IL-1β, IL-10 and TNF-α polymorphisms may affect systemic lupus erythematosus risk and phenotype

E. Rzeszotarska¹, A. Sowinska², B. Stypinska¹, A. Lutkowska³,
A. Felis-Giemza⁴, M. Olesinska⁴, M. Puszczewicz⁵, D. Majewski⁵,
P.P. Jagodzinski³, E. Haładyj⁶, A. Paradowska-Gorycka¹

¹Department of Molecular Biology, National Institute of Geriatrics, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Warsaw, Poland; ²Department of Computer Science and Statistics, ³Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland; ⁴Department of Connective Tissue Diseases, National Institute of Geriatrics, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Warsaw, Poland; ⁵Department of Rheumatology and Internal Diseases, Poznan University of Medical Science, Poznan, Poland; ⁶Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA.

Abstract

Objective

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disease, and IL-1β, IL-10, and TNF-α genes are important in the pathogenesis of this disease. We studied the impact of IL-1β-511, IL-1β +3953, IL-10 -592, IL-10 -1082, TNF-α -308, TNF-α -238, and TNF-α +489 polymorphisms on SLE risk and phenotype in SLE patients and healthy controls.

Methods

We genotyped SLE patients and healthy controls by real-time PCR on QuantStudio 5 (Applied Biosystems) and measured levels of cytokines by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Results

We indicated that TNF- α -308, IL-10 -592, IL-10 -1082, IL-1 β -511 and IL-1 β +3953 polymorphisms affect SLE risk. Furthermore, we exposed that some of the TNF- α +489, TNF- α -238, IL-10 -1082 and IL-1 β +3953 genotypes are connected with the SLE phenotype. Moreover, we discovered the linking between specific genotypes and the serum concentrations of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-10.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study revealed that IL-1 β -511, IL-1 β +3953, IL-10 -592, IL-10 -1082, and TNF- α -308 polymorphisms may affect SLE risk and phenotype.

Key words

systemic lupus erythematosus, cytokines, pathogenesis, polymorphisms

Ewa Rzeszotarska, MSc Anna Sowinska, PhD Barbara Stypinska, MSc, PhD Anna Lutkowska, MD, PhD Anna Felis-Giemza, MD, PhD Marzena Olesinska, MD, PhD Mariusz Puszczewicz, MD, PhD Dominik Majewski, MD, PhD Pawel Piotr Jagodzinski, MD, PhD, Prof. Ewa Haładyj, MD, PhD Agnieszka Paradowska-Gorycka, MSc, PhD

Please address correspondence to: Agnieszka Paradowska-Gorycka, Department of Molecular Biology, National Institute of Geriatrics, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Spartańska 1,

02-637 Warszawa, Poland,

E-mail: paradowska_aga@interia.pl

Received on May 26, 2021; accepted in revised form on October 25, 2021.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2022.

Funding: this work was supported by acore grant to the National Institute of Geriatrics, Rheumatology, and Rehabilitation from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

Competing interests: none declared.

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disease that is problematic to diagnose and treat (1, 2). Activation of an immune system in SLE is described as a loss of immune tolerance against autoantigens as well as exaggerated responses of T cells and B cells (1). Cytokine and complement activation, circulation, and deposition of immune complexes in tissues as well as production and incorrect removal of antibodies contribute to clinical symptoms. Systemic lupus erythematosus, regardless of advances in treatment, is still linked with premature mortality (3, 4). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have revealed more than eighty SLE susceptibility loci (3).

Tumour necrosis factor α (TNF- α) is responsible for the co-stimulation of T cells and takes part in proliferation, differentiation, and activation as well as in the production of antibodies in B cells. TNF- α has a role in mediating organ damage and inflammation of tissues and contributing to immune dysfunction (5). TNF- α levels are higher in lupus than in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Blockade of TNF- α may cause drug-induced lupus and autoantibodies production (6). TNF-a SNPs -238 and -308 were connected with SLE (7). Moreover, the TNF- α +489A allele also has a genetic contribution to SLE (8). The activation and/or expansion of autoreactive lymphocytes are hindered by interleukin-10 (IL-10) (9). In autoimmune diseases, interleukin-10 is assumed as protective, because it impedes pathogenic inflammation and also indorses self-tolerance. However, in SLE, IL-10 has a pathogenic role and its neutralisation can be used in the disease treatment. IL-10 indorses survival of B cells, which are autoreactive and IL-10 works as differentiation and growth factor on B cells, and cytotoxic lymphocytes. Different immune cells produce IL-10 and they can have different functions, depending on the microenvironment or context. Specifically, CD4+ T cells probably make a significant contribution to the abnormal production of interleukin 10. The single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the IL-10 gene are connected with SLE risk (10, 11). IL-10 SNPs rs1800896 (-1082 T/C) and rs1800872 (-592 T/G) have been associated with SLE susceptibility (10, 12).

Interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) is a member of the interleukin 1 (IL-1) family. IL-1 β has a central role in rheumatic diseases with autoimmune compound (13). Overproduction of interleukin-1 β is associated with the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases, including SLE (5, 14). IL-1 β is a pleiotropic and proinflammatory cytokine and is mostly produced by macrophages, monocytes, natural killer (NK) cells, B cells, and dendritic cells. Interleukin 1 beta stimulates proliferation and differentiation of B cells, activates NK cells as well as costimulates T cells (15). IL-1 β -511C/T polymorphism is suggestively linked to SLE susceptibility (15, 16). Furthermore, in IL-1 β +3953, allele T was protective for systemic lupus erythematosus (13).

This study aimed to check the influence of seven polymorphisms within IL-1 β , IL-10, and TNF- α genes on susceptibility to SLE and the disease phenotype. Moreover, we studied the connection between particular genotypes within these genes and the concentration of cytokines.

