Adult Autism Subthreshold spectrum correlates to Post-traumatic Stress Disorder spectrum in patients with fibromyalgia

C. Carmassi¹, A. Cordone¹, A. Ciapparelli¹, C.A. Bertelloni¹, F.M. Barberi¹, C. Foghi¹, V. Pedrinelli¹, V. Dell'Oste¹, L. Bazzichi², L. Dell'Osso¹

¹Psychiatric Clinic, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa; ²Rheumatology Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Italy. Claudia Carmassi, MD, PhD Annalisa Cordone, MD Antonio Ciapparelli, MD Carlo Antonio Bertelloni, MD Filippo Maria Barberi, MD Claudia Foghi, MD Virginia Pedrinelli, MD Valerio Dell'Oste, MD Laura Bazzichi, MD

Liliana Dell'Osso, MD Please address correspondence to: Annalisa Cordone, Department of Clinical

and Experiment of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Via Roma 67, 56126 Pisa, Italy. E-mail: annalisacordone@hotmail.it

Received on February 26, 2020; accepted in revised form on May 18, 2020. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2021; 39 (Suppl. 130): S20-S26.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2021.

Key words: fibromyalgia,

post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), post-traumatic stress spectrum, autism spectrum disorder, autistic traits, chronic pain

Competing interests: none declared.

ABSTRACT

Objective. Fibromyalgia (FM) is an increasingly prevalent disorder that usually shows a chronic course and a disappointing therapeutic response in which psychiatric features seem to play a relevant role. Most recently, the relationship between FM and Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) has gained interest since several studies demonstrated a higher rate of PTSD, both full blown and partial, and Post-traumatic Stress spectrum symptoms. While the relationship between higher burden of autistic symptoms and PTSD is reported in literature, the relationship between FM and autism spectrum symptoms is still unexplored. In this study we investigated both post-traumatic and autistic spectrum in a sample of FM patients with the aim of exploring the relationships between these dimensions.

Methods. One hundred and nineteen patients with FM, diagnosed according the American College of Rheumatology 2010 criteria, were consecutively enrolled at the Unit of Rheumatology, University of Pisa, Italy.

Assessments included: the Trauma And Loss Spectrum-Self Report (TALS-SR), for the post-traumatic stress spectrum symptomatology, the Adult Autism Subthreshold spectrum (AdAS spectrum) for the assessment of subthreshold autism spectrum. The scores reported to AdAS (total and per domain) by the entire sample and subgroups with PTSD diagnosis, partial PTSD and no PTSD were compared in order to detect a relation between Autistic Traits (ATs) and post-traumatic spectrum in this clinical sample.

Results. Our results show that FM patients with PTSD report an AdAS total score significantly higher than those reported by patients without PTSD. Moreover, through an examination of the correlation between AdAS spectrum and TALS-SR scores, significant correlations between the total score of the two instruments has emerged. The correlation resulted to be particularly significant between TALS-SR scores and non-verbal communication domain of the AdAS and between hyperhypo reactivity to sensory input domain and several TALS-SR domains.

Conclusion. These results highlight the clinical relevance of autistic traits in FM patients with PTSD. In this regard, we may claim a potential role of abnormal processing of sensory input and deficits in non-verbal communication in explaining this association.

Introduction

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a widespread and challenging disease characterised by multifaceted clinical phenotypes, in which pain is the defining feature (1-4). Accordingly, ICD-11 defines FM as a chronic primary pain syndrome (5), and the belonging of this pathology to a defined field of specialty is the matter of long-standing debates (6-9). As far as triggers are concerned, several potentially precipitating factors (such as physical and psychological stressors) have been called into question, albeit without unequivocal conclusions (10, 11). This notwithstanding, literature has progressively recognised the relevance of traumatic or major life stressful events in triggering FM manifestations in vulnerable subjects, and hence the existence of a relationship between FM and trauma-related disorders, in particular Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) (12, 13).

PTSD is a highly prevalent psychiatric condition (14-17), whose onset follows direct or indirect exposure to one or

more traumatic events and is characterised by an heterogeneous clinical picture, tendency to a chronic course, poor response to treatments and relevant rate of somatic symptoms (18) and medical comorbidities (i.e. cardiovascular diseases, metabolic disorders, autoimmune diseases) (19, 20). Indeed, PTSD and trauma exposure history were related to the onset of various somatic diseases as well as chronic pain conditions (18, 21-24). In particular, several researches demonstrate that PTSD is a psychiatric disorder commonly occuring in FM patients, with comorbidity rates as high as 45.5% (25, 26), and that PTSD has a detrimental prognostic significance in this clinical population, even in subthreshold forms (27, 28). In addition, anamnestic evidence of a traumatic exposure is common in FM patients with a significant prepoderance of the so-called interpersonal and network events (28).

Interestingly, the finding of previous traumatic events and the high prevalence of PTSD are shared features between FM and patients with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) or subthreshold autistic traits (ATs) (29). ASD, as defined by DSM-5, is a neurodevelopmental disorder whose key features are impairment in social interaction and communication and repetitive behaviors. Beyond the DSM diagnostic boundaries, previous studies have been demonstrating that ATs are dimensionally distributed in the general population, and that they are more pronounced among relatives of patients with ASD and patients suffering from other psychiatric conditions, such as PTSD (30, 31). In this framework, growing evidence has been demonstrating a strong link between Post-traumatic Stress spectrum symptoms and ASD, showing a high prevalence of Post-traumatic spectrum symptoms or PTSD in subjects with pronounced ATs (32-34).

However, the relationship between FM and adult autism spectrum is to date still unexplored, although this issue may be worth of attention in the light of some findings. Studies conducted on paediatric population suffering from Somatic Symptoms Disorder (SSD) revealed a correlation between somatic symptoms and higher ATs, suggesting that children with mild ATs may become somatisation-prone adults (35-38). Although the relation between FM and SSD is controversial, this finding is still of interest since SSD - whose main features are the presence of somatic symptoms and concerns about them - is at the same time a common differential diagnosis and a misdiagnosis of FM (39-41), as a well as a relatively common comorbidity in FM patients (39). In addition, people with ASD show a peculiar pattern of sensory responses, which is characterised by hypo- or hypersensitivity to sensory stimuli, including pain (42-45). This issue is of interest considering that the multisensory hypersensitivity has been investigated in several studies concerning the FM physiopathology (46-49) and an abnormal functioning of brain areas involved in processing of sensory and painful stimuli has been hypothesised as the underlying neural substrate of the so-called "pain centralisation" (50-55).

