Editorial

The Big Data Sjögren Consortium: a project for a new data science era

N. Acar-Denizli¹, B. Kostov²,³, M. Ramos-Casals⁴-⁶, on behalf of the Sjögren Big Data Consortium

In the 21st century, data science has taken a new meaning, thanks to big data, and almost all the people who are dedicating to investigate will have to learn how to deal with this. Volume (amount of data), variety (number of types of data) and velocity (speed of data processing) are considered as three main defining properties of big data, called 3Vs of Big Data, according to many experts in Data Science (1). Extended definitions include veracity (quality or trustworthiness of the data) and value (the worth of the data being extracted) as the fourth and fifth V, respectively. However, one of them stands out from the rest: volume. When someone mentions “big data”, we immediately think about a huge volume of data, with the definition of the term “huge” being dependent on the specific research area.

In Big Data era, the use of innovative and appropriate techniques to deal with this huge amount of data has been emerged substituting classical statistical techniques. Nowadays, almost everyone talks about machine learning, data mining or artificial intelligence, even there is a widespread misuse of these terms. For example, data mining is widely used to refer big data despite the fact that two terms correspond to different concepts. The classical definition of Data Mining refers to extracting knowledge from data. Although the term is frequently used in studies including Big Data analysis, data mining techniques may also be used to extract knowledge from smaller data sets. Likewise, artificial intelligence could be basically defined as the study of creating intelligent agents and machine learning as the science of creating algorithms and programmes which learn on their own. In fact, we all use in our day-to-day life machine learning algorithms in one way or the other and probably we don’t even realise it. Virtual personal assistants, traffic predictions, online transportation networks, social media services, online customer support and product recommendations are just a few examples. There are a plenty of applications of machine learning algorithms and data mining techniques in marketing, business, finance, security, engineering, and many other fields where biomedical research is not an exemption. Medicine was identified early as one of the most promising application areas for artificial intelligence where researchers have proposed and developed many clinical decision support systems that have been shown to interpret electrocardiograms, diagnose diseases, choose appropriate treatments, provide interpretations of clinical reasoning and assist physicians in generating diagnostic hypotheses in complex patient cases (2). In this sense, both clinical and biomedical researchers, including physicians, nurse practitioners, clinical pharmacist, physician assistants, biologists and chemists, are changing the way they did research up to now. Sharing clinical data across hospitals to support open innovation is an old idea, but which is being taken up by the scientific community at an increasing speed, concerning public sharing in particular (3). The uncertainty in data protection in general, and with respect to international transfer in particular, could generate some doubts around the ethic limitations of clinical data sharing. In this sense, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), recently approved by the European Parliament, introduces an exemption to the general prohibition for the processing of sensitive personal data. According to the ‘research exemption’ in Ar-
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article 9(2)(j) under which sensitive personal data, including genetic data, can be processed without adhering to the strict consent requirements (4). In other words, whatever purpose the sensitive personal data was collected for, further processing for research purposes without the data subject’s consent is considered compatible with the initial purposes. However, this exemption should be taken with caution as processing sensitive data under the research exemption requires appropriate safeguards such as data minimisation, anonymisation, and data security. Moreover, the data subject possesses several rights such as the right of access by the data subject, the right to rectification, the right to restriction of processing or the right to object in order to derogate personal data processing under the research exemption. Big data is transforming the way of doing clinical and biomedical research (5). Nowadays, national and international collaborations that allow creating big data registries are substituting studies carried out only in one centre with limited sample sizes. The Big Data Sjögren Project Consortium was born out of this idea: this international, multicentre registry was designed in 2014 to take a “high-definition” picture of the main features of primary Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) using worldwide data-sharing cooperative merging of pre-existing clinical SS databases from leading centres in clinical research in SS from the five continents. The centres share a harmonised data infrastructure and conduct cooperative online efforts in order to refine already-collected data in each centre. The codebook containing instructions on the variables and data codification was firstly discussed and approved by the Steering Committee members, and was further shared with the consortium partners. Databases from each centre were harmonised into a single database by applying specific pre-processing techniques such as the detection and treatment of outliers, influential observations, errors and missing data. By January 2019, the participant centres had included 11,421 valid patients from 24 countries (Fig. 1). This international scientific collaboration, designed according to a ‘Data Sharing’ approach, has produced excellent results until now in spite of several limitations associated to retrospective design, predominant presence of European patients, the magnitude of the selection bias between the different countries, different medical practices across regions, and assays used by the different centres. Despite these limitations, the results obtained can be used to improve the clinical management of patients with primary SS. The Big Data Sjögren Project provided the first evidence for a strong influence of geolocation and ethnicity on the phenotype of primary SS at diagnosis (6). It also confirmed a strong influence of immunological markers on the phenotype of primary SS at diagnosis in the largest multi-ethnic international cohort ever analysed, with a greater influence for cryoglobulinaemic-related markers in comparison with Ro/La autoantibodies and ANA (7).

