

---

# The Russian version of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ)

---

I. Nikishina<sup>1</sup>, N. Ruperto<sup>2</sup>, N. Kuzmina<sup>1</sup>, T. Shelepina<sup>1</sup>, O. Illarionova<sup>1</sup>, S. Salougina<sup>1</sup>, M. Kaleda<sup>1</sup>, O. Borodacheva<sup>1</sup>, for the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO)

---

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Rheumatology RAMS, Moscow, Russia; <sup>2</sup>Laboratorio di Informatica Medica, IRCCS S. Matteo, Pavia, Italy.

Supported by a grant from the European Union (BMH4-983531 CA), and by IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo (Pavia, Italy).

Please address correspondence and requests for reprints to either: Nina Kuzmina, MD, Institute of Rheumatology RAMS, Children's Department, Kashirskoye shosse 34A, 115522 Moscow, Russia.

E-mail: irpetnik@mail.ru, nikishina@mtu-net.ru

or PRINTO, IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo, Pediatria Generale e Reumatologia, Piazzale Golgi, 2, 27100 Pavia, Italy.

E-mail: nruperto@smatteo.pv.it

WWW: <http://www.medit.it/printo/>

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2001; 19 (Suppl. 23): S131-S135.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2001.

**Key words:** Russian Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ), Russian Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ), cross cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation, health related quality of life, juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), healthy children.

## ABSTRACT

We report herein the results of the cross-cultural adaptation and validation into the Russian language of the parent's version of two health related quality of life instruments. The Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) is a disease specific health instrument that measures functional ability in daily living activities in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). The Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) is a generic health instrument designed to capture the physical and psychosocial well-being of children independently from the underlying disease. The Russian CHAQ and CHQ were fully validated with 3 forward and 3 backward translations. A total of 146 subjects were enrolled: 86 patients with JIA (23% systemic onset, 39% polyarticular onset, 15% extended oligoarticular subtype, and 23% persistent oligoarticular subtype) and 60 healthy children. The CHAQ clinically discriminated between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic, polyarticular and extended oligoarticular subtypes having a higher degree of disability, pain, and a lower overall well-being when compared to their healthy peers. Also the CHQ clinically discriminated between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic onset, polyarticular onset and extended oligoarticular subtypes having a lower physical and psychosocial well-being when compared to their healthy peers.

In conclusion the Russian version of the CHAQ-CHQ is a reliable, and valid tool for the functional, physical and psychosocial assessment of children with JIA.

## Introduction

The aim of this study was to cross-culturally adapt and validate the Russian parent's version of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) (1) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) (2) in a cohort of healthy children and in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) being followed by the Russian members of the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO). This project formed a part of a larger international survey conducted by PRINTO and supported by the European Union (contract BMH4 983531 CA) (3-5), whose scope is to evaluate the health-related quality of life in children with JIA as compared to their healthy peers.

## Patients and results

The methodology used is described in detail in the introductory paper of this supplement (6). The complete Russian version of the CHAQ-CHQ, with the corresponding lines of the original American-English questionnaires marked in the left column, is reproduced at the end of this paper.

In brief, after obtaining ethics committees approval of the respective participating institutions and the consent of at least one parent per child, children were recruited into a prospective study performed from April 1998 to March 2000, by the Russian members of PRINTO. Patients included children with JIA of either systemic onset, polyarticular onset, extended oligoarticular or persistent oligoarticular subtype (Durban criteria) (7). The controls consisted of healthy children (6 to 18 years of age) attending local schools and/or healthy sibling(s) of the JIA participants.

*Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects (Table I)*

A total of 146 subjects were enrolled: 86 patients with JIA (23% systemic onset, 39% polyarticular onset, 15% extended oligoarticular subtype, and 23% persistent oligoarticular subtype) and 60 healthy children. The CHAQ-CHQ were completed in 98% of the cases by the mother (mean age  $38.0 \pm 6.0$ ), and in 2% of the cases by the father (mean age  $41.9 \pm 3.6$ ).

