</i>			
Lupus nephritis	867 (25.9%)	216 (45.8%)	<0.001
Proteinuria >0.5 g*	884 (26.7%)	220 (46.2%)	<0.001
Haematuria*	853 (26.5%)	191 (42.8%)	<0.001
Cellular casts*	568 (17.4%)	158 (35.1%)	<0.001
Creatinine units			
- Micromol/L	522	135	
- Mg/dL	212	34	0.021
High blood pressure in 1st nephritis flare	328 (10%)	70 (15.4%)	<0.001
Recurrent nephritis**	237 (12.7%)	76 (25.1%)	<0.001
New renal biopsy:			
- Only 1	96 (11.2%)	33 (20.1%)	
- More than 1	15 (1.7%)	4 (2.4%)	0.006
Histological change	49 (14.1%)	20 (29%)	0.002
Creatinine clearance <50%**	160 (4.8%)	36 (7.8%)	0.007
Proteinuria >3.5g/24hs**	106 (3.2%)	26 (5.5%)	0.007
Renal failure terminal**	72 (2.2%)	27 (5.9%)	<0.001
Corticosteroids interruption	198 (6.2%)	46 (10.3%)	0.001
<i>Neuropsychiatric</i>			
Seizures*	178 (5.3%)	65 (13.6%)	0.004
Lupus headache*	180 (5.4%)	40 (8.5%)	0.023
Organic brain syndrome*	83 (2.5%)	25 (5.3%)	0.001
Psychosis*	61 (0.8%)	19 (4.0%)	<0.001
Cognitive impairment/ Psychosis**	84 (4.2%)	21 (4.5%)	0.015
Seizures**	141 (4.2%)	46 (9.7%)	<0.001
<i>Gastrointestinal</i>			
Serositis*	47 (1.4%)	18 (3.9%)	<0.001
<i>Haematological</i>			
Thrombocytopenia*	717 (21.8%)	136 (29.4%)	0.001
Haemolytic anaemia*	257 (7.8%)	70 (14.9%)	<0.001
Severe thrombocytopenia	183 (5.6%)	44 (9.7%)	0.001
Haemoglobin < 8 gr/dl	145 (4.4%)	40 (9.0%)	<0.001
Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura	72 (2.2%)	17 (3.7%)	0.046
Haematocrit*	34±6.0 35 [31-37.8]	32.3±6.0 33.5 [29-36.8]	<0.001