Despite the suggestive findings on the complex relationship between ATs, PTSD and pain or somatic symptoms, there is still a lack of data on this issue in FM patients. In this study we explored the presence of ATs and PTSS in a sample of FM subjects in order to examine the possible relationship between these psychopathological dimensions.

Material and methods

Study sample

A sample of 119 patients was enrolled in the Reumathology Unit of Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Pisana (A.O.U.P, Pisa, Italy). Eligible subjects included patients of age between 18 and 77 years diagnosed with FM according to the 2010 diagnostic criteria of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) (56). A psychiatric assessment was performed for each patient by psychiatrists of the Psychiatric Unit of the A.O.U.P. Exclusion criteria included the lack of knowledge of the Italian language or other limits to verbal communication, poor capacity of collaboration or inability to provide informed consent, the documented mental retardation or IQ<70, history of neurologic disease, seizures, stroke, or head injury resulting in prolonged loss of consciousness and/or neurological sequelae in the previous three months. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Area Vasta Nord Ovest Toscana, Pisa, Italy.

Assessment

A trained clinician (CA) collected socio-demographic data of the sample, such as marital status, employment, educational level. Participants also fulfilled two self-reported instruments: the Adult Autism Subthreshold spectrum (AdAS Spectrum) in order to investigate autistic traits; the Trauma And Loss Spectrum Self-Report (TALS-SR) to examine Post-traumatic Stress spectrum symptoms.

The AdAS is a self-reported questionnaire constituted by 160 dichotomous items, developed in order to explore typical and atypical symptoms or personological traits which can be referred to the autistic spectrum. It should be emphasised that this psychometric instrument follows a dimensional approach and has no diagnostic purpose as to ASD diagnosis (57). The items are grouped in seven domains exploring respectively childhood and adolescence (I), verbal communication (II), non-verbal communication (III), empathy (IV), inflexibility and routinarity (V), restricted interests and ruminations (VI) and hypo-hyperreactivity to stimuli (VII). The AdAS Spectrum demonstrated excellent internal consistency for the total score as well as for five out of seven domains and found testretest reliability (ICC= .976). Subjects with ASD reported significantly higher AdAS Spectrum total scores than both patients with feed and eating disorder and healthy controls (57).

The TALS-SR includes 116 dichotomous items exploring the lifetime experience of a range of loss and/or traumatic events and lifetime symptoms, behaviors and personal characteristics that might represent manifestations and/ or risk factors for the development of a stress response syndrome. The items are grouped into nine domains, and doTable I. Socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample (119 patients).

Age (years)		mean ± SD 48.33 ± 11.63
		n (%)
Marital status	Single	35; 29.9%
	Married or partnered	52; 44.5%
	Separated/divorced	22;18.8%
	Widowed	8; 6.8%
Occupation	Student	2;3.3%
*	Unemployed	6; 9.8%
	Housewife	15; 24.6%
	Employed	33; 73.8%
	Retired	5; 8.2%
Educational level	Primary School	3; 4.9%
	Secondary school	19; 31.1%
	High school diploma	31; 50.8%
	Univeristy degree	5;8.2%
	Post graduate degree	2; 3.3%
Development disorders	Language	3; 3%
-	Movement	6; 5.9%

main scores are obtained by counting the number of positive answers. The nine domains explored by the TALS-SR are: loss events (I); grief reactions (II); potentially traumatic events (III); reactions to losses or upsetting events (IV); re-experiencing (V); avoidance and numbing (VI); maladaptive coping (VII); arousal (VIII); and personal characteristics/risk factors (IX). In its validation study, TALS-SR demonstrated excellent validity and reliability as to the PTSD diagnosis (58). According to previous studies, the pres-

ence of PTSD was assessed by means of TALS-SR items endorsed corresponding to DSM-5 criteria for PTSD diagnosis (59, 60). Specifically, we utilised the following matching between symptom criteria and TALS-SR items: Criterion B (B1=80; B2=77; B3=79; B4=78; B5=81).

Criterion C (C1=86; C2=87 and/or 88 and/or 89).

Criterion D (D1=90; D2=95; D3=85; D4=96; D5=91; D6=93; D7=92).

Criterion E (E1=108; E2=99 and/or 100 and/or 102 and/or 103 and/or 104; E3=106; E4=107; E5=105; E6=109).

Criteria proposed by previous studies were adopted to assess the presence of partial PTSD, that was the endorsement of two or three DSM-5 symptoms cluster. This procedure allowed us to divide the sample in three groups: subjects with PTSD, partial PTSD and without PTSD ones.

Statistical analysis To describe clinical and demographical characteristics in our sample we calculated the mean and the standard deviation (SD) for the quantitative variables. Conversely, frequencies and percentages were used to describe categorical data.

The comparison of the AdAS Spectrum domains and total scores between different groups constitued by patients with PTSD, partial PTSD and without PTSD was performed by means of oneway variance analysis (ANOVA). The post-hoc multiple comparisons were carried out with the t-test of Bonferroni or with the Games-Howell test, respectively, if the variance was equal or not equal, according to the test of homogeneity of variances. The relationship between AdAS and TALS-SR score was examined through Pearson coefficient. The data were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (OSSO). All tests were two-tailed and a p value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The study sample was constituted by 106 females (89%), 13 males (10.9%), the mean age was 48.33 ± 11.63 years. Socio-demographic characteristics are summarised in Table I. A total of 108 patients completed both the AdAS and TALS-SR lifetime questionnaires. The TALS-SR analysis showed that 40 patients (33.6%) met a PTSD diagnosis, while 40 (33.6%) reported a partial PTSD one. It is notewhorty that the percentage of patients reporting at least a partial PTSD was as high as 67.2% (n=80) of the total sample (n=119).

Table II. Comparison between the AdAS total and domain score in the overall sample (108 patients) and by subgroup without PTSD (group **a**), with partial PTSD (group **b**) and with a PTSD diagnosis (group **c**).