Materials and methods

Study group

Two hundred and thirty SLE patients and three hundred sixty-one healthy controls were involved in this study. Patients with SLE were enrolled from the National Institute of Geriatrics, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation in Warsaw, Poland as well as from the Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland. All SLE patients met the classification criteria of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) for SLE (at least 4 criteria). Safety of Estrogens in Lupus National Assessment-Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SELENA-SLEDAI) was used as the scale, which measures disease activity of SLE patients. Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/ American College of Rheumatology (SLICC/ACR) Damage Index (SDI) was used to score irreversible damage of SLE patients. The healthy subject

group did not show any clinical or laboratory signs of autoimmune diseases. All groups provided written informed consent for this study.

SNP selection

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were selected after PubMed research in the public database (db-SNP database). We chose SNPs due to their probable clinical significance and connection with autoimmune diseases. Only SNPs with MAF (Minor Allele Frequency) above 5% (>0.05) were included in the study. We selected seven SNPs: IL-1 β (rs16944, rs1143634), IL-10 (rs1800872, rs1800896) and TNF- α (rs1800629, rs361525, rs1800610).

DNA isolation

We collected whole blood samples gained from healthy controls and SLE patients in the EDTA tubes. DNA was obtained from the peripheral blood using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

Genotyping

We performed SNPs genotyping assays on QuantStudio 5 (Applied Biosystems, Forester City, CA, USA). To genotype our samples we used Taqman Genotyping Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and TaqMan SNP genotyping assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) to genotype SNPs: rs16944-C_1839943_10 (IL-1ß), rs1143634-C_9546517_10 (IL-1β), rs1800872-C_1747363_10 (IL-10), rs1800896-C_1747360_10 (IL-10) and rs1800629- C 7514879 10 (TNF-α), rs361525- C_2215707_10 (TNF-α) rs1800610- C_11918224_10 and $(TNF-\alpha).$

Reactions were performed according to manufacturer protocol in 10 ul volumes: 5 ul of TaqMan Genotyping Master Mix (2x), 0.5 ul of TaqMan genotyping assay mix (20x), 4.5 ul of DNA. The amplification protocol was: pre-read stage at 60°C for 30 s, denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s as well as annealing and extension at 60°C for 1 min, then post-read stage at 65°C for 30 s. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay The concentrations of IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α in serum in SLE patients and healthy controls were quantified by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) according to the manufacturer's instructions (DRG International, Inc., Springfield, NJ, USA). The ELI-SA detection limits were 0.35 pg/ml for IL-1 β , 1.6 pg/ml for IL-10 and 0.7 pg/ ml for TNF- α .

Statistical analysis

The laboratory variables and clinical data were described as mean ± standard deviation. The analysis was performed under four genetic models (recessive, dominant, codominant, and overdominant) and the odds ratios (OR) were calculated. Connections between SNPs and clinical parameters were calculated using the Mann-Whitney and χ^2 tests. In addition to the χ^2 test, the χ^2 test with Yates' correction was used, when expected values were less than 10 and sample size greater than 40 or expected values greater than 5, but sample size lower than 40 Fisher's exact test was used for the smaller sample. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was measured using an online calculator created by Michael H. Court. Only outcomes with a *p*-value lower than $0.05 \ (p < 0.05)$ were considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients' characteristics

The clinical characteristics of SLE patients are presented in Table I. Patients with SLE were in the active stage of disease with a mean disease duration of 10 years. We observed that the most common autoantibody presented in SLE patients was anti-dsDNA (74%), while the less frequent autoantibody was anti-CEN (3%). Furthermore, 63% of our patients had symptoms like arthritis, 21% antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), and 16% Sjögren's syndrome

Minor allele frequency (MAF)

for SNPs of IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α We calculated the minor allele frequency for all SNPs for SLE patients and controls. Then, we compared the outcomes with MAF from data for the European population in the 1000Genomes database. The MAF of seven chosen SNPs: IL-1 β (rs16944, rs1143634), IL-10 (rs1800872, rs1800896) and TNF- α (rs1800629, rs361525, rs1800610) is presented in Table S1 in the Supplementary files.

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium of

IL-1β, *IL-10 and TNF-α genotypes* We calculated the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) for genotypes in SLE patients and healthy controls. The HWE for seven chosen SNPs: IL-1β-511, IL-1β +3953, IL-10 -592, IL-10 -1082, TNF-α -308, TNF-α -238, and TNF-α +489 for both SLE patients and controls are presented in Supplementary Table S2. Only IL-10 -1082 genotypes are not consistent with HWE (p=0.004) in SLE patients. Moreover, IL-1β-511 genotypes are not consistent with HWE (p=0.003) in healthy controls.

IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α poly-

morphisms and SLE susceptibility

First, we examined the frequency of genotypes in IL-1 β -511, IL-1 β +3953, IL-10 -592, IL-10 -1082, TNF- α -308, TNF- α -238, and TNF- α +489 in SLE patients and healthy controls. The distribution of genotypes and allele frequencies of IL-1 β , IL-10, and TNF- α among SLE patients and healthy controls (HCs) with their associations with the risk of SLE is presented in Table II.

In the case of IL-1 β -511, genotypes in three models were statistically significant in comparison patients with SLE with healthy subjects. The first is the CT genotype in the codominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (44.78%) than in control group (24.78%) with the odds ratio (OR)=2.669 (p=0.0002). The second is the CT+TT genotype in the dominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (55.65%) than in the control group (34.51%) with OR=2.381 (p=0.0003). The third is the CT genotype in the overdominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (44.78%) than in the control group (24.78%) with OR=2.462 (p=0.0004). Furthermore, allele T was significant (p=0.003).

In the case of IL-1 β +3953, genotypes in three models were statistically sig-

Table I. Clinical characteristics of SLE patients.

