

Reclassification of polyarteritis nodosa based on the 1990 ACR criteria using the 2007 EMA algorithm modified by the 2012 CHCC definitions

Sirs,

Polyarteritis nodosa (PAN) is often confused with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV), particularly microscopic polyangiitis (MPA). The 1990 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria included no classification criteria for MPA and the 1990 ACR criteria for PAN did not mention ANCA positivity (1-3). To compensate for the 1990 ACR criteria, nomenclature of vasculitides was proposed by the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference (CHCC) in 1994 and 2012 (1, 4), and a new classification algorithm was suggested by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2007 (5): PAN cannot be classified under ANCA positivity. In this study, we applied the 2007 EMA algorithm modified by the 2012 CHCC definitions (the modified EMA algorithm) to 32 Korean patients with PAN classified by the 1990 ACR criteria, and investigated whether they might be still reclassified as PAN or other types of vasculitides. We retrospectively reviewed the electrical medical records of 32 patients with PAN according to the inclusion criteria as described in the previous study (6). This study was approved by the institutional Review Board (4-2016-0901). We divided 32 patients with PAN into 3 clinical variants (cutaneous, idiopathic generalised (classic) and hepatitis B virus (HBV)-associated PAN), and we applied the 2012 CHCC definitions to them to exclude patients. Subsequently, we applied the 2007 EMA algorithm modified

by the 2012 CHCC definitions to excluded patients and reclassified them.

The results were summarised in Table I. All 6 patients with cutaneous PAN had histologic findings compatible with PAN without systemic involvement. We excluded 1 patient due to ANCA positivity (patient A) by the 2012 CHCC definitions. Despite no surrogate markers for granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA), patient A had histologic evidence of small-vessel vasculitis and could be reclassified as MPA by the modified EMA algorithm. This case provided a lesson that either histologic evidence of small-vessel vasculitis or ANCA positivity can suggest AAV even in patients with PAN classified the 1990 ACR criteria.

Among 10 patients with classic PAN, we excluded 5 patients due to ANCA positivity (patients B-F) by the 2012 CHCC definitions. All excluded patients had no surrogate markers for GPA or renal vasculitis. Although patient B and D had histologic findings compatible with PAN, and patients C, D and F showed typical arteriographic features of PAN, ANCA positivity reclassified them as unclassifiable vasculitis. ANCA positivity is a crucial step to reclassify patients with classic PAN by the modified EMA algorithm.

Among 16 patients with HBV-associated PAN, we excluded 3 patients by the 2012 CHCC definitions: 1 patient had MPO-ANCA and 2 patients had no arteriographic or histologic results (patients G-I). All excluded patients had no surrogate markers for GPA or renal vasculitis. Patient G with ANCA and patients H and I without arteriography or biopsy results were reclassified as unclassifiable vasculitis. Both ANCA positivity and the performance of arteriography or biopsy were critical steps to redistribute patients with HBV-associated

PAN by the modified EMA algorithm. In addition HBV-associated PAN is currently classified as HBV-associated vasculitis by the 2012 CHCC definitions.

Thus, although 3 patients with HBV-associated PAN were reclassified as unclassifiable vasculitis, antiviral agents must be recommended to these patients (7).

Our study has several issues of the retrospective design and the low incidence of PAN. If future studies can serially enrol enough patients and prospectively apply the modified EMA algorithm to patients suspected of PAN, they may discover the most suitable modified criteria for Korean patients with PAN. In conclusion, we excluded 9 patients (28.1%) by the 2012 CHCC definitions and reclassified one patient as MPA and 8 patients as unclassifiable vasculitis the 2007 EMA algorithm modified by the 2012 CHCC definitions.

Key messages

- We excluded 9 patients with PAN by the 2012 CHCC definitions.
- We reclassified one patient as MPA and 8 patients as unclassifiable vasculitis based on the 2007 EMA algorithm modified by the 2012 CHCC definitions.
- Both ANCA positivity and the performance of arteriography or biopsy were critical steps to classify patients suspected of PAN.