AdAS Spectrum Domains	FM patients (n=108) mean ± SD	FM patients without PTSD (n=39) mean ± SD	FM patients with Partial PTSD (n=35) mean ± SD	FM patients with PTSD (n=34) mean ± SD	F(2,105), <i>p</i>	<i>p</i> <0.05
I. Childhood/adolescence	4.83 ± 3.4	3.10 ± 1.99	5.00 ± 3.50	6.64 ± 3.69	11.804, <0.001	c,b>a
II. Verbal Communication	4.36 ± 2.75	3.23 ± 2.25	4.45 ± 2.52	5.55 ± 3.02	7.294, 0.001	c>a
III. Non-Verbal Communication	6.12 ± 3.79	3.51 ± 2.71	8.35 ± 3.73	8.35 ± 3.73	22.022, <0.001	c>a
IV. Empathy	3.45 ± 2.64	3.25 ± 2.67	3.48 ± 2.36	3.64 ± 2.92	0.199, 0.820	-
V. Inflexibility and adherence to routine	10.74 ± 6.3	7.57 ± 4.37	11.91 ± 6.69	13.14 ± 6.45	9.154, <0.001	c>a
VI. Restricted interest and rumination	5.42 ± 4.05	3.28 ± 2.6	6.02 ± 4.05	7.26 ± 4.39	11.066, <0.001	c>a
VII. Hyper-and hyporeactivity to sensory input	at 5.42 ± 4.05	3.02 ± 2.19	4.65 ± 3.54	5.91 ± 3.44	8.033, 0.001	c,b>a
Total score	39.4 ± 19.92	27.00 ± 13.14	42.42 ± 18.79	50.52 ± 20.12	17,302 <0.001	c,b>a

Table III. Correlations between total score and single domains score of TALS-SR and AdAS spectrum total and domain scores in the study sample (n=108).