N*mean values \pm SD (range)Age (years)10044.59 \pm 14.17 (21–87)Disease duration (years)6310.08 \pm 10.08 (0–43)SELENA_SLEDAI636 \pm 5.82 (0–26)SDI631.17 \pm 1.26 (0–5)APTT4935.34 \pm 16.52 (21–127)ESR (mm/h)5942.80 \pm 2.86 (5–114)CRP (mg/L)5833.79 \pm 68.92 (1–395)Haemoglobin (g/dL)5911.97 \pm 2.17 (4.90–16)Pt4816.72 \pm 17.86 (8.60–100)INR481.23 \pm 0.79 (0.70–5.40)Urea5141.65 \pm 38.72 (16.70–296)PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³)59242.14 \pm 123.86 (38–598)Creatinine560.83 \pm 0.22 (0.50–1.50)C35576.29 \pm 29.07 (33–162)C45414.05 \pm 13.49 (3.09–102)ALT (U/L)6050 \pm 54.36 (1–317)AST (U/L)5951.25 \pm 108.82 (14–850)N*n** (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SC1707821 (27)anti-SC1707821 (27)anti-GEN582 (3)anti-IQG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)LAC5211 (21)	Characteristics	SLE patients		
Disease duration (years)63 10.08 ± 10.08 (0-43)SELENA_SLEDAI63 6 ± 5.82 (0-26)SDI63 1.17 ± 1.26 (0-5)APTT49 35.34 ± 16.52 (21-127)ESR (mm/h)59 42.80 ± 28.86 (5-114)CRP (mg/L)58 33.79 ± 68.92 (1-395)Haemoglobin (g/dL)59 11.97 ± 2.17 (4.90-16)Pt48 16.72 ± 17.86 (8.60-100)INR48 1.23 ± 0.79 (0.70-5.40)Urea51 41.65 ± 38.72 (16.70-296)PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³)59 242.14 ± 123.86 (38-598)Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 (0.50-1.50)C355 76.29 ± 29.07 (33-162)C454 14.05 ± 13.49 (3.09-102)ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 (1-317)AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 (14-850)N* n^{**} (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SSD582 (3)anti-SUM6820 (29)anti-SUM596 (10)anti-SUM596 (10)anti-SUM596 (10)anti-SUM633 (10)ADA596 (10)anti-SUM633 (5)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial crythema633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)		N*	mean values ±SD (range)	
SELENA_SLEDAI63 6 ± 32 (0-26)SDI63 1.17 ± 1.26 (0-5)APTT49 35.34 ± 16.52 (21-127)ESR (mm/h)59 42.80 ± 28.86 (5-114)CRP (mg/L)58 33.79 ± 68.92 (1-395)Haemoglobin (g/dL)59 11.97 ± 2.17 (4.90-16)Pt48 16.72 ± 17.86 (8.60-100)INR48 1.23 ± 0.79 (0.70-5.40)Urea51 41.65 ± 38.72 (16.70-296)PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³)59 242.14 ± 123.86 (38-598)Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 (0.50-1.50)C355 76.29 ± 29.07 (33-162)C454 14.05 ± 13.49 (3.09-102)ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 (1-317)AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 (14-850)N* n^{**} (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SSB582 (3)anti-SC1707821 (27)anti-GEN582 (3)anti-GEN582 (3)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	Age (years)	100	44.59±14.17 (21-87)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		63	10.08±10.08 (0-43)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SELENA_SLEDAI	63	6±5.82 (0-26)	
ESR (nm/h)59 42.80 ± 28.86 (5–114)CRP (mg/L)58 33.79 ± 68.92 (1–395)Haemoglobin (g/dL)59 11.97 ± 2.17 (4.90–16)Pt48 16.72 ± 17.86 (8.60–100)INR48 1.23 ± 0.79 (0.70–5.40)Urea51 41.65 ± 38.72 (16.70–296)PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³)59 242.14 ± 123.86 (38–598)Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 (0.50–1.50)C355 76.29 ± 29.07 (33–162)C454 14.05 ± 13.49 (3.09–102)ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 (1–317)AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 (14–850)N*n** (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-SID7821 (27)anti-SID7821 (27)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-IRb596 (10)anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome633 (5)Laug fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	SDI	63	1.17±1.26 (0-5)	
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm CRP} ({\rm mg/L}) & 58 & 33.79\pm 68.92 & (1-395) \\ {\rm Haemoglobin} (g/{\rm L}) & 59 & 11.97\pm 2.17 & (4.90-16) \\ {\rm Pt} & 48 & 16.72\pm 17.86 & (8.60-100) \\ {\rm INR} & 48 & 1.23\pm 0.79 & (0.70-5.40) \\ {\rm Urea} & 51 & 41.65\pm 38.72 & (16.70-296) \\ {\rm PLT} (x10^3/{\rm mm}^3) & 59 & 242.14\pm 123.86 & (38-598) \\ {\rm Creatinine} & 56 & 0.83\pm 0.22 & (0.50-1.50) \\ {\rm C3} & 55 & 76.29\pm 29.07 & (33-162) \\ {\rm C4} & 54 & 14.05\pm 13.49 & (3.09-102) \\ {\rm ALT} (U/{\rm L}) & 60 & 50\pm 54.36 & (1-317) \\ {\rm AST} (U/{\rm L}) & 59 & 51.25\pm 108.82 & (14-850) \\ \\ \hline & {\rm N}^* & {\rm n}^{**} (\%) \\ {\rm anti-dsDNA} & 93 & 69 & (74) \\ {\rm anti-SSB} & 58 & 5 & (9) \\ {\rm anti-SM} & 68 & 20 & (29) \\ {\rm anti-SID} & 57 & 4 & (7) \\ {\rm anti-SID} & 57 & 4 & (7) \\ {\rm anti-SID} & 57 & 4 & (7) \\ {\rm anti-CEN} & 58 & 2 & (3) \\ {\rm anti-U1RNP} & 73 & 30 & (41) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 59 & 6 & (10) \\ {\rm anti-IgM} & 35 & (56) \\ {\rm Arthritis} & 75 & 47 & (63) \\ {\rm Lug fibrosis} & 63 & 3 & (5) \\ {\rm Leucopenia} & 63 & 23 & (37) \\ \end{array} \right)$	APTT	49	35.34±16.52 (21-127)	