*Clinical discriminant validity*

Table II reports the results (mean  $\pm$  SD) for the 8 CHAQ domains, the disability index (DI) and the 2 VAS scores for parental assessment of pain and overall well-being. The CHAQ clinically discriminated between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic, polyarticular and extended oligoarticular subtypes having a higher degree of disability, pain, and a lower overall well-being when compared to their healthy peers.

Table III reports the CHQ results (mean  $\pm$  SD) for the 15 health concepts (see table for abbreviation) and summary scores. The CHQ clinically discriminated between healthy subjects and JIA patients, with the systemic onset, polyarticular onset and extended oligoarticular subtypes having a lower physical and psychosocial well-being when compared to their healthy peers.

*Cross cultural adaptation*

The Russian CHAQ was fully cross-culturally adapted with 3 forward and 3 backward translations; there was a concordance with the original American English version of the CHQ in

**Table I.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the Russian sample.

	Systemic onset n = 20	Polyarticular onset n = 33	Extended oligoart. n = 13	Persistent oligoart. n = 20	Healthy controls n = 60
Age of the children <sup>1</sup>	10.1 ± 3.9	11.4 ± 2.7	11.2 ± 2.2	10.8 ± 3.1	12.5 ± 4.2
Disease duration <sup>1</sup>	4.8 ± 3.4	5.7 ± 3.4	6.3 ± 4.2	4.3 ± 2.6	
ESR <sup>1,2</sup>	25.6 ± 11.2	27.9 ± 16.0	19.1 ± 13.9	16.9 ± 15.9	
MD VAS (0-10 cm) <sup>1</sup>	4.1 ± 2.8	3.9 ± 2.4	3.5 ± 2.2	2.4 ± 2.2	
No. swollen joints <sup>1,2</sup>	13.2 ± 9.3	9.1 ± 10.4	10.2 ± 11.2	2.9 ± 2.7	
No. joints with pain <sup>1</sup>	6.3 ± 7.2	6.9 ± 10.3	6.8 ± 8.5	1.7 ± 2.8	
No. joints with limited range of motion <sup>1,2</sup>	17.1 ± 17.9	15.0 ± 14.4	10.4 ± 10.9	2.3 ± 3.1	
No. active joints <sup>1,2</sup>	14.2 ± 9.5	11.7 ± 12.3	13.9 ± 12.9	3.3 ± 2.8	
Female <sup>3</sup>	12 (60%)	24 (73%)	9 (69%)	14 (70%)	30 (50%)
Persistent systemic features <sup>3</sup>	15 (83%)	0	0	0	
Antinuclear antibody <sup>3</sup>	2 (10%)	8 (24%)	5 (38%)	6 (30%)	
Rheumatoid factor <sup>3</sup>	0	4 (13%)	1 (8%)	0	
Chronic iritis <sup>3</sup>	0	4 (13%)	4 (31%)	6 (30%)	

<sup>1</sup>Mean ± SD; <sup>2</sup>ANOVA p < 0.05; <sup>3</sup>number and percentage.**Table II.** The 8 CHAQ domains (range 0-3), the disability index (DI) (range 0-3), and the 2 VAS scores (range 0-10 cm) for pain and parent assessment of the child's overall well-being. Lower scores indicate better functional ability. Values are expressed as means ± SD.