	TALS-SR									
	Loss events r,p	Reactions to loss r,p	Potentially traumatic events <i>r,p</i>	Reactions to to loss and trauma <i>r,p</i>	Re-experiencing r,p	g Avoidance and numbing <i>r,p</i>	Maladaptive behaviours <i>r,p</i>	Arousal <i>r,p</i>	Risk factors <i>r,p</i>	Total <i>r,p</i>
I. Childohood and	0.187	0.285*	0.392*	0.380*	0.374*	0.476**	0.416**	0.419**	0.272	0.465
adolescence	0.053	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000
II. Verbal	0.118	0.312*	0.358*	0.406**	0.351*	0.402**	0.331*	0.426**	0.338*	0.451**
communication	0.225	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000
III. Non-verbal	0.188	0.413**	0.560**	0.539**	0.577**	0.572**	0.530**	0.591**	0.436**	0.643 ***
communication	0.051	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
IV. Empathy	0.233*	0.055	0.204*	0.049	0.119	0.112	0.143	0.143	0.193	0.157
	0.015	0.575	0.034	0.616	0.220	0.248	0.139	0.139	0.045	0.106
V. Routinarity and inflexibility	0.103	0.427**	0.411**	0.459**	0.434**	0.452**	0.535**	0.535**	0.269*	0.536**
	0.289	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
VI. Restricted inter-	ests 0.051	0.439**	0.400^{*}	0.459**	0.450**	0.487**	0.471**	0.459**	0.329*	0.526**
and ruminations	s 0.603	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
VII. Hypo/Hyperreac-	0.036	0.277	0.442**	0.400^{*}	0.398*	0.396*	0.462**	0.437**	0.246*	0.466**
tivity to stimuli	0.783	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000
Total	0.180	0.448**	0.535**	0.535**	0.533**	0.568**	0.509**	0.603***	0.395*	0.639***
	0.062	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	I. Childohood and adolescence II. Verbal communication III. Non-verbal communication IV. Empathy V. Routinarity and inflexibility VI. Restricted inter- and ruminations VII. Hypo/Hyperreac- tivity to stimuli Total	Loss eventsr,pI. Childohood and adolescence0.187 0.053II. Verbal communication0.118 0.225III. Non-verbal communication0.188 0.051IV. Empathy0.233* 0.015V. Routinarity and inflexibility0.103 0.289VI. Restricted interests0.051VI. Restricted interests0.051VI. Hypo/Hyperreac- tivity to stimuli0.036 0.783Total0.180 0.062	Loss events Reactions to loss r,p r,p I. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.053 0.003 II. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* communication 0.225 0.001 III. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** communication 0.188 0.413** communication 0.1051 0.000 IV. Empathy 0.233* 0.055 0.015 0.575 0.575 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.289 0.000 VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** and ruminations 0.603 0.277 tivity to stimuli 0.783 0.004 Total 0.180 0.448**	Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially traumatic events r,p r,p r,p r,p I. Childohood and adlescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* adolescence 0.053 0.003 0.000 II. Verbal communication 0.225 0.001 0.000 III. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** communication 0.051 0.000 0.000 IV. Empathy 0.233* 0.055 0.204* 0.015 0.575 0.034 V. Routinarity and 0.103 0.427** 0.411** V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.289 0.000 0.000 0.000 VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.400* 0.000 VI. Hypo/Hyperreactive ostimuli 0.783 0.004 0.000 0.000 VII. Hypo/Hyperreactive ostimuli 0.180 0.448** 0.535** 0.000	Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially traumatic events Reactions to to loss and trauma 1. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 1. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 1. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 1. Verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 1. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 1. Verbal communication 0.233* 0.055 0.204* 0.049 1. Verbal communication 0.103 0.427** 0.411** 0.459** 1. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.400* 0.000 VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.400* 0.400* 1. Hypo/Hyperreac- tivity to stimuli 0.783 0.004 0.000 0.535**	TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially traumatic events Reactions to Re-experiencing to loss and r.p r.p 1. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 0.374* 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 1. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 0.577** 1. Non-verbal communication 0.103 0.427** 0.404* 0.406* 0.220 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.233* 0.055 0.204* 0.049 0.119 VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.427** 0.411*** 0.459** 0.434** 0.603 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.400* 0.459** 0.450** VII. Hypo/Hyperreac- tivity to stimuli 0.783 0.004 0.000 0.000 0.000 <t< td=""><td>TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially traumatic events Reactions to Re-experiencing Avoidance and numbing r.p r.p r.p r.p and numbing r.p and numbing 1. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 0.374* 0.476** 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 0.402** 1. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 0.577** 0.572** 1. Verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 0.577** 0.572** 0.015 0.575 0.204* 0.049 0.119 0.112 0.015 0.575 0.034 0.616 0.220 0.248 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.239 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.400* 0.459** 0.445** 0.450** 0.450**</td><td>TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss r,p Potentially r,p Reactions to Re-experiencing traumatic events r,p Avoidance and numbing r,p Maladaptive behaviours r,p I. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.053 0.285* 0.003 0.392* 0.000 0.380* 0.000 0.374* 0.351* 0.476** 0.476** 0.416** 0.416** I. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.001 0.358* 0.000 0.406** 0.351* 0.400** 0.402** 0.331* 0.331* 0.000 II. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.500* 0.539** 0.539** 0.577** 0.577** 0.572** 0.572** 0.530** 0.530** IV. Empathy 0.233* 0.015 0.505 0.204* 0.049 0.119 0.112 0.112 0.143 0.139 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.103 0.289 0.427** 0.000 0.410** 0.000 0.459** 0.000 0.459** 0.450** 0.452** 0.450** 0.434** 0.452** 0.453** 0.535** VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.439** 0.003 0.400* 0.000 0.400* 0.000 0.398* 0.396* 0.462** 0.462** VI. Hypo/Hyperrea- tivity to stimuli 0.783 0.064 0.535** 0.000 0.533** 0.000</td><td>TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss r.p Potentially r.p Reactions to loss and traumatic r.p Reactions to loss and traumatic r.p Reactions to loss and traumatic r.p Maladaptive Arousal behaviours Maladaptive Arousal behaviours 1. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.053 0.285* 0.003 0.392* 0.300 0.380* 0.000 0.374* 0.476** 0.416** 0.416** 0.416** 0.419** 0.000 0.416** 0.410** 0.416** 0.419** 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.225 0.312* 0.001 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 0.537** 0.402** 0.400* 0.331* 0.402** 0.416** 0.419** 0.416** 0.419** 11. Non-verbal communication 0.118 0.051 0.413** 0.560** 0.560** 0.539** 0.539** 0.577** 0.572** 0.572** 0.530** 0.530** 0.591** 0.139 1V. Empathy 0.233* 0.015 0.204* 0.000 0.049 0.119 0.112 0.248 0.143 0.139 0.139 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.103 0.289 0.400* 0.000 0.459** 0.400* 0.459** 0.450** 0.459** 0.450** 0.487** 0.450** 0.471** 0.400* 0.459** 0.000 0.487** 0.000 0.462** 0.000 0.430** 0.000<td>TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially raumatic r,p Reactions to loss and traumatic r,p Reactions to loss and traumatic r,p Avoidance and numbing r,p Maladaptive Arousal behaviours Risk factors r,p I. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 0.374* 0.476** 0.416** 0.419** 0.272 I. Childohood and adolescence 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 0.402** 0.331* 0.426** 0.331*</td></td></t<>	TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially traumatic events Reactions to Re-experiencing Avoidance and numbing r.p r.p r.p r.p and numbing r.p and numbing 1. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 0.374* 0.476** 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 0.402** 1. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 0.577** 0.572** 1. Verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.539** 0.577** 0.572** 0.015 0.575 0.204* 0.049 0.119 0.112 0.015 0.575 0.034 0.616 0.220 0.248 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.239 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.400* 0.459** 0.445** 0.450** 0.450**	TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss r,p Potentially r,p Reactions to Re-experiencing traumatic events r,p Avoidance and numbing r,p Maladaptive behaviours r,p I. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.053 0.285* 0.003 0.392* 0.000 0.380* 0.000 0.374* 0.351* 0.476** 0.476** 0.416** 0.416** I. Verbal communication 0.118 0.312* 0.001 0.358* 0.000 0.406** 0.351* 0.400** 0.402** 0.331* 0.331* 0.000 II. Non-verbal communication 0.188 0.413** 0.560** 0.500* 0.539** 0.539** 0.577** 0.577** 0.572** 0.572** 0.530** 0.530** IV. Empathy 0.233* 0.015 0.505 0.204* 0.049 0.119 0.112 0.112 0.143 0.139 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.103 0.289 0.427** 0.000 0.410** 0.000 0.459** 0.000 0.459** 0.450** 0.452** 0.450** 0.434** 0.452** 0.453** 0.535** VI. Restricted interests 0.051 0.439** 0.439** 0.003 0.400* 0.000 0.400* 0.000 0.398* 0.396* 0.462** 0.462** VI. Hypo/Hyperrea- tivity to stimuli 0.783 0.064 0.535** 0.000 0.533** 0.000	TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss r.p Potentially r.p Reactions to loss and traumatic r.p Reactions to loss and traumatic r.p Reactions to loss and traumatic r.p Maladaptive Arousal behaviours Maladaptive Arousal behaviours 1. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.053 0.285* 0.003 0.392* 0.300 0.380* 0.000 0.374* 0.476** 0.416** 0.416** 0.416** 0.419** 0.000 0.416** 0.410** 0.416** 0.419** 1. Verbal communication 0.118 0.225 0.312* 0.001 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 0.537** 0.402** 0.400* 0.331* 0.402** 0.416** 0.419** 0.416** 0.419** 11. Non-verbal communication 0.118 0.051 0.413** 0.560** 0.560** 0.539** 0.539** 0.577** 0.572** 0.572** 0.530** 0.530** 0.591** 0.139 1V. Empathy 0.233* 0.015 0.204* 0.000 0.049 0.119 0.112 0.248 0.143 0.139 0.139 V. Routinarity and inflexibility 0.103 0.289 0.400* 0.000 0.459** 0.400* 0.459** 0.450** 0.459** 0.450** 0.487** 0.450** 0.471** 0.400* 0.459** 0.000 0.487** 0.000 0.462** 0.000 0.430** 0.000 <td>TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially raumatic r,p Reactions to loss and traumatic r,p Reactions to loss and traumatic r,p Avoidance and numbing r,p Maladaptive Arousal behaviours Risk factors r,p I. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 0.374* 0.476** 0.416** 0.419** 0.272 I. Childohood and adolescence 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 0.402** 0.331* 0.426** 0.331*</td>	TALS-SR Loss events Reactions to loss Potentially raumatic r,p Reactions to loss and traumatic r,p Reactions to loss and traumatic r,p Avoidance and numbing r,p Maladaptive Arousal behaviours Risk factors r,p I. Childohood and adolescence 0.187 0.285* 0.392* 0.380* 0.374* 0.476** 0.416** 0.419** 0.272 I. Childohood and adolescence 0.118 0.312* 0.358* 0.406** 0.351* 0.402** 0.331* 0.426** 0.331*

*weak to moderate correlation; **moderate to strong correlation; ***strong correlation.

In the one way ANOVA, FM patients diagnosed with PTSD had a significant higher AdAS Spectrum total score with respect to without ones, besides a significant higher score in all AdAS domains, except for the *empathy* one (IV). Patients with partial PTSD, reported intermediary results with respect to the other two groups, showing a significant difference only in AdAS Spectrum domains *childhood/adolescence* (I), and *hypo-hyper reactivity to stimuli* (VIII), beside AdAS Spectrum total score if compared with FM patients without PTSD (Table II).

