## Reply to comment on: Clinical efficacy of oral alendronate in ankylosing spondylitis: a randomised placebo-controlled trial

## Sirs,

We thank Giollo and colleagues for their comment. They suggest that our placebo controlled trial (1) on oral alendronate was not effective in the treatment of ankylosing spondvlitis (AS) in contrast to the open label studies using intravenous bisphosphonates, since the dose delivered with intravenous bisphosphonate is far greater than can be achieved with once weekly oral dosing. Whilst we accept that the oral dose of alendronate in any month would be lower than that given through the intravenous route, the problem with the intravenous bisphosphonate studies has been that they have been open label and not placebo-controlled, giving rise to conflicting results (2, 3). Our interpretation of these open label trials is that they have not proven that intravenous bisphosphonates are effective in reducing the clinical burden of disease in AS and this can only be established if they are conducted as placebo controlled trials. We accept that such a trial may prove difficult due to the occurrence of an acute phase response with the intravenous amino bisphosphonates, but it is only after such a trial has proven to be effective that we can then speculate on the possible mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates on the immune system or on immune cells. The authors have suggested one possible mechanism through a reduction in circulating levels of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, which are expanded in patients with AS (4).

The results of our study show that oral bisphosphonates are ineffective in modifying the clinical presentation of ankylosing spondylitis. Whether intravenous bisphosphonates behave differently will need to be demonstrated in a proper placebo controlled trial with adequate numbers of patients.

A.K. BHALLA<sup>1</sup> A.S. BHALLA<sup>1</sup> L.C. COATES<sup>2</sup> J.C. PACKHAM<sup>3</sup> P. CREAMER<sup>4</sup> S. HAILWOOD<sup>5</sup> K. CHAKRAVARTY<sup>6</sup> D. MULHERIN<sup>7</sup> G. TAYLOR<sup>1</sup> D.L. MATTEY<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Bath, UK; <sup>2</sup>Tameside Hospital, Ashton-under-Lyme, Lancashire, UK; <sup>3</sup>Haywood Rheumatology Centre, Stoke on Trent, UK; <sup>4</sup>Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK; <sup>3</sup>Rheumatic Disease Unit, Whyteman's Brae Hospital, Kirkcaldy, Fife, UK; <sup>6</sup>Royal Free Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; <sup>7</sup>Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust, Cannock Chase Hospital, Brunswick Road, Staffordshire, UK; <sup>8</sup>Institute of Science and Technology in Medicine, Keele University, Staffordshire, UK.

## Letters to the Editors

Address correspondence to: Dr Ashok K. Bhalla, Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Upper Borough Walls, BA 11 RL Bath, United Kingdom. E-mail: ashok.bhalla@nhs.net Competing interests: none declared.

## References

- COATES L, PACKHAM JC, CREAMER P et al.: Clinical efficacy of oral alendronate in ankylosing spondylitis: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2017; 35: 445-51.
- MAKSYMOWYCH WP, JHANGERI GS, FITZ-GERALD AA et al.: Six month randomised, controlled, double-blind, dose response comparison of intravenous Pamidronate (60mg vs 10mg) in the treatment of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugrefractory ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Rheum 2002; 46: 766-73.
- GROVER R, SHANKAR S, ANEJA R et al.: Treatment of ankylosing spondylitis with pamidronate: an open label study. Ann Rheum Dis 2006; 65: 688-89.
- KENNA TJ, BROWN, MA: Immunopathogenesis of ankylosing spondylitis. Int J Clin Rheumatol 2013: 8: 265-74.