Variable	aSLE (n=3.428)	jSLE (n=484)	p-value
<i>Serological (immuno-haematological)</i>			
Low complement*	2503 (74.5%)	403 (85.2%)	<0.001
Anti-dsDNA*	2300 (68.7%)	391 (83.0%)	<0.001
False Lues serology*	1158 (36.7%)	200 (44.1%)	<0.003
Anti-Ro*	1315 (39.5%)	155 (33.4%)	0.011
Anti-Sm*	622 (19.0%)	118 (25.9%)	<0.001
<i>Other</i>			
Amenorrhoea <40	74 (6.7%)	22 (51.2%)	<0.001
Malignant neoplasia**	206 (6.1%)	18 (3.8%)	0.039
Secondary Sjögren's	508 (15.3%)	31 (6.5%)	<0.001
Rare manifestations	257 (7.5%)	56 (11.6%)	0.002
Number of malignances**	1.0 ± 0.2 1 [1-1]	1.3 ± 0.6 1 [1-1]	<0.001
Number of SLE criteria	5.4 ± 1.6 5 [4-7]	6.4 ± 1.8 6 [5-8]	<0.001
<i>Indexes</i>			
SLEDAI	2.4 ± 3.5 2 [0-4]	3.3 ± [4.1] 2 [0-4]	<0.001
KATZ	2.4 ± 1.5 2 [1-3]	3.1 ± 1.9 3 [2-4]	<0.001
CHARLSON	2.4 ± 1.9 2 [1-3]	1.6 ± 1.2 1 [1-2]	<0.001
<i>Mortality</i>			
Death	207 (6.6%)	13 (3%)	0.003
<i>Causes of death</i>			
- SLE related	51 (24.6%)	5 (38.4%)	0.267
- Infections	53 (32.3%)	4 (44.4%)	0.451
- Malignancy	32 (19.8%)	0 (0%)	0.138
- Cardiovascular	47 (30.3%)	5 (55.6%)	0.114
- Other	4 (2.7%)	1 (12.5%)	0.125
- Unknown	33 (19.9%)	2 (16.7%)	0.787
<i>Comorbidity</i>			
Smokers	1341 (43.3%)	123 (28.8%)	<0.001
Dyslipidaemia	1076 (32.7%)	104 (22.4%)	<0.001
Family history	381 (15.2%)	77 (21.3%)	0.003
High blood pressure	1001 (29.5%)	100 (20.8%)	<0.001
Congestive cardiac insufficiency	149 (4.4%)	8 (1.7%)	0.005
<i>Diabetes mellitus**</i>			
With organ involvement	164 (4.8%)	8 (1.7%)	
Without organ involvement	30 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	101 (3 %)	7 (1.5%)	0.057
Peripheral arterial disease	75 (2.4%)	3 (0.7%)	0.021
<i>Pharmacological treatment</i>			
Corticosteroids	2813 (86.3%)	433 (93%)	<0.001
NSAIDs	2296 (71.7%)	327 (70.7%)	0.008
Azathioprine	939 (29.1%)	212 (46.1%)	<0.001
Aspirin	982 (35.8%)	167 (41.9%)	0.017
Cyclophosphamide	604 (18.7%)	167 (36.1%)	<0.001
Mycophenolate mophetil	399 (12.4%)	113 (24.7%)	0.034
Corticosteroids for kidney disease	449 (13.0%)	119 (24.5%)	<0.001
Corticosteroids for cutaneous involvement	430 (12.5%)	83 (17.1%)	0.017
Corticosteroids for haematological involvement	326 (9.4%)	64 (13.2%)	0.037
Rituximab	179 (5.6%)	47 (10.3%)	<0.001
Immunoglobulin IV	120 (3.6%)	41 (9.1%)	<0.001
Mycophenolic acid	66 (2.1%)	18 (4.6%)	<0.001
<i>Non pharmacological treatment</i>			
Dialysis	84 (2.7%)	23 (5%)	0.002
Kidney transplantation	42 (1.4%)	15 (3.3%)	0.001
Splenectomy	45 (1.4%)	14 (3.1%)	0.008
<i>Comorbidities treatment</i>			
Calcium and Vitamin D	2028 (64.3%)	304 (69.3%)	<0.001
Statins	803 (25.8%)	85 (19.4%)	0.013
Anti-osteoporotic	771 (29.5%)	85 (19.1%)	<0.001
Anti-diabetic	154 (4.9%)	6 (1.4%)	0.001

Mean: (Standard Deviation); Median: [range]; Number: (percentage).

*SLEDAI items. **SLICC/ACR items.

Results

Demography

We included 3.428 aSLE (89.6 % female) and 484 jSLE (89.8 % female); 93.3% of aSLE and 93.0% of jSLE were Caucasians, with mean (±SD) age at diagnosis: 38.1(±14.0) and 16.6 (±6.3) years ($p<0.001$), respectively. Mean (±SD) delay in diagnosis since first disease symptom was 24.7 (±47.4) vs. 39.9 (±77.3) months ($p<0.001$), mean disease duration (since diagnosis) 14.9 (±9.7) and 10.7 (±7.2) years ($p<0.001$), respectively; and mean age at end of follow-up: 48.8 (±14.3), 31.5 (±10.5) years ($p<0.001$), respectively. Prevalence data for all jSLE variables is shown in detail in Table I. Herein we summarise the most frequent (>50%) and clinically relevant findings among each category. Among the more prevalent clinical manifestations (by domains) in jSLE patients were: malar rash, photosensitivity and mucosal ulcers (mucocutaneous); arthritis (musculoskeletal); lupus nephritis, proteinuria and haematuria, with Class IV the most frequent histologic type (renal); pleuritis (pulmonary); pericarditis (cardiovascular); seizures (neuropsychiatric); and leukopenia, lymphopenia (Haematological). Of all the immunological features assessed, hypocomplementaemia and anti-dsDNA were the most prevalent. Among the main treatments used, corticosteroids, anti-malarial, NSAIDs, were the drugs most frequently prescribed.