Finally, the Pearson correlations between the AdAS Spectrum and TALS domains enlighted the existence of a positive association between several domains of the two scales (Table III).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study exporing the relationship between subthreshold adult autistic spectrum symptoms and PTSD or Post-traumatic Stress spectrum symptoms, in patients with FM. The evidence of a positive relation between the scores reported at the AdAS and the presence of PTSD in FM patients sheds further light on the clinical and pathophysiological interface between these clinical entities. On one hand, it is aknowledged that ASD and ATs are not only associated to a greater traumatic exposure (61) but also represent a vulnerable substrate for the development of posttraumatic stress symptoms in the aftermath of a trauma (26). On the other hand, several studies demonstrated the high prevalence of full-blown or subthreeshold PTSD among FM patients and the relevance of this comorbidity in adversely affecting the clinical picture of FM (27, 28, 62, 63). In this proposal, when examining these results, we may take into account the possible impact of a symptomatological overlap of FM and some PTSD symptoms. In particular, symptoms such as restlessness, sleeping and concentration problems and hyper-vigilance are commonly observed in both conditions (64, 65). This notwithstanding, in our view the

symptomatological overlap between PTSD and FM does not weaken in itself the validity and clinical relevance of the two distinct diagnosis, provided that the corresponding diagnostic criteria are met. Hence, the PTSD prevalence rate in our sample, that is in line with literature (25, 26), may be considered as a further argument in favour of the high comorbidity rate between these two clinical conditions. It is also noteworthy that the analysis of the TALS-SR domain scores showed, consistently with literature (66-69), a high prevalence of previous traumatic experiences with a significant preponderance of interpersonal loss events and other relational trauma events. However, to date no study has examined the presence of autistic subthreshold symptoms and their relation with PTSD diagnosis in a clinical sample of FM patients.

Our result enlighted significantly higher AdAS Spectrum score in the group with PTSD in respect to the group constitued by patients who did not meet a PTSD diagnosis, with a significant

Adult Subthreshold spectrum correlates to PTSD spectrum in FM / C. Carmassi et al.

gap in all AdAS Spectrum domains except the VIth (empathy). The group diagnosed with partial PTSD was in an intermediate position, with significantly higher values in the overall AdAS Spectrum score in respect to the group of patients without PTSD. In addition, a comparison between the average AdAS Spectrum scores of this sample and that reported by the control group of AdAS Spectrum validation study (57) provide further insights: while no significant differences emerged between the entire sample of FM patients and control group, the PTSD group showed higher score in all AdAS domains.

These results suggest that ATs may play a role in shaping clinical manifestations of PTSD, thereby resulting in an increased vulnerability to development of somatic symptoms, such as pain symptoms or other functional disorder. On one hand, this hypothesis could be supported by literature evidence concerning the presence of neurodevelopmental disorder and higher ATs in paediatric patients with SDD, whose potential meaning has been indicated in the introduction (35-41). In this regard, a potential underpinning pathophysiological diathesis may consist in the documented alteration of sensory response to stimuli. Indeed, hyper-reactivity to sensory stimuli is one of the several ATs explored by the AdAS Spectrum questionnaire (e.g. items 150 and 153) and at the same time well-known clinical features of FM (10, 49). This overlapping feature, along with the results of the present study, may in our opinion encourage further researches aimed to examine this issue. Moreover, while the multisensory hypersensitivity and pain centralisation have been conceptualised as the aetiopathogenetic core features of FM (50, 51, 70, 71), other mechanisms (such as the disregulation of opioidergic system, a common finding both in ASD and in FM) may deserve attention. Indeed, some researches, though not leading to univocal results, suggest that the opioid system plays a key role not only in pain modulation, but also in social interactions and attachment behaviour (72); in addition, the response to opioidergic therapies in FM is usually disappointing (73, 74). On the other hand, the clinical burden of somatic symptoms in post-traumatic stress pathology has been increasingly recognised, with recent studies focusing on medical comorbidities and on the presence of multiple somatic complaints in PTSD patients such as chronic pain, headache and gastrointestinal disorder (75-79). In this regard, the underlying mechanisms are still debated, since the interplay between hypotalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis alterations, proflogistic diathesis, and opioidergic system impairment outlines a complex physio-pathological scenario (80-85). In this framework, the correlation between AdAS Spectrum score and PTSD diagnosis and that between AdAS and TALS-SR domains in this cluster of patients - who suffer, by definition, of multidistrict pain - may suggest that subthreshold autistic symptoms confer a combined vulnerability to Post-traumatic Stress spectrum development and to somatisation in response to psychological and/ or physical stressors. This hypothesis is strengthened by the resulting relationship between the score reported in domain VII of the AdAS, which explores hypo-hyperreactivity to stimuli.

In addition, two further findings are worth mentioning: a moderate association between the score reported in the verbal comunication domain and the total TALS-SR score and a strong association between the latter and the nonverbal communication domain score. This finding is in line with previous literature focusing on the association of alexithimia (i.e. multidimensional psychological construct that describes a difficulty in cognitive processing of emotional experience leading to impairment in communicating feelings and in emotional regulation) and pain severity in both FM and ASD patients (63, 86-90). In this regard, although the association between FM and alexithimia is consistently reported its aetiopathogenetic role is still controversial, recent studies suggesting that this association is mainly mediated by psychological distress (86).

These results should be interpreted in the framework of some limitations. First, FM patients are prone to over-report a wide range of symptoms, includ-

ing symptoms which are not FM related (91). It has been hypothesised that over-reporting and central augmentation may either coexist in the same patient or either may prevail in different patients (91, 92). In this regard, however, it is worth noticing that in our sample only the subsample of FM patients with PTSD reported significantly higher AdAS Spectrum score, this suggesting that in this sample the high score was not attributable to FM per se. Other limitations are represented by the dishomogeneity of gender groups; the use of self-reported instruments, such as AdAS Spectrum and TALS-SR; the lifetime structure of TALS-SR assessment, which does not allow us to examine the temporal relationship between the onset of the conditions under investigation. Finally, our research did not gather data on medical and psychiatric comorbidities in the sample.

Conclusion

The results emerging from this study confirm on one hand the high comorbidity rate between PTSD and FM, and on the other hand the existence of a relevant relation between this association and the presence of higher autistic symptoms in this cluster of patients. In this framework, the analysis of the correlations between AdAS Spectrum and TALS-SR score leads to hypotesise a particular relevance of the hypo-hyper sensitivity to stimuli and of deficit in verbal and non-verbal comunication in explaining this finding. Further studies are warranted in order to confirm this correlation, to detangle its directionality and to examine underlying psychological and/or neurobiological mechanisms.