While the availability of big data offers many possibilities for enhancing our knowledge about human diseases, the need for a cautious use of statistical concepts is essential and raises many challenges (8). The fact that as clinical researchers we have to deal with big data sets brought the focus of attention on one of the most frequently discussed controversies in medical research: interpretation of the results based mainly on statistical significance. As we all know very well, studies with big data sets may detect some differences which, although statistically significant, may not be relevant clinically. This debate, which is not new, has gained strength lately. A recently published special issue “Statistical inference in the 21st century: a world beyond p<0.05” by The American Statistician, an official journal of the American Statistical Association, with more than 40 original papers discusses topics such as getting to a post “p<0.05” era, interpreting and using p, supplementing or replacing p and changing publication policies and statistical education (9). All this clearly highlights that it is time that researchers should start to look for patterns, trends, and associations and, to stop focusing only on p-values and statistical significances that are generally superfluous in order to analyse big data sets. So, what can researchers do? The answer is easy: give much more attention to the clinical interpretation of the results and to prioritise and extend the use of data visualisation techniques for presenting the results, which are actu-
ally one of the pivotal tools supporting the results obtained by Big Data analysis. In the actual world, to represent analyses of millions of patients and thousands of variables, classical results tables are not a solution. Instead, scientists should use novel data visualisation techniques such as bubble plots, heatmaps, spider charts, wordclouds, network diagrams, choropleth maps, etc. that allow representing information from Big Data sets. As has been stated by Elgendi (10), data visualisation skills greatly affect research quality and the publications and there are many examples in the current literature that indicate either a lack of knowledge or lack of a concerted effort toward the proper use of data visualisation techniques. Most scientific journals, especially those with a high impact factor, are now requiring visualisations that allow a greater impact of the results published in papers. This means that researchers have to devote a huge effort to find the most appropriate graphs for their data such as an original manuscript published in The New England Journal of Medicine that includes four figures and no table (11).

The Sjögren Big Data Project has managed to multiply by 6 the largest number of patients ever included in an international registry, and by 10 the largest number of patients included in nationwide multicentric cohorts (Fig. 2). The project is currently analysing the clinical and geoepidemiological characterisation of the disease in patients from the 5 continents, including people of different ethnicities, something that had not been achieved previously. In addition to the evident breakthrough in the knowledge of the worldwide impact of the disease, the project has contributed to achieve other goals that are equally important for the scientific community devoted to the study of Sjögren. All this has been possible thanks to the creation of a multicentre registry that today includes nearly 12,000 patients for a disease with a prevalence lower than 0.1%. The individual contribution of every participating centre, even those that included a modest number of cases, continues to increase progressively year by year, which reflects that participation in the project serves as an enhancer of the clinical experience and acknowledgement that each centre acquires at a regional/national level. And the almost 5 years of close collaboration has created a solid nucleus of clinical research, led by internists and rheumatologists, but also with the active participation of many other medical specialties, with more than 100 people currently involved, including the active participation in each centre of young researchers, who will be the future experts on SS. This is a group that has also achieved bidirectional communication between the data scientists (people who have the knowledge of maths and statistics and programming skills to conduct sophisticated and systematic analyses of data) and the clinicians, an essential feature to reach reliable clinical conclusions from Big Data studies. This close collaboration between researchers and data scientists is the key factor to achieve success in big data projects. Thus, the team of mathematicians and statisticians have progressively integrated a clinical vision in their data management design while, at

Fig. 2. Number of patients with primary SS included in international registries and multicentric cohorts. *Also including associated SS.
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