Table II summarises all of the significant differences between the two groups in detail. Herein we recap the most relevant and statistically significant differences that were more frequently observed in jSLE than in aSLE: lymphadenopathy, fever; malar rash, mucosal ulcers; pericarditis, pleuritis, Raynaud's phenomenon; lupus nephritis, proteinuria, haematuria, recurrent nephritis, new renal biopsy; seizures, lupus headache, organic brain syndrome; thrombocytopenia, severe thrombocytopenia, haemolytic anaemia, haemoglobin <8 gr/dl, and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; low complement, anti-dsDNA and false lues serology; higher SLEDAI and KATZ scores; SLE family history; and the use of all SLE-related

treatment types. aSLE patients suffered higher mortality rates, as well as more secondary cases of Sjögren; anti-Ro; fibromyalgia; and osteoporosis.

After adjusting by disease duration, mortality remained higher in the aSLE group compared to jSLE (Table B, Supplementary material).

All other variables that did not reach statistical significance are shown in the Table A of the Supplementary Material section.

Discussion

In the present paper we show that jSLE presented more severe and greater numbers of SLE features compared to aSLE in a large cohort of patients. Our registry allowed us to explore many SLE-related characteristics in a long-term group of adult- and juvenile-onset SLE patients. Therefore, we are able to confirm previous published data and to add new knowledge to the differing phenotype between these two types of SLE patients.

We found similar data regarding the prevalence of constitutional, haematological, central nervous system and immunological involvement in jSLE than what has been previously described (9, 10, 12, 13). However, we found the incidence of renal disease to be slightly lower than previous authors reported (14), which was mainly due to class-IV nephritis, as other series have shown. Importantly, recurrent renal disease was shown to occur in up to 25% of patients, doubling the recurrence rate observed in aSLE; moreover, changes in histologic class were observed in 28.9% of those who underwent additional biopsies. This latter finding, as well as the greater prevalence of renal disease, probably led to the more frequent use of several immunosuppressant drugs, rituximab, steroids (via any route and at a higher doses), dialysis and renal transplantation observed in our study. Regarding jSLE CNS involvement, we found lower prevalences of psychosis, seizures, organic brain syndrome, and lupus headaches than other authors have reported (14). A lower prevalence of lymphadenopathy (12.6%) than what has been previously published was also observed. The incidence rate of mu-

cosal ulcers was also higher than previously reported (25). Finally, 8.4% of jSLE patients with arthritis presented deforming Jaccoud's arthropathy.

As previously described, jSLE patients presented more activity and severe disease, and more vital organ involvement than aSLE (1, 3-15). This finding is based on the higher prevalence of severe organ manifestations, such as renal and CNS involvement and the higher need of steroids, immunosuppressant drugs and biological therapies, compared to aSLE. Furthermore, jSLE patients exhibited higher scores in the Katz severity index, a proven index of SLE severity. Although no statistical difference was reached in SLICC/ACR DI index, certain areas of several domains included in this SLE cumulative damage index were more frequent in jSLE patients compared to aSLE. These involved areas were: small tissue loss, creatinine clearance <50%, proteinuria >3.5 g/24hs, terminal renal failure, amenorrhoea <40 years of age, cognitive impairment/psychosis and seizures. Osteoporosis, diabetes mellitus, cardiomyopathy and malignant neoplasia were more frequent in aSLE patients compared to jSLE patients. jSLE scores were higher in several activity, severity and damage indices. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that Charlson has been used in a large cohort of jSLE patients. Data on SLICC/ACR DI index scores in jSLE varies among different research groups when comparing to aSLE and late-onset (>50 years old) SLE. Several authors have found higher scores in late-onset SLE than in aSLE and jSLE (26, 27). The latter observation has been attributed to aging, comorbid conditions and the presence of traditional risk factors in late-onset SLE, while being a supposed milder SLE disease. In our study, no differences were observed, although we did not assess late-onset SLE patients.

With our more robust study, in terms of the number of patients included overall, our results are in agreement with previous studies that recorded more frequent renal, CNS, pericardial, severe haematological and immunological (DNAs, Sm autoantibodies and hypocomple-

mentaemia) manifestations (1, 3-13).