References

- 1. JONES GT, ATZENI F, BEASLEY M, FLÜSS E, SARZI-PUTTINI P, MACFARLANE GJ: The prevalence of fibromyalgia in the general population: a comparison of the American College of Rheumatology 1990, 2010, and modified 2010 classification criteria. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2015; 67: 568-75.
- WOLFE F, HÄUSER W: Fibromyalgia diagnosis and diagnostic criteria. *Ann Med* 2011; 43: 495-502.
- ATZENI F, TALOTTA R, MASALA IF et al.: One year in review 2019: fibromyalgia. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2019; 37 (Suppl. 116): S3-10.
- 4. HÄUSER W, SARZI-PUTTINI P, TÖLLE TR, WOLFE F: Placebo and nocebo responses in

Adult Subthreshold spectrum correlates to PTSD spectrum in FM / C. Carmassi et al.

randomised controlled trials of drugs applying for approval for fibromyalgia syndrome treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012; 30 (Suppl. 74): S78-87.

- NICHOLAS M, VLAEYEN JWS, RIEF W et al.: The IASP classification of chronic pain for ICD-11: chronic primary pain. *Pain* 2019; 160: 28-37.
- HÄUSER W, CLAUW D, FITZCHARLES MA: Fibromyalgia as a chronic primary pain syndrome: issues to discus. *Pain* 2019; 160: 2651-2.
- GRIFFING GT: Fibromyalgia is not a rheumatologic disease anymore. *Medscape J Med* 2008; 10: 47.
- ENDRESEN GK: Fibromyalgia: a rheumatologic diagnosis? *Rheumatol Int* 2007; 27: 999-1004.
- 9. WOLFE F: Fibromyalgia wars. J Rheumatol 2009; 36: 671-8.
- 10. CLAUW DJ: Fibromyalgia: a clinical review. JAMA 2014; 311: 1547-55.
- EPSTEIN SA, KAY G, CLAUW D et al.: Psychiatric disorders in patients with fibromyalgia: a multicenter investigation. *Psychosomatics* 1999; 40: 57-63.
- EPSTEIN SA, KAY G, CLAUW D et al.: Psychiatric disorders in patients with fibromyalgia: a multicenter investigation. *Psychosomatics* 1999; 40: 57-63.
- HAVILAND MG, MORTON KR, ODA K, FRASER GE: Traumatic experiences, major life stressors, and self-reporting a physiciangiven fibromyalgia diagnosis. *Psychiatry Res* 2010; 177: 335-41.
- 14. ALBERT U, CARMASSI C, COSCI F et al.: Role and clinical implications of atypical antipsychotics in anxiety disorders, obsessivecompulsive disorder, trauma-related, and somatic symptom disorders: a systematized review. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 2016; 31: 249-58.
- 15. CARMASSI C, DELL'OSSO L, MANNI C et al.: Frequency of trauma exposure and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in Italy: analysis from the World Mental Health Survey Initiative. J Psychiatr Res 2014; 59: 77-84.
- 16. FRIEDMAN MJ: Finalizing PTSD in DSM-5: getting here from there and where to go next. *J Trauma Stress* 2013; 26: 548-56.
- HYLAND P, SHEVLIN M, FYVIE C, KARAT-ZIAS T: Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Complex Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in DSM-5 and ICD-11: Clinical and Behavioral Correlates. J Trauma Stress 2018; 31: 174-80.
- BRYANT RA: Post-traumatic stress disorder: a state-of-the-art review of evidence and challenges. World Psychiatry 2019; 18: 259-69.
- CARMASSI C, DELL'OSTE V, BARBERI FM et al.: Do somatic symptoms relate to PTSD and gender after earthquake exposure? A cross-sectional study on young adult survivors in Italy. CNS Spectr 2020 Apr 6; 1-7.
- BOSCARINO JA: Posttraumatic stress disorder and physical illness: results from clinical and epidemiologic studies. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2004; 1032: 141-53.
- KOENEN KC, GALEA S: Post-traumatic stress disorder and chronic disease: open questions and future directions. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2015; 50: 511-3.

- 22. SPITZER C, BARNOW S, VÖLZKE H, JOHN U, FREYBERGER HJ, GRABE HJ: Trauma, posttraumatic stress disorder, and physical illness: findings from the general population. *Psychosom Med* 2009; 71: 1012-7.
- 23. GLAESMER H, BRÄHLER E, GÜNDEL H, RIEDEL-HELLER SG: The association of traumatic experiences and posttraumatic stress disorder with physical morbidity in old age: a German population-based study. *Psychosom Med* 2011; 73: 401-6.
- 24. EDMONSON D, KRONISH IM, SHAFFER JA, FALZON L, BURG MM: Post traumatic stress disorder and Risk for Coronary Heart Disease: a meta-analytic review. *Am Heart J* 2013; 166: 806-14.
- 25. CARMASSI C, STRATTA P, MASSIMETTI G et al.: New DSM-5 maladaptive symptoms in PTSD: gender differences and correlations with mood spectrum symptoms in a sample of high school students following survival of an earthquake. Ann Gen Psychiatry 2014; 13: 28.
- 26. PENFOLD S, ST DENIS E, MAZHAR MN: The association between borderline personality disorder, fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome: systematic review. *BJ Psych Open* 2016; 2: 275-9.
- 27. GALEK A, ERBSLÖH-MÖLLER B, KÖLLNER V et al.: Mental disorders in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome: screening in centres of different medical specialties. *Schmerz* 2013; 27: 296-304.
- DELL'OSSO L, CARMASSI C, CONSOLI G et al: Lifetime post-traumatic stress symptoms are related to the health-related quality of life and severity of pain/fatigue in patients with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011; 29 (Suppl. 69): \$73-8.
- 29. CONVERSANO C, CARMASSI C, BERTELLONI CA *et al.*: Potentially traumatic events, posttraumatic stress disorder and post-traumatic stress spectrum in patients with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2019; 37 (Suppl. 116): S39-43.
- 30. DELL'OSSO L, DALLE LUCHE R, GESI C, MORONI I, CARMASSI C, MAJ M: From Asperger's Autistischen Psychopathen to DSM-5 Autism Spectrum Disorder and Beyond: A Subthreshold Autism Spectrum Model. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 2016; 12: 120-31.
- 31. BILLECI L, CALDERONI S, CONTI E et al.: The Broad Autism (Endo)Phenotype: Neurostructural and Neurofunctional Correlates in Parents of Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Front Neurosci 2016; 10: 346.
- DELL'OSSO L, DALLE LUCHE R, MAJ M: Adult autism spectrum as a transnosographic dimension. CNS Spectr 2016; 21: 131-3.
- 33. DELL'OSSO L, DALLE LUCHE R, CARMASSI C: A new perspective in post-traumatic stress disorder: which role for unrecognized autism spectrum? *Int J Emerg Ment Health* 2015; 17: 436-8.
- 34. PETERSON JL, EARL R, FOX EA et al.: Trauma and autism spectrum disorder: review, proposed treatment adaptations and future directions. J Child Adolesc Trauma 2019; 12: 529-47.
- 35. HARUVI-LAMDAN N, HORESH D, GOLAN O: PTSD and autism spectrum disorder:

Co-morbidity, gaps in research, and potential shared mechanisms. *Psychol Trauma* 2018; 10: 290-9.