E.S. PARK, MD
S.S. AHN, MD
S.M. JUNG, MD, PhD
J.J. SONG, MD, PhD
Y.-B. PARK, MD, PhD
S.-W. LEE, MD, PhD

Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

Table I. Application of the 2007 EMA algorithm modified by the 2012 CHCC definitions to 9 patients, who fulfilled the 1990 ACR criteria for PAN, but not the 2012 CHCC definitions.

Patients	Subclasses	The 1990 ACR criteria fulfilled*	Reason for exclusion based on the 2012 CHCC definitions	Items of the 2007 EMA algorithm modified by the 2012 CHCC definitions	
				Clinical and histologic evidence of small-vessel vasculitis No GPA surrogate markers	None
				Reclassified as MPA	Unclassifiable
A	cutaneous	1, 4, 10	MPO-ANCA & PR3-ANCA	Yes	
B	classic	3, 4, 10	MPO-ANCA & PR3-ANCA		Yes
C	classic	1, 6, 9	MPO-ANCA & PR3-ANCA		Yes
D	classic	4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10	MPO-ANCA		Yes
E	classic	1, 4, 7	MPO-ANCA		Yes
F	classic	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9	No arteriography or biopsy MPO-ANCA		Yes
G	HBV	5, 6, 8, 10	MPO-ANCA		Yes
H	HBV	5, 6, 8	No arteriography or biopsy		Yes
I	HBV	1, 4, 8	No arteriography or biopsy		Yes

*1: Weight loss ≥ 4 kg; 2: Livedo reticularis; 3: Testicular pain or tenderness; 4: Myalgia, weakness or leg tenderness; 5: Mononeuropathy or polyneuropathy; 6: Diastolic BP > 90 mmHg; 7: Elevated blood urea nitrogen or creatinine; 8: Hepatitis B virus; 9: Arteriographic abnormality; 10: Biopsy of small or medium-sized artery containing polymorphonuclear neutrophils.

EMA: European Medicine Agency; CHCC: Chapel Hill Consensus Conference; ACR: the American College of Rheumatology; PAN: polyarteritis nodosa; MPO: myeloperoxidase; PR3: proteinase 3; ANCA: antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; HBV: hepatitis B virus.

Letters to the Editors

Please address correspondence to:

Sang-Won Lee, MD, PhD,
Division of Rheumatology,
Department of Internal Medicine,
Yonsei University College of Medicine,
50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu,
Seoul, 03722, Republic of Korea.
E-mail: sangwonlee@yuhs.ac

Funding: This study was supported by a faculty research grant of Yonsei University College of Medicine (6-2016-0145).

Competing interests: none declared.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND
EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2018.

References

1. JENNETTE JC, FALK RJ, BACON PA *et al.*: 2012 revised International Chapel Hill Consensus Conference Nomenclature of Vasculitides. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013; 65: 1-11.
2. LIGHTFOOT RW JR, MICHEL BA, BLOCH DA *et al.*: The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of polyarteritis nodosa. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990; 33: 1088-93.
3. DE VIRGILIO A, GRECO A, MAGLIULO G *et al.*: Polyarteritis nodosa: A contemporary overview. *Autoimmun Rev* 2016; 15: 564-70.
4. JENNETTE JC, FALK RJ, ANDRASSY K *et al.*: Nomenclature of systemic vasculitides. Proposal of an international consensus conference. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994; 37: 187-92.
5. WATTS R, LANE S, HANSLIK T *et al.*: Development and validation of a consensus methodology for the classification of the ANCA-associated vasculitides and polyarteritis nodosa for epidemiological studies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007; 66: 222-7.
6. OH YJ, AHN SS, PARK ES *et al.*: Birmingham vasculitis activity score at diagnosis is a significant predictor of relapse of polyarteritis nodosa. *Rheumatol Int* 2017; 37: 685-94.
7. GUILLEVIN L, MAHR A, COHEN P *et al.*: Short-term corticosteroids then lamivudine and plasma exchanges to treat hepatitis B virus-related polyarteritis nodosa. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004; 51: 482-7.