Haemoglobin (g/dL)59 11.97 ± 2.17 ($4.90-16$)Pt48 16.72 ± 17.86 ($8.60-100$)INR48 1.23 ± 0.79 ($0.70-5.40$)Urea51 41.65 ± 38.72 ($16.70-296$)PLT ($x10^3/mm^3$)59 242.14 ± 123.86 ($38-598$)Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 ($0.50-1.50$)C355 76.29 ± 29.07 ($33-162$)C454 114.05 ± 13.49 ($3.09-102$)ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 ($1-317$)AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 ($14-850$)N*n*** (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SSB574 (7)anti-SI707821 (27)anti-CEN7821 (27)anti-UIRNP7330 (41)anti-UIRNP7330 (41)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	ESR (mm/h)	59	42.80±28.86 (5-114)	
Pt48 16.72 ± 17.86 ($8.60-100$)INR48 1.23 ± 0.79 ($0.70-5.40$)Urea51 41.65 ± 38.72 ($16.70-296$)PLT ($x10^3$ /mm³)59 242.14 ± 123.86 ($38-598$)Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 ($0.50-1.50$)C355 76.29 ± 29.07 ($33-162$)C454 14.05 ± 13.49 ($3.09-102$)ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 ($1-317$)AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 ($14-850$)N* n^{**} (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SUM6820 (29)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-GEN582 (3)anti-UIRNP7330 (41)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis6323 (37)	CRP (mg/L)	58	33.79±68.92 (1-395)	
INR48 1.23 ± 0.79 $(0.70-5.40)$ Urea51 41.65 ± 38.72 $(16.70-296)$ PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³)59 242.14 ± 123.86 $(38-598)$ Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 $(0.50-1.50)$ C355 76.29 ± 29.07 $(33-162)$ C454 14.05 ± 13.49 $(3.09-102)$ ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 $(1-317)$ AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 $(14-850)$ N*n** (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SIM6820 (29)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-SITO7821 (27)anti-UIRNP7330 (41)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis6323 (37)	Haemoglobin (g/dL)	59	11.97±2.17 (4.90-16)	
Urea 51 41.65 ± 38.72 $(16.70-296)$ PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³) 59 242.14 ± 123.86 $(38-598)$ Creatinine 56 0.83 ± 0.22 $(0.50-1.50)$ C3 55 76.29 ± 29.07 $(33-162)$ C4 54 14.05 ± 13.49 $(3.09-102)$ ALT (U/L) 60 50 ± 54.36 $(1-317)$ AST (U/L) 59 51.25 ± 108.82 $(14-850)$ N* n^{**} $(\%)$ anti-dsDNA 93 69 (74) anti-SSA 59 25 (43) anti-SB 58 5 9 anti-SM 68 20 (29) anti-Rib 57 4 (7) anti-Scl70 78 21 (27) anti-UIRNP 73 30 (41) anti-IgG 60 17 (28) LAC 59 16 (27) Sjogren's syndrome 63 35 (56) Arthritis 75 47 (63) Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	Pt	48	16.72±17.86 (8.60-100)	
PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³)59 242.14 ± 123.86 (38–598)Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 ($0.50-1.50$)C355 76.29 ± 29.07 ($33-162$)C454 14.05 ± 13.49 ($3.09-102$)ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 ($1-317$)AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 ($14-850$)N*n** (%)anti-dsDNA93anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SB585925 (43)anti-SCH6820 (29)anti-SIM6820 (29)anti-CEN5821 (27)anti-U1RNP7330 (41)anti-IgG6011-IgG12G6012G12G6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	INR	48	1.23±0.79 (0.70-5.40)	
Creatinine56 0.83 ± 0.22 $(0.50-1.50)$ C355 76.29 ± 29.07 $(33-162)$ C454 14.05 ± 13.49 $(3.09-102)$ ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 $(1-317)$ AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 $(14-850)$ N* n^{**} anti-dsDNA9369anti-dsDNA9369anti-dsDNA9369anti-SSB585sati-SSB585anti-SB582anti-SCI707821anti-ScI707821anti-IgM596anti-IgG6017LAC5916Sigeren's syndrome6310Facial erythema6336335)Leucopenia6323C202337)	Urea	51	41.65±38.72 (16.70–296)	
C355 76.29 ± 29.07 $(33-162)$ C454 14.05 ± 13.49 $(3.09-102)$ ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 $(1-317)$ AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 $(14-850)$ N* n^{**} (%)anti-dsDNA9369anti-dsDNA9369anti-SSA5925 (43) anti-SSBsati-SSB585 (9) anti-SM (68) 20 (29) anti-Sl70anti-Sl707821 (27) anti-U1RNP (73) 30 (41) anti-IgM596 (10) anti-IgG60 (27) Sjogren's syndrome63 (3) 35 (56) Arthritis75 (47) (63) 3 (5) Luog fibrosis63 (3) 3 (5)	PLT (x10 ³ /mm ³)	59	242.14±123.86 (38-598)	
C454 14.05 ± 13.49 $(3.09-102)$ ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 $(1-317)$ AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 $(14-850)$ n**(%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74) anti-SSA5925 (43) anti-SB585 (9) anti-SB582 (29) anti-SIM6820 (29) anti-Rib574 (7) anti-CEN582 (3) anti-IgM596 (10) anti-IgG6017 (28) LAC5916 (27) Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16) Facial erythema6335 (56) Arthritis7547 (63) Lung fibrosis6323 (37)	Creatinine	56	0.83±0.22 (0.50-1.50)	