	Systemic onset n = 20	Polyarticular onset n = 33	Extended oligoart. n = 13	Persistent oligoart. n = 20	Healthy controls n = 60
Dressing	1.0 ± 1.0	0.8 ± 1.0	1.2 ± 1.3	0.5 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.6
Arising	0.9 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 1.0	0.8 ± 1.1	0.3 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0
Eating	0.6 ± 0.9	0.5 ± 0.8	0.8 ± 1.1	0.1 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.4
Walking	0.9 ± 1.2	0.8 ± 1.0	0.7 ± 0.9	0.1 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0
Hygiene	1.3 ± 1.2	0.8 ± 1.1	0.6 ± 1.0	0.5 ± 0.8	0.1 ± 0.2
Reach	0.9 ± 1.1	0.8 ± 1.0	1.2 ± 1.0	0.4 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.2
Grip	1.3 ± 1.2	0.8 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 1.2	0.4 ± 0.7	0.2 ± 0.5
Activities	1.3 ± 1.2	1.2 ± 1.1	1.5 ± 1.2	0.8 ± 1.0	0.3 ± 0.8
Disability index	1.0 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 0.8	1.0 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.3
Parent's evaluation of pain	2.6 ± 2.8	1.6 ± 1.9	4.0 ± 2.7	2.4 ± 3.0	0.1 ± 0.2
Parent's evaluation of overall well-being	4.2 ± 2.8	4.3 ± 2.3	4.2 ± 2.4	3.1 ± 1.8	0.5 ± 0.7

ANOVA p &lt; 0.001 for all variables.

**Table III.** The 15 CHQ health concepts (and their abbreviations) and the 2 summary scores. Higher score indicates better physical or psychosocial well being (range 0-100). Values are expressed as means ± SD.

	Systemic onset n = 20	Polyarticular onset n = 33	Extended oligoart. n = 13	Persistent oligoart. n = 20	Healthy controls n = 60
Global health (GGH)	38.8 ± 21.4	37.0 ± 17.5	32.3 ± 14.8	44.8 ± 17.6	64.2 ± 16.6
Physical functioning (PF)	59.3 ± 34.4	47.6 ± 28.4	45.0 ± 22.8	70.0 ± 22.3	96.8 ± 13.2
Role/social limitations - Emotional/Behavioural (REB)	62.4 ± 37.2	58.6 ± 28.2	54.7 ± 36.4	72.2 ± 25.6	95.6 ± 9.2
Role/social limitations - Physical (RP)	55.9 ± 38.6	51.5 ± 28.7	44.9 ± 36.9	68.3 ± 27.5	97.8 ± 6.5
Bodily pain/discomfort (BP)	53.5 ± 26.4	55.8 ± 24.4	54.6 ± 31.8	60.5 ± 30.0	91.0 ± 12.3
Behaviour (BE)	67.8 ± 13.1	69.2 ± 12.2	64.3 ± 12.0	63.3 ± 13.2	73.6 ± 12.2
Global behaviour (GBE)	61.3 ± 18.5	57.7 ± 19.5	58.8 ± 19.5	59.5 ± 21.3	69.0 ± 17.4
Mental health (MH)	65.3 ± 15.9	70.2 ± 12.9	62.3 ± 12.7	67.3 ± 15.8	75.3 ± 12.0
Self esteem (SE)	60.0 ± 18.4	60.2 ± 11.7	61.2 ± 15.6	68.5 ± 18.0	74.6 ± 9.8
General health perceptions (GH)	25.8 ± 12.1	32.2 ± 9.3	33.0 ± 8.6	37.2 ± 7.4	61.0 ± 9.9
Change in health (CH)	66.3 ± 36.5	64.4 ± 31.3	40.9 ± 32.2	55.0 ± 28.8	59.3 ± 19.0
Parental impact - Emotional (PE)	52.1 ± 27.7	57.3 ± 22.1	53.8 ± 18.5	50.8 ± 21.1	84.6 ± 16.1
Parental impact - Time (PT)	63.3 ± 25.0	60.9 ± 21.9	58.1 ± 27.3	49.4 ± 22.6	89.1 ± 16.3
Family activities (FA)	62.9 ± 19.2	64.8 ± 22.3	68.6 ± 16.0	68.3 ± 23.9	85.5 ± 13.3
Family cohesion (FC)	58.5 ± 27.7	55.3 ± 23.8	63.8 ± 23.5	61.5 ± 23.1	61.3 ± 17.8
Physical summary score (PhS)	38.9 ± 12.9	36.2 ± 9.7	35.3 ± 11.2	43.6 ± 9.3	55.0 ± 2.6
Psychosocial summary score (PsS)	43.5 ± 5.2	46.5 ± 7.0	44.2 ± 5.4	42.3 ± 7.1	48.9 ± 5.9

