COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in systemic sclerosis

Sirs,

Vaccine hesitancy is defined as the "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services" (1). The success of COVID-19 vaccination programs is a key determinant to abate the spread of the infection (2) and understanding what people feel might help to address hesitancy (3). Therefore, using the "Oxford Covid-19 Vaccine Hesitancy Scale" and "Oxford COVID-19 Vaccine Confidence & Complacency Scale" (4), we explored the attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccine in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) and which sociodemographic or disease-related factors might affect hesitancy. A control group of individuals affected by inflammatory arthritis was included.

Translation, linguistic validation and cultural adaptation of the two scales were performed according to the WHO best practice guidelines (https://www.who.int/ substance_abuse/research_tools/translation/en/). On the basis of the responses, patients were categorised as "clearly positive", "very unsure" or "strongly hesitant" about COVID-19 vaccine. We included 104 patients with SSc and 111 controls. Characteristics of the study population are reported in Table I.

Seventy-five (72.1%) SSc and 96 (86.5%) arthritis patients had "clearly positive" feelings (p=0.009). Respectively, 16 (15.4%) and 6 (5.4%) patients were "very unsure" (p=0.016), while 3 (2.9%) and 5 (4.5%) had a "strongly hesitant" attitude (p=0.531).

In SSc patients, after stratification for median age [61.0 (50.25-59.0) years] and median disease duration [8.5 (4.0-15.0) years], we observed a significant difference in the hesitancy scale score in older vs.younger individuals (11.4±3.6 VS. 14.5±5.7, p<0.001, Fig 1A) but not in longer versus shorter disease duration (12.2±4.4 vs. 13.8±5.5, p=0.139, Fig 1B). Regarding cutaneous involvement, hesitancy scale score was lower in patients with higher mRSS (11.3±4.0 vs. 14.4±5.5, p=0.003, Fig 1C) and with diffuse versus limited disease subset (10.3±4.1 vs. 13.3±4.9, p=0.022, Fig 1D). Moreover, individuals with ILD were significantly less hesitant than patients with no ILD (11.6±4.5 vs. 14.3±5.3, p=0.012, Fig 1E) while no difference was retrieved according to treatment with immunosuppressive therapies or not (13.0±5.0 vs. 13.0±5.2, *p*=0.792, Fig 1F).

We found that the majority of SSc patients have positive attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccine. However, compared to inflammatory arthritis, less SSc patients have a strong intent to receive the vaccination, while a higher proportion is doubtful. Our data suggest that older individuals and patients with higher disease activity might Table I. General characteristics of patients with systemic sclerosis and controls affected by inflammatory arthritis.