- 36. HATTA K, HOSOZAWA M, TANAKA K, SHIMI-ZU T: Exploring Traits of Autism and Their Impact on Functional Disability in Children with Somatic Symptom Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord 2019; 49: 729-37.
- 37. CORBETT BA, SCHUPP CW, LEVINE S, MEN-DOZA S: Comparing cortisol, stress, and sensory sensitivity in children with autism. *Autism Res* 2009; 2: 39-49.
- COZZI G, MINUTE M, SKABAR A *et al.*: Somatic symptom disorder was common in children and adolescents attending an emergency department complaining of pain. *Acta Paediatr* 2017; 106: 586-93.
- MCKILLOP HN, BANEZ GA: A broad consideration of risk factors in pediatric chronic pain: where to go from here? *Children* (Basel) 2016; 3: E38.
- 40. HÄUSER W, BIALAS P, WELSCH K, WOLFE F: Construct validity and clinical utility of current research criteria of DSM-5 somatic symptom disorder diagnosis in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. J Psychosom Res 2015; 78: 546-552.
- HÄUSER W, SARZI-PUTTINI P, FITZCHARLES MA: Fibromyalgia syndrome: under-, overand misdiagnosis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2019; 37 (Suppl. 116): S90-7.
- HÄUSER W, HENNINGSEN P: Fibromyalgia syndrome: a somatoform disorder? *Eur J Pain* 2014; 18: 1052-9.
- PFEIFFER B, KINNEALEY M: Treatment of sensory defensiveness in adults. Occup Ther Int 2003; 10: 175-84.
- 44. BARANEK GT, FOSTER LG, BERKSON G: Sensory defensiveness in persons with developmental disabilities. Occup Ther J Res 1997; 17: 173-85.
- 45. KIENTZ MA, DUNN W: A comparison of the performance of children with and without autism on the Sensory Profile. Am J Occup Ther 1997; 51: 530-7.
- 46. AHN RR, MILLER LJ, MILBERGER S, MCIN-TOSH DN: Prevalence of parents' perceptions of sensory processing disorders among kindergarten children. *Am J Occup Ther* 2004; 58: 287-93.
- 47. GRACELY RH, PETZKE F, WOLF JM, CLAUW DJ: Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence of augmented pain processing in fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 1333-43.
- 48. COOK DB, LANGE G, CICCONE DS, LIU W-C, STEFFENER J, NATELSON BH: Functional imaging of pain in patients with primary fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2004; 31: 364-78.
- 49. MONTOYA P, SITGES C, GARCÍA-HERRERA M et al.: Reduced brain habituation to somatosensory stimulation in patients with fibromyalgia. Arthritis Rheum 2006; 54: 1995-2003.
- WILBARGER JL, COOK DB: Multisensory hypersensitivity in women with fibromyalgia: implications for well being and intervention. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2011; 92: 653-6.
- WOOLF CJ: Central sensitization: implications for the diagnosis and treatment of pain. *Pain* 2011; 152 (Suppl.): S2-15.

Adult Subthreshold spectrum correlates to PTSD spectrum in FM / C. Carmassi et al.

- 52. DESMEULES JA, CEDRASCHI C, RAPITI E *et al.*: Neurophysiologic evidence for a central sensitization in patients with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003; 48: 1420-9.
- PHILLIPS K, CLAUW DJ: Central pain mechanisms in the rheumatic diseases: future directions. Arthritis Rheum 2013; 65: 291-302.
- 54. HARRIS RE, CLAUW DJ: How do we know that the pain in fibromyalgia is "real"? *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2006; 10: 403-7.
- 55. GRACELY RH, PETZKE F, WOLF JM, CLAUW DJ: Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence of augmented pain processing in fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 1333-43.
- 56. COOK DB, LANGE G, CICCONE DS, LIU WC, STEFFENER J, NATELSON BH: Functional imaging of pain in patients with primary fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2004: 31: 364-78.
- 57. WOLFE F, CLAUW DJ, FITZCHARLES MA et al.: The American College of Rheumatology preliminary diagnostic criteria for fibromyalgia and measurement of symptom severity. Arthritis Care Res 2010; 62: 600-10.
- 58. DELL'OSSO L, GESI C, MASSIMETTI E et al.: Adult Autism Subthreshold Spectrum (AdAS Spectrum): Validation of a questionnaire investigating subthreshold autism spectrum. *Compr Psychiatry* 2017; 73: 61-83.
- 59. DELL'OSSO L, CARMASSI C, RUCCI P et al.: A multidimensional spectrum approach to post-traumatic stress disorder: comparison between the Structured Clinical Interview for Trauma and Loss Spectrum (SCI-TALS) and the Self-Report instrument (TALS-SR). *Compr Psychiatry* 2009; 50: 485-90.
- 60. CARMASSI C, AKISKAL HS, YONG SS et al.: Post-traumatic stress disorder in DSM-5: Estimates of prevalence and criteria comparison versus DSM-IV-TR in a non-clinical sample of earthquake survivors. J Affect Disord 2013; 151: 843-8.
- 61. CARMASSI C, BERTELLONI CA, DELL'OSTE V et al.: Post-traumatic stress burden in a sample of hospitalized patients with Bipolar Disorder: Which impact on clinical correlates and suicidal risk? J Affect Disord 2020; 262: 267-72.
- 62. DELL'OSSO L, CORSI M, GESI C et al.: Adult Autism Subthreshold Spectrum (AdAS Spectrum) in parents of pediatric patients with epilepsy: Correlations with post-traumatic stress symptoms. Compr Psychiatry 2018; 83: 25-30.
- 63. HÄUSER W, GALEK A, ERBSLÖH-MÖLLER B *et al.*: Posttraumatic stress disorder in fibromyalgia syndrome: Prevalence, temporal relationship between posttraumatic stress and fibromyalgia symptoms, and impact on clinical outcome. *Pain* 2013; 154: 1216-23.
- 64. CONVERSANO C, MARCHI L, CIACCHINI R et al.: Personality Traits in Fibromyalgia (FM): Does FM Personality Exists? A Systematic Review. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 2018; 14: 263.
- 65. HÄUSER W, ABLIN J, WALITT B: PTSD and Fibromyalgia Syndrome: Focus on Prevalence, Mechanisms, and Impact. *In*: MARTIN C, PREEDY V, PATEL V (Eds.) Comprehensive Guide to Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder.