ALT (U/L)60 50 ± 54.36 (1-317) 59AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 (14-850)N* n^{**} (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74) anti-SSAanti-SSB585 (9) anti-SManti-SM6820 (29) anti-Ribanti-Rib574 (7) anti-Scl70anti-CEN582 (3) anti-UIRNPanti-IgM596 (10) anti-IgGLAC5916 (27) Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16) Facial erythema63335 (56) ArthritisLung fibrosis633 (5) LeucopeniaLeucopenia6323 (37)	C3	55	76.29±29.07 (33–162)	
ALT (U/L) 60 50 ± 54.36 (1-317)AST (U/L) 59 51.25 ± 108.82 (14-850)N* n^{**} (%)anti-dsDNA 93 69 (74)anti-SSA 59 25 (43)anti-SSB 58 5 (9)anti-SM 68 20 (29)anti-Rib 57 4 (7)anti-CEN 58 2 (3)anti-UIRNP 73 30 (41)anti-IgM 59 6 (10)anti-IgG 60 17 (28)LAC 59 16 (27)Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16)Facial erythema 63 35 (56)Arthritis 75 47 (63)Lung fibrosis 63 23 (37)	C4	54	14.05±13.49 (3.09–102)	
AST (U/L)59 51.25 ± 108.82 (14–850)N* n^{**} (%)anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SM6820 (29)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-Scl707821 (27)anti-UIRNP7330 (41)anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis6323 (37)	ALT (U/L)	60	50±54.36 (1-317)	
anti-dsDNA9369 (74)anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SM6820 (29)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-Scl707821 (27)anti-CEN582 (3)anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	AST (U/L)	59	51.25±108.82 (14-850)	
anti-SSA5925 (43)anti-SSB585 (9)anti-SM6820 (29)anti-Rib574 (7)anti-Scl707821 (27)anti-CEN582 (3)anti-U1RNP7330 (41)anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)		N*	n** (%)	
anti-SSB 58 $5(9)$ anti-SM 68 20 (29)anti-Rib 57 4 (7)anti-Scl70 78 21 (27)anti-CEN 58 2 (3)anti-U1RNP 73 30 (41)anti-IgM 59 6 (10)anti-IgG 60 17 (28)LAC 59 16 (27)Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16)Facial erythema 63 35 (56)Arthritis 75 47 (63)Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5)Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	anti-dsDNA		69 (74)	
anti-SM 68 20 (29)anti-Rib 57 4 (7)anti-Scl70 78 21 (27)anti-CEN 58 2 (3)anti-U1RNP 73 30 (41)anti-IgM 59 6 (10)anti-IgG 60 17 (28)LAC 59 16 (27)Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16)Facial erythema 63 35 (56)Arthritis 75 47 (63)Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5)Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	anti-SSA	59	25 (43)	
anti-Rib 57 4 (7) anti-Scl70 78 21 (27) anti-CEN 58 2 (3) anti-U1RNP 73 30 (41) anti-IgM 59 6 (10) anti-IgG 60 17 (28) LAC 59 16 (27) Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16) Facial erythema 63 35 (56) Arthritis 75 47 (63) Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	anti-SSB	58	5 (9)	
anti-Scl707821 (27)anti-CEN582 (3)anti-U1RNP7330 (41)anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	anti-SM	68	20 (29)	
anti-CEN 58 2 (3)anti-U1RNP73 30 (41)anti-IgM 59 6 (10)anti-IgG 60 17 (28)LAC 59 16 (27)Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16)Facial erythema 63 35 (56)Arthritis 75 47 (63)Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5)Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	anti-Rib	57	4 (7)	
anti-U1RNP7330 (41)anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	anti-Scl70	78	21 (27)	
anti-IgM596 (10)anti-IgG6017 (28)LAC5916 (27)Sjogren's syndrome6310 (16)Facial erythema6335 (56)Arthritis7547 (63)Lung fibrosis633 (5)Leucopenia6323 (37)	anti-CEN	58	2 (3)	
anti-IgG 60 17 (28) LAC 59 16 (27) Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16) Facial erythema 63 35 (56) Arthritis 75 47 (63) Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	anti-U1RNP	73	30 (41)	
LAC 59 16 (27) Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16) Facial erythema 63 35 (56) Arthritis 75 47 (63) Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	anti-IgM	59	6 (10)	
Sjogren's syndrome 63 10 (16) Facial erythema 63 35 (56) Arthritis 75 47 (63) Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	anti-IgG	60	17 (28)	
Facial erythema 63 35 (56) Arthritis 75 47 (63) Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	LAC	59	16 (27)	
Arthritis 75 47 (63) Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	Sjogren's syndrome	63	10 (16)	
Lung fibrosis 63 3 (5) Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	Facial erythema	63	35 (56)	
Leucopenia 63 23 (37)	Arthritis	75	47 (63)	
	Lung fibrosis	63	3 (5)	
	Leucopenia	63	23 (37)	
	APS	52	11 (21)	