| | Systemic sclerosis (n=104) | | Inflammatory arthritis (n=111) | | <i>p</i> -valu | ıe |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----|
| Socio-demographic characteristics | | | | | | |
| Female, n (%) | 90 | (86.5) | 66 | (59.5) | < 0.00 | 1 |
| Age (years), mean (SD) | 58.7 | (12.9) | 55.0 | (15.8) | 0.06 | 0 |
| Age range 18-39 years, n (%) | 9 | (8.7) | 20 | (18.0) | 0.45 | 0 |
| Age range 40-54 years n (%) | 27 | (26.0) | 29 | (26.1) | 0.97 | 8 |
| A ge range 55-69 years $n(\%)$ | 48 | (20.0) (46.2) | 42 | (37.8) | 0.21 | 7 |
| Age range >69 years, $n(\%)$ | 20 | (19.2) | 20 | (18.0) | 0.81 | 9 |
| Employment status | | | | | | |
| Employed | 44 | (42.3) | 59 | (53.2) | 0.11 | 2 |
| Homemaker | 9 | (8.7) | 7 | (6.3) | 0.51 | 2 |
| Inemployed | 7 | (67) | 7 | (63) | 0.90 | 0 |
| Retired | 44 | (42.3) | 38 | (34.2) | 0.22 | 3 |
| Highest level of education | | | | | | |
| Widdle school, n (%) | 46 | (44.2) | 34 | (30.6) | 0.03 | 9 |
| High school n (%) | 44 | (42.3) | 48 | (43.2) | 0.89 | 0 |
| University n (%) | 14 | (12.5) (13.5) | 29 | (26.1) | 0.02 | 0 |
| | | (1010) | 27 | (2011) | 0.02 | 0 |
| Marital status | | | | ((2.2.2)) | | |
| Married, n (%) | 69 | (66.3) | 69 | (62.2) | 0.52 | 3 |
| Single, n (%) | 22 | (21.2) | 26 | (23.4) | 0.69 | 0 |
| Cohabiting, n (%) | 10 | (9.6) | 10 | (9.0) | 0.87 | 8 |
| Widowed, n (%) | 3 | (2.9) | 6 | (5.4) | 0.35 | 6 |
| Ever received influenza vaccination, n (%) | 65 | (62.5) | 89 | (80.2) | 0.00 | 4 |
| Ever received pneumococcal vaccination, n (%) | 46 | (44.2) | 62 | (55.9) | 0.08 | 8 |
| Had COVID-19 | 5 | (4.8) | 5 | (4.5) | 0.91 | 6 |
| Disease characteristics and therapy | | | | | | |
| Disease duration (years), mean (SD) | 10.8 | (8.3) | 12.8 | (9.5) | 0.10 | 1 |
| cDMARDs, n (%) | 33 | (31.7) | 55 | (49.5) | 0.00 | 8 |
| DMARDs/tsDMARDs, n (%) | 2 | (1.9) | 65 | (58.6) | < 0.00 | 1 |
| Glucocorticoids n (%) | 35 | (337) | 20 | (18.0) | 0.00 | 9 |
| Vasoactive therapy, n (%) | 98 | (94.2) | 20 | (1010) | 0100 | - |
| Disease subset | | | | | | |
| imited cutaneous SSc. n (%) | 71 | (68.3) | | | | |
| Diffuse cutaneous SSc, $n(\%)$ | 25 | (24.0) | | | | |
| Sine scleroderma SSc. $n(\%)$ | 23 | (24.0) | | | | |
| Desumatoid arthritic $p(\mathcal{A})$ | 0 | (1.1) | 51 | (45.0) | | |
| Construction and construction of (%) | | | 51 | (45.9) | | |
| Psorialic artifilis, n (%) | | | 44 | (39.0) | | |
| Axial spondyloarthritis, n (%) | | | 16 | (14.4) | | |
| Disease manifestations in SSc patients | | | | | | |
| nterstitial lung disease, n (%) | 51 | (49.0) | | | | |
| Digital ulcers, n (%) | 58 | (55.8) | | | | |
| Pulmonary arterial hypertension, n (%) | 4 | (3.8) | | | | |
| Gastrointestinal involvement, n (%) | 29 | (27.9) | | | | |
| Oxford COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy scale score, | 13.1 | (4.9) | 11.5 | (4.6) | 0.49 | 4 |
| mean (SD) | 25.2 | ((0) | 22.0 | (()) | 0.00 | - |
| Oxford COVID-19 vaccine confidence & | 25.2 | (6.9) | 22.8 | (6.0) | 0.09 | 7 |
| complacency scale score, mean (SD) | | | | | | |
| Attitude about COVID-19 vaccine | | | | | | |
| Clearly positive, n (%) | 75 | (72.1) | 96 | (86.5) | 0.00 | 9 |
| Very unsure, n (%) | 16 | (15.4) | 6 | (5.4) | 0.01 | 6 |
| Strongly hesitant, n (%) | 3 | (2.9) | 5 | (4.5) | 0.53 | 1 |
| HADS-D, mean (SD) | 9.0 | (3.2) | 9.2 | (1.6) | 0.18 | 4 |
| HADS-A, mean (SD) | 9.0 | (3.3) | 8.7 | (2.5) | 0.48 | 7 |
| SF-36 MCS, mean (SD) | 593 | (21.2) | 64.3 | (23.3) | 0.57 | 1 |
| SF-36 PCS mean (SD) | 52.0 | (24.3) | 61.1 | (25.1) | 0.47 | 1 |
| | 54.9 | () | 01.1 | (2011) | 0.17 | - |

cDMARDs: conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; bDMARDs: biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; tsDMARDs: targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; SSc: systemic sclerosis; HADS-A: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety; HADS-D: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression; SF-36: Short Form-36.

have more positive feelings about COV-ID-19 vaccine, similar to previous research outlining a lower vaccine hesitancy in people at higher risk of severe COVID-19 illness (4, 5). Interestingly, also the absence of differences based on ongoing immunosuppressive therapies is consistent with the available literature (6-8). Campochiaro *et al.* found that 44% of patients with rheumatic diseases had a clear willingness to receive COVID-19 vaccine and an additional 37% answered positively when recommended by the caring rheumatologist (9). Boekel *et al.* showed that 61% of patients with autoimmune diseases accepted to receive vaccination against COV-

Letters to the Editors



Fig. 1. Oxford COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy Scale score after stratification for median age (A), median disease duration (B), median modified Rodnan skin score (mRSS) (C), diffuse cutaneous (dcSSc) or limited cutaneous (lcSSc) subsets (D), presence of interstitial lung disease (ILD) (E), use of immunosuppressive (IS) therapy (F). Data are expressed as mean and standard error of the mean.