Mortality was higher in the aSLE group, although no differences were observed regarding SLE-related or other specific causes of death. This lower rate of mortality differs from what has been published in the literature, as 90% of jSLE patients achieved a 10-year survival rate in one study (2). We believe one possible explanation for this stems from the likelihood that jSLE patients would be more adherent to follow-up visits, treatments, health behaviour recommendations, and, finally, to tighter control. Indeed, as they are highly encouraged to be more compliant since their juvenile onset by parents, mentors and caregivers, the lower mortality rates observed in these patients is not unexpected. Unfortunately, our study could not assess the latter and we cannot confirm this suggestion. Moreover, since most of our patients were Caucasian we must be cautious in this regard, as jSLE is reportedly more frequent and severe in African-Americans, Native Americans and Hispanic communities compared to Caucasian patients (14). Therefore, our study would not have accounted for the impact on 10-year mortality rates that the inclusion of these ethnicities, if widely represented in our cohort, might have yielded. In addition, aSLE patients presented more comorbidity inherent to aging and adult behaviour (smoking, dyslipidaemia, cardiac failure, obstructive pulmonary disease, malignancy, etc.) that might explain a higher mortality rate in aSLE patients. However, the prevalence of some of these comorbidities remains of real concern in jSLE. Therefore, physicians and healthcare-givers must be aware of them and take them into consideration when treating each individual patient.

However, particular features related to jSLE activity - *e.g.* nephrotic-range proteinuria - have also been posted as risk factors for the presence of long-term comorbidities such as early-onset atherosclerosis (onset prior to 18 years of age), high blood pressure and poorer blood lipid profile; this was the case in a 26 jSLE patient study (16). Our study could not confirm that the greater prevalence of nephrotic-range proteinuria

shown by jSLE *versus* aSLE patients also led to a greater incidence of atherosclerosis-related comorbidities (16). Among other comorbidities, secondary Sjögren's syndrome occurred more often in aSLE, which might be linked to the presence of more frequent anti-Ro positivity in aSLE patients than in jSLE.

Comparing our data with previous studies, we disagree with those who found more frequently lower female:male ratio and more Raynaud's phenomenon in jSLE patients than in the aSLE population (13, 14, 23, 28), except for the study of Martinez-Barrio *et al.* who also found Raynaud's phenomenon as and independent risk factor for damage accrual (26) and the study of Choi *et al.* (29).

One of the limitations of this study is its cross-sectional design; a longitudinal study can more adequately assess clinical and serological features of jSLE over time. Although it is an intrinsic characteristic of real-world settings, another limitation is the lack of unique and homogenous techniques for assessing each laboratory marker. Moreover, the lack of sufficient information concerning non-Caucasian ethnicities would prevent a more complete picture of jSLE. On the other hand, our study has several strengths: the considerable amount of data collected, the large number of patients, the participation of several centres of every region from an entire country and the long follow-up time. Besides, it might be interesting in future studies to compare the impact of the disease on physical and psychosocial development between jSLE and aSLE, to better understand the consequences on the quality of life of an early onset disease.

In conclusion, we compared clinical and serological features, treatment, comorbidities, severity, disease activity and damage indexes scores, and mortality between a large group of jSLE and aSLE patients. We found substantial differences among them, not only confirming previously published data, but also adding relevant new information for the management of jSLE patients. We observed that jSLE patients presented more severe disease with

frequent organic-specific involvement. Further investigations involving prospective studies are needed to confirm our observations.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ms M^aJesús García de Yébenes for her work in coordinating the RELESSER-T Registry.

The authors would like to thank all of the investigators who participated in the RELESSER-T Registry patient enrolment at all the Spanish treatment centres:

Ricardo Blanco, Paloma Vela-Casasempere, Rafael Melero-González, Teresa Otón-Sánchez, Eva Tornero-Muriel, Esther Uriarte-Isacelaya, Mercedes Freire-González, María Concepción Fito-Manteca, Antonio Fernández-Nebro, Javier Narváez, Antonio Zea-Mendoza, José Carlos Rosas-Gómez de Salazar, Mónica Ibáñez-Barceló, José J. Pérez-Venegas, Inmaculada de la Torre Ortega, Luis Carreño Pérez, Patricia Carreira Delgado, Esther Rodríguez-Almaraz, Esteban Salas Heredia, Gregorio Santos Soler, Carlos Santos Ramírez, José M. Senabre Gallego, Mariano Andrés Collado, José Antonio Bernal, Inmaculada Ros Vilamajó, Antonio Juan Mas, Claudia Murillo, Ivan Castellví Barranco, Dolors Grados, Joan Calvet Fontova, María García Manrique, Carlos Galisteo Lencastre, Mireia Moreno Martínez-Losa, Raúl Menor Almagro, Miguel A. González-Gay Mantecón, Inés Pérez Martíny, M. del Carmen Bejerano, Ignacio Villa Blanco, Begoña Moreira, Elena Aurrecoechea, Teresa Ruiz Jimeno, Ángeles Aguirre Zamorano, César Magro, Enrique Raya Álvarez, Celia Erausquin Arruabarrena, M. Ángeles Acosta Mérida, Cesar A. Egües Dubuc, Jorge Cancio Fanlo, Elvira Díez Álvarez, Carlos Vitovi, Alejandra López Robles, Tomás Vázquez Rodríguez, M. Victoria Irigoyen Oyarzábal, M. Ángeles Belmonte López, Carmen M. Romero Barco, Juan Antonio Martínez López, Olga Sánchez Pernaute, Txaro García de Vicuña Pinedo, Marta Valero Expósito, Paloma García de la Peña, Silvia Rodríguez Rubio, Jorge J.

González Martín, Ana Pérez Gómez, Cristina Bohórquez, Atusa Morasat Hajkhan, Ana I. Turrión Nieves, Ana J. Lois Iglesias, Aline Lucice Boteanu, M. Luz Gamir Gamir, Patricia Richi Alberti, Santiago Muñoz Fernández, María Rosario Oliva, Claudia Stoye, Íñigo Hernández Rodríguez, Coral Mourriño Rodríguez, Bruno de Aspe de la Iglesia, Ruth López González, Federico Navarro Sarabia, Francisco J. Toyos Sáenz de Miera, José Luis Marengo de la Fuente, Julia Uceda Montañés, Raquel Hernández Sánchez, Rosalía Martínez Pérez, Beatriz Rodríguez Lozano, Eduardo Úcar Angulo, M. Esther Ruiz Lucea, Luis López Domínguez, Juan J. Alegre Sancho, Isabel de La Morena Barrio, Elia Valls, Javier Manero Ruiz, Víctor E. Quevedo Vila, Sergio Machín, Javier Nóvoa, and Lucia Silva Fernández.

References

1. WATSON L, LEONE V, PILKINGTON C *et al.*; UK Juvenile-Onset Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Study Group: Disease activity, severity, and damage in the UK Juvenile-Onset Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Cohort. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012; 64: 2356-65.
2. CERVERA R, KHAMASHTA MA, FONT J *et al.*; THE EUROPEAN WORKING PARTY ON SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS: Morbidity and mortality in systemic lupus erythematosus during a 10-year period: a comparison of early and late manifestations in a cohort of 1,000 patients. *Medicine* (Baltimore) 2003; 82: 299-308.
3. HERSH AO, TRUPIN L, YAZDANY J *et al.*: Childhood-onset disease as a predictor of mortality in an adult cohort of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Care Res* (Hoboken) 2010; 62: 1152-9.
4. MOSS KE, IOANNOU Y, SULTAN SM, HAQ I, ISENBERG DA: Outcome of a cohort of 300 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus attending a dedicated clinic for over two decades. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002; 61: 409-13.
5. HOUGHTON KM, PAGE J, CABRAL DA, PETTY RE, TUCKER LB: Systemic lupus erythematosus in the pediatric North American Native population of British Columbia. *J Rheumatol* 2006; 33: 161-3.
6. BRUNNER HI, GLADMAN DD, IBANEZ D, UROWITZ MD, SILVERMAN ED: Difference in disease features between childhood-onset and adult-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008; 58: 556-62.
7. TUCKER LB, MENON S, SCHALLER JG, ISENBERG DA: Adult- and childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus: a comparison of onset, clinical features, serology, and outcome. *Br J Rheumatol* 1995; 34: 866-72.
8. PANDE I, SEKHARAN NG, KAILASH S *et al.*: Analysis of clinical and laboratory profile in Indian childhood systemic lupus erythemato-