Springer, Cham 2015.

- 66. HÄUSER W, GALEK A, ERBSLÖH-MÖLLER B et al.: Posttraumatic stress disorder in fibromyalgia syndrome: prevalence, temporal relationship between posttraumatic stress and fibromyalgia symptoms, and impact on clinical outcome. Pain 2013; 154: 1216-23.
- 67. HÄUSER W, HOFFMANN EM, WOLFE F et al.: Self-reported childhood maltreatment, lifelong traumatic events and mental disorders in fibromyalgia syndrome: a comparison of US and German outpatients. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2015; 33 (Suppl. 88): S86-92.
- LOW LA, SCHWEINHARDT P: Early life adversity as a risk factor for fibromyalgia in later life. *Pain Res Treat* 2012; 2012: 140832.
- 69. COHEN H, NEUMANN L, HAIMAN Y, MATAR MA, PRESS J, BUSKILA D: Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder in fibromyalgia patients: overlapping syndromes or posttraumatic fibromyalgia syndrome? *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 32: 38-50.
- HELLOU R, HAUSER W, BRENNER I et al.: Self-reported childhood maltreatment and traumatic events among Israeli patients suffering from fibromyalgia and rheumatoid arthritis. Pain Res Manag 2017; 2017: 3865249.
- CLAUW DJ, CROFFORD LJ: Chronic widespread pain and fibromyalgia: what we know, and what we need to know. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2003; 17: 685-701.
- ELLER-SMITH OC, NICOL AL, CHRISTIAN-SON JA: Potential mechanisms underlying centralized pain and emerging therapeutic interventions. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2018; 12: 35.
- 73. JOHNSON B, ULBERG S, SHIVALE S, DON-ALDSON J, MILCZARSKI B, FARAONE SV: Fibromyalgia, autism, and opioid addiction as natural and induced disorders of the endogenous opioid hormonal system. *Discov Med* 2014; 18: 209-20.
- HARRIS RE, CLAUW DJ, SCOTT DJ, MCLEAN SA, GRACELY RH, ZUBIETA JK: Decreased central μ-opioid receptor availability in fibromyalgia. J Neurosci 2007; 27: 10000-6.
- KROENKE K, KREBS EE, BAIR MJ: Pharmacotherapy of chronic pain: a synthesis of recommendations from systematic reviews. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2009; 31: 206-19.
- 76. ZHANG Y, ZHANG J, REN R, TANG X: Bidirectional associations of insomnia symptoms with somatic complaints and posttraumatic stress disorder in child and adolescent earthquake survivors: a longitudinal study. *Sleep Breath* 2020; 24: 311-20.
- 77. MILLIGAN-SAVILLE JS, PATERSON HM, HARKNESS EL *et al.*: The amplification of common somatic symptoms by posttraumatic stress disorder in firefighters. *J Psychosom Res* 2017; 30: 142-8.
- 78. DELL'OSSO L, BAZZICHI L, CONSOLI G et al.: Manic spectrum symptoms are correlated to the severity of pain and the health-related quality of life in patients with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2009; 27 (Suppl. 56): S57-S61.
- 79. BARTEL A, JORDAN J, CORRELL D, DEVANE A, SAMUELSON KW: Somatic burden and

perceived cognitive problems in traumaexposed adults with posttraumatic stress symptoms or pain. *J Clin Psychol* 2020; 76: 146-60.

- BOURN LE, SEXTON MB, RAGGIO GA, POR-TER KE, RAUCH SAM: Posttraumatic stress disorder and somatic complaints: Contrasting Vietnam and OIF/OEF Veterans' experiences. J Psychosom Res 2016; 82: 35-40.
- 81. DELL'OSSO L, BAZZICHI L, BARONI S et al.: The inflammatory hypothesis of mood spectrum broadened to fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2015; 33 (Suppl. 88): \$109-16.
- 82. PASSOS IC, VASCONCELOS-MORENO MP, COSTA LG et al.: Inflammatory markers in post-traumatic stress disorder: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. Lancet Psychiatry 2015; 2: 1002-12.
- PACE TW, HEIM CM: A short review on the psychoneuroimmunology of posttraumatic stress disorder: from risk factors to medical comorbidities. *Brain Behav Immun* 2011; 25: 6-13.
- 84. YEHUDA R: Advances in understanding neuroendocrine alterations in PTSD and their therapeutic implications. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2006; 1071: 137-66.
- 85. DELL'OSSO L, DA POZZO E, CARMASSI C et al.: Lifetime manic-hypomanic symptoms in post-traumatic stress disorder: relationship with the 18kDa mitochondrial translocator protein density. *Psychiatry Res* 2010; 177: 139-143.
- 86. PALAGINI L, CARMASSI C, GESI C, BAZZICHI L, GIACOMELLI C, DELL'OSSO L: Transdiagnostic factors across fibromyalgia and mental disorders: sleep disturbances may play a key role. A Clinical Review. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2016; 34 (Suppl. 96): S140-4.
- 87. MARCHI L, MARZETTI F, ORRÙ G et al.: Alexithymia and Psychological Distress in Patients With Fibromyalgia and Rheumatic Disease. Front Psychol 2019; 10: 1735.
- 88. HORTA-BAAS G, PELÁEZ-BALLESTAS I, QUE-IPO G, MONTERO HERNÁNDEZ U, ROMERO-FIGUEROA MDS: Alexithymia is associated with mood disorders, impairment in quality of life and disability in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2020; 38 (Suppl. 123): S17-24.
- 89. CASTELLI L, TESIO V, COLONNA F et al.: Alexithymia and psychological distress in fibromyalgia: prevalence and relation with quality of life. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012; 30 (Suppl. 74): S70-7.
- 90. POQUÉRUSSE J, PASTORE L, DELLANTONIO S, ESPOSITO G: Alexithymia and Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Complex Relationship. *Front Psychol* 2018; 9: 1196.
- 91. COSTA AP, STEFFGEN G, SAMSON AC: Expressive incoherence and alexithymia in autism spectrum disorder. J Autism Dev Disord 2017; 47: 1659-72.
- 92. WOLFE F, RASKER JJ, HÄUSER W: Hearing loss in fibromyalgia? Somatic sensory and non-sensory symptoms in patients with fibromyalgia and other rheumatic disorders? *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012, 30 (Suppl. 74): S88-93.