Reply to:

Current DMOAD options for the treatment of osteoarthritis

Sirs

We appreciate the letter from Dr Yeap and the opportunity to respond. As clearly stated in our review the focus was on describing "the state of the field for disease-modifying pharmacologic agents that are in late-stage development-specifically phase 2/3". As neither of the agents that you mention fall into that category, it was not appropriate to include them in the review.

Neither of the agents highlighted by Dr Yeap, glucosamine and strontium ranelate, have been approved for disease modification in osteoarthritis (OA). If Dr Yeap feels inclined to use these agents in her patients, hopefully she does so with appropriate clinical judgement cognisant of some of the reasons why regulators have not approved either agent for this indication.

The most commonly used complementary medicine in knee OA is glucosamine. In randomised control trials, glucosamine has a similar effect to placebo on pain, with industry independent trials showing smaller effects than commercially funded ones (1, 2). The GAIT study, which is an NIH-funded RCT demonstrated that glucosamine was not significantly better than placebo in reducing knee pain by 20% (3). Our own recent meta-analysis demonstrated that symptom benefits from glucosamine were not of a clinically meaningful magnitude (4). Evidence for a possible structure modifying effect remains controversial (5) and most recent guidelines do not advocate for their use in this context (6-9).

We completely agree that the findings of the Servier trial assessing the efficacy of strontium ranelate (SR) were exciting when they were first published (10). The trial randomly allocated 1683 patients to three treatment

groups (strontium ranelate 1g [n=558] or 2g/day [n=566] or placebo [n=559]). The primary endpoint was radiographic change in JSW (medial tibiofemoral compartment) over 3 years versus placebo. Treatment with SR for over 3 years was associated with significant beneficial effects on knee structure (slowing radiographic progression) at a dose of 1 or 2 g/day and on symptoms (reducing knee pain) at a dose of 2 g/day in patients with knee OA. It does demonstrate clearly that disease modification is possible and the outcomes on both radiological and clinical progression of knee osteoarthritis appear to be of clinical significance (10). However, as Dr Yeap is no doubt aware from reference number seven included in her letter, Servier is no longer manufacturing strontium ranelate because of concerns over cardiovascular safety and specifically thromboembolic side effects. The reference cited by Dr Yeap from the EMA appropriately suggests that this agent "only be used by people for whom there are no other treatments for osteoporosis". Given the length of time required for administration of strontium to have a therapeutic effect in OA, the frequency with which cardiovascular contraindications including ischaemic heart disease and hypertension occur in persons with OA and the lack of an approved OA indication from regulatory agencies it does not seem sensible to advocate for the use of strontium in this context.

While there are undoubtedly challenges in getting disease-modifying drugs across the line for regulatory agencies, we don't think we should expose our patients unnecessarily to harm or mislead them with our clinical advice.

W.M. OO, MBBS, MMedSc, RhMSUS D.J. HUNTER, MBBS, PhD, FRACP

Rheumatology Department, Royal North Shore Hospital and Institute of Bone and Joint Research, Kolling Institute, University of Sydney, Australia. Please address correspondence to: David Hunter, Rheumatology Department, Royal North Shore

Rheumatology Department, Royal North Shor Hospital, Reserve Road, St. Leonards, NSW 2065, Australia.

E-mail: david.hunter@sydney.edu.au

Competing interests: D. Hunter is on the consultant advisory board for Merck Serono, TLCBio, Pfizer and Lilly; W.M. Oo has declared no competing interests.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2020.

References

- WANDEL S, JUNI P, TENDAL B et al.: Effects of glucosamine, chondroitin, or placebo in patients with osteoarthritis of hip or knee: network metaanalysis. BMJ 2010; 341: c4675.
- 2. ZHANG W, MOSKOWITZ RW, NUKI G et al.: OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis, part I: critical appraisal of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of current research evidence. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007; 15: 981-1000.
- CLEGG DO, REDA DJ, HARRIS CL et al.: Glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate, and the two in combination for painful knee osteoarthritis.[see comment]. New Engl J Med 2006; 354: 795-808.
- LIU X, MACHADO GC, EYLES JP, RAVI V, HUNT-ER DJ: Dietary supplements for treating osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2018; 52: 167-75.
- BRANDT KD, MAZZUCA SA: Lessons learned from nine clinical trials of disease-modifying osteoarthritis drugs. Arthritis Rheum 2005; 52: 3349-59.
- HUNTER DJ: Guideline for the management of knee and hip osteoarthritis 2nd edition. RACGP; 2018.
- MCALINDON TE, BANNURU RR, SULLIVAN MC et al.: OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014; 22: 363-88.
- OSTEOARTHRITIS: Care and Management in Adults. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: Guidance. London 2014.
- BANNURU RR, OSANI MC, VAYSBROT EE et al.:
 OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management
 of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. Osteo arthritis Cartilage 2019; 27: 1578-89.
- REGINSTER JY, BADURSKI J, BELLAMY N et al.: Efficacy and safety of strontium ranelate in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: results of a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72: 179-86.