- sus and its comparison with SLE in adults. *Lupus* 1993; 2: 83-7.
9. FONT J, CERVERA R, ESPINOSA G *et al.*: Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) in childhood: analysis of clinical and immunological findings in 34 patients and comparison with SLE characteristics in adults. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1998; 57: 456-9.
 10. CARREÑO L, LOPEZ-LONGO FJ, MONTE-AGUDO I *et al.*: Immunological and clinical differences between juvenile and adult onset of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 1999; 8: 287-92.
 11. HERSH AO, VON SCHEVEN E, YAZDANY J *et al.*: Differences in long-term disease activity and treatment of adult patients with childhood- and adult-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009; 61: 13-20.
 12. HOFFMAN IE, LAUWERYS BR, DE KEYSER F *et al.*: Juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus: different clinical and serological pattern than adult-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68: 412-15.
 13. TUCKER LB, URIBE AG, FERNANDEZ M *et al.*: Adolescent onset of lupus results in more aggressive disease and worse outcomes: results of a nested matched case-control study within LUMINA, a multiethnic US cohort (LUMINA LVII). *Lupus* 2008; 17: 314-22.
 14. PAPADIMITRAKI ED, ISENBERG DA: Childhood- and adult- onset lupus: an update of similarities and differences. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2009; 5: 391-403.
 15. WEBB R, KELLY JA, SOMERS EC *et al.*: Early disease onset is predicted by a higher genetic risk for lupus and is associated with a more severe phenotype in lupus patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011; 70: 151-6.
 16. FALASCHI F, RAVELLI A, MARTIGNONI A *et al.*: Nephrotic-range proteinuria, the major risk factor for early atherosclerosis in juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 43: 1405-9.
 17. RÚA-FIGUEROA I, LÓPEZ-LONGO FJ, CALVO-ALÉN J *et al.*: National registry of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus of the Spanish Society of Rheumatology: objectives and methodology. *Reumatol Clin* 2014; 10: 17-24.
 18. HOCHBERG MC: Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997; 40: 1725.
 19. TAN EM, COHEN AS, FRIES JF *et al.*: The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982; 25: 1271-7.
 20. YEE CS, FAREWELL V, ISENBERG DA *et al.*: Revised British Isles Lupus Assessment Group 2004 Index. A reliable tool for assessment of systemic lupus erythematosus activity. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 54: 3300-5.
 21. HAWKER G, GABRIEL S, BOMBARDIER C, GOLDSMITH C, CARON D, GLADMAN D: A reliability study of SLEDAI: A disease activity index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 1993; 20: 657-60.
 22. GLADMAN D, GINZLER E, GOLDSMITH C *et al.*: The development and initial validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996; 39: 363-9.
 23. KATZ JD, SENECA JL, RIVEST C, GOULET JR, ROTHFIELD N: A simple severity of disease index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 1993; 2: 119-23.
 24. DEYO RA, CHERKIN DC, CIOL MA: Adapting a clinical comorbidity index for use with ICD-9-CM administrative databases. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992; 45: 613-9.
 25. MINA R, BRUNNER HI: Pediatric lupus--are there differences in presentation, genetics, response to therapy, and damage accrual compared with adult lupus? *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2010; 36: 53-80.
 26. MARTÍNEZ-BARRIO J, OVALLES-BONILLA JG, LÓPEZ-LONGO FJ *et al.*: Juvenile, adult and late-onset systemic lupus erythematosus: a long term follow-up study from a geographic and ethnically homogeneous population. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2015; 33: 788-94.
 27. SOUSA S, GONÇALVES MJ, INÊS LS *et al.*: Clinical features and long-term outcomes of systemic lupus erythematosus: comparative data of childhood, adult and late-onset disease in a national register. *Rheumatol Int* 2016; 36: 955-60.
 28. AMBROSE N, MORGAN TA, GALLOWAY J, IONNOAU Y, BERESFORD MW, ISENBERG DA; UK JSLE STUDY GROUP: Differences in disease phenotype and severity in SLE across age groups. *Lupus* 2016; 25: 1542-50.
 29. CHOI JH, PARK DJ, KANG JH *et al.*: Comparison of clinical and serological differences among juvenile-, adult-, and late-onset systemic lupus erythematosus in Korean patients. *Lupus* 2015; 24: 1342-9.