N: number of patients with clinical information; n: number of patients with positive clinical manifestation; SELENA-SLEDAI :Safety of Estrogens in Lupus National Assessment - Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; SDI: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology (SLICC/ACR) Damage Index; PLT: platelets; APTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; PT: prothrombin time; INR - international normalised ratio; ESR: erythrocyte s:imentation ratio; CRP: C-reactive protein; C3 and C4: complement; LAC: lupus anticoagulant; ALT: glutamic pyruvic transferase; AST: glutamic oxoloacetic transaminase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; TG: triglyceride; LDL: low-density lipoprotein; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; APS :antiphospholipid syndrome.

nificant in comparison patients with SLE with healthy subjects. The first is the CT genotype in the codominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (41.03%) than in the control group (21.24%) with OR=2.636 (p=0.005). The second is the CT+TT genotype in the dominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (44.87%) than in the control group (24.78%) with OR=2.471 (p=0.006). The third is the CT genotype in the overdominant model, which occurs

more often in SLE patients (41.03%) than in the control group (21.24%) with OR=2.580 (p=0.005). Furthermore, allele T was more frequently observed in SLE patients than in healthy subjects (p=0.017).

In the case of IL-10 -592, three genotypes in three models were statistically significant in comparison patients with SLE with healthy subjects. The first is the CA genotype in the codominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (39.34%) than in the control group (28.25%) with OR=1.735 (p=0.004). The second is the CA+AA genotype in the dominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (45.97%) than in the control group (32.69%) with OR=1.752 (p=0.002). The third is the CA genotype in the overdominant model, which occurs more often in SLE patients (39.34%) than in the control group (28.25%) with OR=1.647 (p=0.005). Furthermore, allele A was more frequently observed in SLE patients than in healthy subjects (p=0.003).

In the case of IL-10 -1082, two genotypes in three models were statistically significant in comparison patients with SLE with healthy subjects. The first is the AG genotype in the codominant model, which occurs less often in SLE patients (33.03%) than in the control group (43.92%) with OR=0.542 (p=0.018). The second is the AG+GG genotype in the dominant model, which occurs less often in SLE patients (51.38%) than in the control group (64.99%) with OR=0.569 (p=0.016). Furthermore, allele G was more frequently observed in healthy subjects than in SLE patients (p=0.039).

In the case of TNF- α -308, four genotypes in three models were statistically significant in comparison patients with SLE with healthy subjects. The first genotype in the codominant model is GA, which occurs less often in SLE patients (20.73%) than in the control group (30.27%) with OR=0.574 (p=0.011). The second genotype in the codominant model is the AA, which occurs less often in SLE patients (2.07%) than in the control group (5.04%) with OR=0.295 (p=0.049). The third is the GA+AA genotype in the dominant model, which occurs less often in SLE patients (22.8%) than in the control group (35.31%) with OR=0.541 (p=0.003). The fourth is the GA genotype in the overdominant model, which occurs less often in SLE patients (20.73%) than in the control group (30.27%) with OR=0.602 (p=0.021). Furthermore, allele A was more frequently observed in controls than in SLE patients (p=0.002).

In the case of TNF- α -238 and TNF- α +489, results were not statistically significant.

Table II. Distribution of genotypes and allele frequencies of SNPs among SLE patients and healthy subjects.

IL-1β-511		SLE n (%)	Controls n (%)**	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
	genotype				
Codominant	CC	102 (44.35)	74 (65.49)	References	
Couominant	CT	102 (44.55) 103 (44.78)	28 (24.78)	2.669 (1.554–4.642)	0.0002
	TT	· · · · ·	. ,	1.649 (0.726 - 3.948)	0.273
D · · ·	CC	25 (10.87) 102 (44.35)	11 (9.73)		0.275
Dominant		· · · · ·	74 (65.49)	References	0.0002
D '	CT+TT	128 (55.65)	39 (34.51)	2.381 (1.457–3.914)	0.0003
Recessive	CC+CT	205 (89.13)	102 (90.27)	References	1 1 2 1
~	TT	25 (10.87)	11 (9.73)	1.3131 (0.512–2.651)	1.131
Overdominant	CC+TT	127 (55.22)	85 (75.22)	References	
	СТ	103 (44.78)	28 (24.78)	2.462 (1.458–4.222)	0.0004
	alleles				
	С	307 (66.74)	176 (77.88)	References	
	Т	153 (33.26)	50 (22.12)	1.754 (1.197–2.594)	0.003
IL-1β +3953		SLE n (%)	Controls n (%)**	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
	genotype				
Codominant	CC	43 (55.13)	85 (75.22)	References	
Cosonnant	CT	32 (41.03)	24 (21.24)	2.636 (1.317–5.285)	0.005
	TT	3 (3.85)	4 (3.54)	1.483 (0.207–9.167)	0.689*
Dominant	CC	43 (55.13)	85 (75.22)	References	0.009
	CT+TT	43 (33.13) 35 (44.87)	83 (75.22) 28 (24.78)	2.471 (1.272–4.803)	0.006
Decessive	CC+CT	· · · · ·	109 (96.46)		0.000
Recessive		75 (96.15)	· · · ·	References	0.754*
	TT CC TT	3 (3.85)	4 (3.54)	1.090 (0.155–6.642)	0.754*
Overdominant	CC+TT	46 (58.97)	89 (78.76)	References	0.005
	СТ	32 (41.03)	24 (21.24)	2.580 (1.299–5.138)	0.005
	alleles				
	C T	118 (75.64)	194 (85.84)	References	0.017
	1	38 (24.36)	32 (14.16)	1.952 (1.119–3.413)	0.017
IL-10 -592		SLE n (%)	Controls n (%)**	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
	genotype				
Codominant	CC	114 (54.03)	243 (67.31)	References	
	CA	83 (39.34)	102 (28.25)	1.735 (1.183-2.539)	0.004
	AA	14 (6.64)	16 (4.43)	1.865 (0.811-4.229)	0.153
Dominant	CC	114 (54.03)	243 (67.31)	References	
	CA+AA	97 (45.97)	118 (32.69)	1.752 (1.217-2.520)	0.002
Recessive	CC+CA	197 (93.36)	345 (95.57)	References	01002
Recessive	AA	14 (6.64)	16 (4.43)	1.532 (0.676–3.428)	0.343
Overdominant	CC+AA	128 (60.66)	259 (71.75)	References	0.545
Overdominant	CA	83 (39.34)	102 (28.25)	1.647 (1.130–2.394)	0.008
		65 (59.54)	102 (28.23)	1.047 (1.130–2.394)	0.008
	alleles				
	C A	311 (73.7) 111 (26.3)	588 (81.44) 134 (18.56)	References 1.566 (1.163–2.106)	0.003
IL-10 -1082	1 1	SLE n (%)	Controls n (%)**	OR (95% CI)	
	4				<i>p</i> -value
	genotype		110 (05 01)	D.C.	
Codominant	AA	53 (48.62)	118 (35.01)	References	
	AG	36 (33.03)	148 (43.92)	0.542 (0.322–0.906)	0.018
~ .	GG	20 (18.35)	71 (21.07)	0.627 (0.328–1.172)	0.158
Dominant	AA	53 (48.62)	118 (35.01)	References	
	AG+GG	56 (51.38)	219 (64.99)	0.569 (0.359–0.904)	0.016
Recessive	AA+AG	89 (81.65)	266 (78.93)	References	
	GG	20 (18.35)	71 (21.07)	0.842 (0.459–1.494)	0.642
Overdominant	AA+GG	73 (66.97)	189 (56.08)	References	
	AG	36 (33.03)	148 (43.92)	0.630 (0.388-1.012)	0.057
	alleles				
	А	142 (65.14)	384 (56.97)	References	

Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology 2022

TNF-α -308		SLE n (%)	Controls n (%)**	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
	genotype				
Codominant	GG	149 (77.2)	218 (64.69)	References	
	GA	40 (20.73)	102 (30.27)	0.574 (0.366-0.889)	0.011
	AA	4 (2.07)	17 (5.04)	0.295 (0.196-0.440)	0.049
Dominant	GG	149 (77.2)	218 (64.69)	References	
	GA+AA	44 (22.8)	119 (35.31)	0.541 (0.352-0.823)	0.003
Recessive	GG+GA	189 (97.93)	320 (94.96)	References	
	AA	4 (2.07)	17 (5.04)	0.398 (0.096-1.248)	0.107°
Overdominant	GG+AA	153 (79.27)	235 (69.73)	References	
	GA	40 (20.73)	102 (30.27)	0.602 (0.386-0.931)	0.021
	alleles				
	G	338 (87.56)	538 (79.82)	References	
	А	48 (12.44)	136 (20.18)	0.562 (0.385–0.811)	0.002
TNF-α -238		SLE n (%)	Controls n (%)**	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
	genotype				
Codominant	GG	188 (93.53)	323 (95.56)	References	
couommant	GA	12 (5.97)	15 (4.44)	1.374 (0.574–3.219)	0.544
	AA	12(0.5)	0 (0)		0.544
Dominant	GG	188 (93.53)	323 (95.56)	References	
Dominant	GA+AA	13 (6.47)	15 (4.44)	1.489 (0.637–3.434)	0.406
Recessive	GG+GA	200 (99.5)	338 (100)	References	0.400
Recessive	AA	1 (0.5)	0 (0)	References	
Overdominant	GG+AA	189 (94.03)	323 (95.56)	References	
Overdominant	GA	12 (5.97)	15 (4.44)	1.367 (0.571–3.202)	0.553
		12 (5.97)	15 (++.+)	1.507 (0.571-5.202)	0.555
	alleles				
	G	388 (96.52)	661 (97.78)	References	
	А	14 (3.48)	15 (2.22)	1.590 (0.703–3.574)	0.296
TNF-α +489		SLE n (%)	Controls n (%)**	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
	genotype				
Codominant	CC	132 (70.97)	226 (72.67)	References	
	CT	49 (26.34)	83 (26.69)	1.011 (0.652–1.557)	1.000
	TT	5 (2.69)	2 (0.64)	4.280 (0.686–45.36)	0.108*
Dominant	CC	132 (70.97)	226 (72.67)	References	
	CT+TT	54 (29.03)	85 (27.33)	1.088 (0.710-1.657)	0.757
Recessive	CC+CT	181 (97.31)	309 (99.36)	References	
	TT	5 (2.69)	2 (0.64)	4.268 (0.688–45.12)	0.109*
Overdominant	CC+TT	137 (73.66)	228 (73.31)	References	
	CT	49 (26.34)	83 (26.69)	0.983 (0.635–1.511)	1.000
	alleles				
	С	313 (84.14)	535 (86.01)	References	
	Т	59 (15.86)	87 (13.99)	1.159 (0.794–1.683)	0.473

SNPs and phenotype

Next, we examined the connection between laboratory parameters and the disease activity in relation to IL-1 β +3953 CC genotype *versus* IL-1 β +3953 CT+TT genotype, which is shown in Suppl. Table S3. The only significant result is that SLE patients with the CC genotype have significantly lower complement 3 (C3) levels than those patients with the CT+TT genotype (68.16 *vs*. 88.97; *p*=0.006) (Table III).

Then, we examined the connection between laboratory parameters and the disease activity in relation to IL-10 -592 CC genotype *versus* IL-10 -592 CA+AA genotype, which is shown in Suppl. Table S4. The results were not significant.

Afterwards, we observed the connection between laboratory parameters and the disease activity in relation to IL-10 -1082 AA genotype *versus* IL-10 -1082 AG+GG genotype, which is shown in Suppl. Table S5. The only significant result is that SLE patients with the AA genotype have significantly greater complement 4 (C4) levels than those patients with the AG+GG genotype (14.2 vs. 8.4; p=0.042) (Table III).

Next, we checked the connection between laboratory parameters and the disease activity in relation to TNF- α -308 GG genotype *versus* TNF- α -308 GA+AA genotype, which is shown in Suppl. Table S6. The results were not significant.

Next, we examined the connection between laboratory parameters and the disease activity in relation to TNF- α -238 GG genotype *versus* TNF- α -238 GA+AA genotype, which is shown in

Table III. The disease activity and laboratory parameters in relation to IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α polymorphisms, dominant models.