ANOVA p &lt; 0.05 except for CH (p = 0.1), and FC (p = 0.69).

at least 2 out of 3 back translations for 39/69 (57%) lines of the translations. The Russian CHQ was fully cross-culturally adapted with 3 forward and 3 backward translations; there was a concordance with the original American English version of the CHQ in at least 2 out of 3 back translations for 70/99 (71%) lines of the translations.

#### Probe technique

For the 69 lines of the translated CHAQ, all the lines of translation were understood by more than 80% of the 20 parents tested (median = 100%; range: 80-100%). For the 99 lines of the translated CHQ, most of the lines of translation were understood by more than 80% of the parents (median = 100%; range: 70-100%) except for lines 27 and 76 that were understood by less than 80% of the parents. Some minor stylistic changes were done in the text of the Russian CHAQ-CHQ after the probe technique.

#### Psychometric issues

**Descriptive statistics (first Likert assumption).** For the CHAQ the total number of missing responses was 2.7% (range 0.7-5.8%); the response pattern were skewed towards normal functional ability. All response choices of the CHAQ items have been used except for response choices in hygiene except for arising, eating, and hygiene. The mean  $\pm$  SD of the items within a scale were not equivalent for most CHAQ domains except for walking, and activities. The total number of missing responses on the CHQ was 1.4% (range: 0-5.5%); the response pattern was normally distributed for all CHQ health concepts. All response choices of the CHQ items have been used except for BE, GBE, MH, SE, GH, and FA. The means  $\pm$  SD of the items within a scale were roughly equivalent except for BE, and GH.

**Equal items-scale correlation (second Likert assumption).** Pearson items-scale correlations corrected for overlap were roughly equivalent for items within a scale for most of the CHAQ domains except for reach, grip, and activities, and for most of the CHQ health concepts except for BE, MH, SE, GH, and FA.

**Items internal consistency (third Likert assumption).** Pearson items scale correlations were 0.4 for 100% of the CHAQ items, and for 81% of the CHQ items (except BE, MH, SE, and GH).

**Items discriminant validity.** For the CHAQ, Pearson items correlations with its scale corrected for overlap were greater than at least 1 standard error (SE) of the correlation with other scales for 76% of the items (20% by 2 SE); scaling failure was observed for arising, reach, where the items were better correlated with other domains. For the CHQ, Pearson items correlations with its scale were greater by at least 1 SE for 93% of the items (64% by 2 SE); no scaling failure was observed.

**Floor and ceiling effect.** The CHAQ floor

effect had a median of 80% (range 64-91%) while for the CHQ the median was 2% (range 0-11%). The CHAQ ceiling effect had median of 1% (range 0.0-2.1) while the CHQ had a median of 8% (range 0-38%).

**Cronbach's alpha internal consistency.** Cronbach's alpha was 0.7 for 8/8 (100%) domains of the CHAQ (overall 0.97; range 0.7-0.89). Cronbach's alpha was 0.7 for 8/11 (73%) measurable health concepts (i.e. health concepts with more than 1 item) of the CHQ (overall 0.94; range 0.62-0.93) with the exception being BE (0.65), GH (0.62), and PE (0.68).

**Inter scale correlation.** The Pearson correlation of each domain with all other domains of the CHAQ-CHQ was lower than their Cronbach's alpha for most of the CHAQ domains except for arising, eating, and hygiene. For the CHQ most of the 11 measurable health concepts have correlation lower than their Cronbach's alpha except for REB.

**Test-retest reliability.** After a median of 7 days (range 7-10 days; number of JIA patients re-tested = 9) the intra-class correlation coefficients for the 8 CHAQ domains showed a good to excellent reproducibility with a median of 0.8 (range 0.62-0.92). Also the 15 CHQ health concepts showed a fair to good reproducibility with a median of 0.7 (range 0.3-1.0) with a poor reproducibility only for RP (0.3).