ID-19 (7), while in the international survey "VAXICOV", Felten and colleagues described a proportion of 54% of rheumatology patients willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination (8).

Overall, in our survey clearly positive feelings towards COVID-19 vaccine are more frequent than previously reported. However, besides differences in the questionnaire structure, our survey was conducted after the approval in the European Union of the first three COVID-19 vaccines and it is conceivable that the authorisation positively affected perception about safety and effectiveness in the population.

In conclusion, our survey suggests that most patients with SSc have positive feelings towards COVID-19 vaccine, but uncertainties are present in a considerable proportion of cases. These results highlight the crucial role of the caring rheumatologists in addressing concerns and hesitancy of individuals affected by rheumatic diseases with the purpose of promoting vaccine literacy and enhancing vaccination rates.

J. CIAFFI¹, MD*

- D. GIUGGIOLI², MD, PhD*
- A. Mari¹
- M. D'ONGHIA¹
- A. SPINELLA², MD
- V. Brusi¹, MD
- L. MANCARELLA¹, MD
- L. LISI¹, MD
- J. FARANDA CORDELLA³, MD
- D. FREEMAN^{4,5}, MD, PhD
- M.P. LANDINI⁶, MD
- C. FERRI^{2,7}, MD
- R. Meliconi^{1,8}, MD
- F. URSINI^{1,8}, MD, PhD
- *These authors contributed equally.

¹Medicine & Rheumatology Unit, IRCCS Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, Bologna, Italy; ²Rheumatology Unit, School of Medicine, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy; ³Clinical Trial Center, Direzione Scientifica,

IRCCS Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, Bologna, Italy;

⁴Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁵Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK;

^aScientific Direction, IRCCS Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, Bologna, Italy; ⁷Rheumatology Clinic Madonna dello Scoglio Cotronei, Crotone, Italy; ⁸Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences (DIBINEM), University of Bologna, Italy.

Please address correspondence to:

Jacopo Ciaffi, Dipartimento di Medicina e Reumatologia, IRCCS Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, via Pupilli 1, 40136 Bologna, Italy. E-mail: jacopo ciaffi91@gmail.com

Competing interests: none declared.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2021.

References

- MACDONALD NE: Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine* 2015; 33: 4161-4.
- FLANAGAN KL, BEST E, CRAWFORD NW et al.: Progress and pitfalls in the quest for effective SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) vaccines. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 579250
- VERGER P, PERETTI-WATEL P: Understanding the determinants of acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines: a challenge in a fast-moving situation. *Lancet Public Health* 20: 6: e195-6.
- FREEMAN D, LOE BS, CHADWICK A et al.: COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK: the Oxford coronavirus explanations, attitudes, and narratives survey (Oceans) IL Psychol Med 2020: 1-15.
- MURPHY J, VALLIÈRES F, BENTALL RP et al.: Psychological characteristics associated with COV-ID-19 vaccine hesitancy and resistance in Ireland and the United Kingdom. Nat Commun 2021; 12: 29.
- 6. PRIORI R, PELLEGRINO G, COLAFRANCESCO S et al.: SARS-CoV-2 vaccine hesitancy among patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: a message for rheumatologists. Ann Rheum Dis 2021; 80: 953-4.
- BOEKEL L, HOOIJBERG F, VAN KEMPEN ZLE et al.: Perspective of patients with autoimmune diseases on COVID-19 vaccination. Lancet Rheumatol 2021; 3: e241-43.
- FELTEN R, DUBOIS M, UGARTE-GIL MF et al.: Vaccination against COVID-19: Expectations and concerns of patients with autoimmune and rheumatic diseases. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2021; 3: e243-45.
- CAMPOCHIARO C, TRIGNANI G, TOMELLERI A et al.: Potential acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine in rheumatological patients: a monocentric comparative survey. Ann Rheum Dis 2021 Jan 28 [Online ahead of print].