**External validity.** The Spearman correlation of the CHAQ with the JIA core set variables (8) showed a median of 0.4 (range 0.3 to 0.6), with the highest correlation being with the parent's evaluation of overall well being ( $r = 0.6$ ). For the CHQ the median correlation was for the PhS -0.4 (range -0.7 to -0.3) and for the PsS was -0.1 (range -0.4 to -0.0). The best correlation was for the PhS with the DI of the CHAQ (-0.7) and for the PsS with the parent's assessment of overall well being (-0.4).

#### Discussion

The results of the present study show that the Russian versions of the CHAQ-CHQ have excellent psychometric properties. In this study the Russian CHAQ was fully cross-culturally adapted from the original American English version with 3 forward and 3 backward translations. This disease-specific questionnaire proved its ability to clinically discriminate between the JIA subtypes and healthy controls, with the systemic, polyarticular and extended oligoarticular subtypes having a higher degree of disability, pain, and a lower overall well-being when compared to their healthy peers. Minor statistical problems were found for arising, and reach, which showed different means  $\pm$  SD, an unequal item scale correlation, and problems for discriminant validity, and Cronbach's alpha.

In this study the Russian CHQ was fully cross-culturally adapted from the original

American English version with 3 forward and 3 backward translations. The generic CHQ questionnaire proved less able to clinically discriminate between the different JIA types than the CHAQ with the JIA patients with systemic, polyarticular onset or extended oligoarticular subtypes having a lower physical and psychosocial well-being when compared to their healthy peers. Some minor statistical problems were found for the equal item scale correlation, the item internal consistency, and the Cronbach's alpha for BE, MH, SE, and GH.

In conclusion, the Russian version of the CHAQ-CHQ is a reliable and valid tool for the functional, physical and psychosocial assessment of children with JIA.

#### Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Dr. J. Landgraf *et al.*, developers of the CHQ, to Dr. Luciana Gado-West reviewer of the CHAQ, to Dr. Anna Tortorelli for data entry, to the committee which prepared and reviewed the forward and backward translations, to Dr. D. Alexeev and Dr. Y. Fedorov for technical assistance.

#### References

1. SINGH G, ATHREYA B, FRIES JF, GOLD-SMITH DP: Measurement of health status in children with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994; 37: 1761-9.
2. LANDGRAF JM, ABETZ L, WARE JE: *The CHQ User's Manual*. 1st ed., Boston, The Health Institute, New England Medical Center, 1996.
3. RUPERTO N, MARTINI A, for PRINTO: A European network for randomised actively controlled clinical trials in paediatric rheumatic diseases: parenteral methotrexate in medium versus higher doses in juvenile chronic arthritis. "XIVEULAR and VI European Paediatric Rheumatology Congress". *Ann Rheum Dis* 1999; Conference Proceedings, Abstr. 105, pg 25.
4. RUPERTO N, MARTINI A, for PRINTO: Use of unlabelled and off licence drugs in children. A European paediatric rule is needed to protect children. *BMJ* 2000; 320: 1210-1.
5. BRUNNER HI, GIANNINI EH: Evidence-based medicine in pediatric rheumatology. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2000; 18: 407-14.
6. RUPERTO N, RAVELLI A, PISTORIO A *et al.*: Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) in 32 countries. Review of the general methodology. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2001; 19 (Suppl. 23): S1-S9.
7. PETTY RE, SOUTHWOOD TR, BAUM J *et al.*: Revision of the proposed classification criteria for juvenile idiopathic arthritides: Durban, 1997. *J Rheumatol* 1998; 25: 1991-4.
8. GIANNINI EH, RUPERTO N, RAVELLI A, LOVELL DJ, FELSON DT, MARTINI A: Preliminary definition of improvement in juvenile arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997; 40: 1202-9.