SNP	Mean ± SD	<i>p</i> -value
IL-1β +3953		
C3		
CC	68.16 ± 23.30	
CT+TT	88.97 ± 27.25	0.006
SNP	Median (range)	<i>p</i> -value
IL-10 -1082		
C4		
AA	14.2 (3.16–31)	
AG+GG	8.4 (5.58–12.8)	0.042
TNF-α -238		
SDI		
GG	1 (0–5)	
GA+AA	3.5 (3-4)	0.028
TNF-α +489		
Pt		
CC	11.4 (8.7–100)	
CT+TT	10.1 (8.6–12.8)	0.003
INR		
CC	1.03 (0.7–5.4)	
CT+TT	0.9 (0.8–1.2)	0.004

Suppl. Table S7. The only significant result is that SLE patients with the GG genotype have significantly lower the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology (SLICC/ACR) Damage Index (SDI) value than those patients with the GA+AA genotype (1 *vs.* 3.5; p=0.028) (Table III).

Then, we studied the connection between laboratory parameters and the disease activity in relation to TNF- α +489 CC genotype *versus* TNF- α +489 CT+TT genotype, which is shown in Suppl. Table S8. SLE patients with the CC genotype have significantly greater prothrombin time (Pt) value than those patients with the CT+TT genotype (11.4 *vs.* 10.1; *p*=0.003). Moreover, SLE patients with the CC genotype have also a significantly greater international normalised ratio (INR) value than those patients with the CT+TT genotype (1.03 *vs.* 0.9; *p*=0.004) (Table III).

Variations in average levels of cytokines in SLE and control group in relation to in IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α genotypes

Afterwards, we examined the variations in average levels of cytokines in patients with SLE and healthy subjects in relation to IL-1 β , IL-10, and TNF- α genotypes, which is shown in Table IV. The outcomes are presented as median (IQR: interquartile range).

We can see that CC, CT, TT genotypes in IL-1 β -511 in SLE were significantly higher than in HCs with the same IL-1 β -511 genotypes (p<0.00001; p=0.003 and p=0.0005, respectively). In the case of IL-1 β +3953, CC and CT genotypes in SLE were significantly higher than in healthy subjects with the same IL- 1β +3953 genotypes (p<0.00001 and p=0.001, respectively). In the case of IL-10 -592, only the CC genotype in SLE was significantly higher than in healthy controls with the same IL-10 -592 genotype (p < 0.00001). In the case of IL-10 -1082, only the AA genotype in SLE was significantly lower than in healthy subjects with the same IL-10 -1082 genotype (p=0.0009). In the case of TNF- α -308, GG, GA, AA genotypes in SLE were significantly higher than in HCs with the same TNF- α -308 genotypes (p<0.00001; p=0.026) and p=0.037, respectively). In the case of TNF- α -238, only GG genotype in SLE was significantly higher than in healthy controls with the same TNF- α -238 genotype (p < 0.00001). In the case of TNF- α +489, CC and CT genotypes in SLE were significantly higher than in controls with the same TNF- α +489 genotypes (*p*<0.00001 and *p*=0.0008, respectively).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the influence of polymorphisms within IL-1 β , IL-10, and TNF- α genes on SLE susceptibility and phenotype.

In the present study, we observed that CT in the codominant model, CT+TT in the dominant model and CT genotypes in the overdominant model as well as T allele in IL-1 β -511 polymorphism have revealed significant associations with SLE risk. The remark that allele T is a risk allele was similarly made by Parks et al., who established that carriers of the allele T in IL-1 β -511 have an increased risk of SLE. However, this observation was made in African Americans (16). Also, Wang et al. revealed in the meta-analysis the connection between IL-1 β -511 SNP and SLE risk, however, the association exists only for TT versus CT+CC genotypes in Asians (17). On contrary, Mohammadoo-Khorasani et al. did not find an association between IL-1 β -511 C/T polymorphism and SLE, but the carriage of allele T was associated with malar rash in SLE patients (18). Moreover, Song et al. in the meta-analysis did not find any link between this SNP and SLE risk (19). In IL-1 β +3953 polymorphism, the higher risk of SLE is carried by CT in the codominant model, CT+TT in the dominant model and CT genotypes in the overdominant model as well as T allele. Results from other scientists are contradictory. Camargo et al. revealed that allele T in IL-1 β +3953 polymorphism was protective for SLE. The explanation can be that under inflammatory conditions IL-1 β +3953T allele suppresses the production of IL-1 β (13). Nevertheless, in their meta-analysis, Song et al. did not find any connections between IL-1β -3953 C/T polymorphism and SLE (19), nor did Wang et al. (17) and Parks et al. (16). However, in some studies in other autoimmune disorders, like RA in Caucasians and Asians (20), RA in Turkish patients (21), multiple sclerosis (MS) in the Iranian population (22) and Hashimoto's thyroiditis in

IL-1β -511	SLE median (IQR)	Controls median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -value	
СС	770.559±129.795 806.575 (184.643)	552.226±214.679 573.457 (287.614)	<0.00001	
СТ	708.414±154.588 723.543 (252.787)	543.745±234.883 557.211 (300.803)	0.003	
TT	756.661±110.423 743.392 (190.24)	480.941±164.907 440.25 (304.65)	0.0005	
IL-1β +3953	SLE median (IQR)	Controls median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -value	
CC	757.755±126.656 790.143 (213.485)	533.896±223.917 556.833 (313.814)	<0.00001	
CT	732.005±154.759 721.408 (260.592)	558.453±198.505 560.402 (229.768)	0.001	
TT	701.917±32.149 701.917 (45.465)	630.244±97.175 658.056 (121.016)	0.389	
IL-10 -592	SLE median (IQR)	Controls median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -value	
CC	746.87±132.987 745.22 (198.657)	538.317±212.272 558.084 (302.797)	<0.00001	
CA	770.825±129.797 786.705 (164.658)	770.984±257.097 770.984 (363.59)	0.999	
AA	715.231±79.68 711.581 (53.381)	-	-	
IL-10 -1082	SLE median (IQR)	Controls median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -value	
AA	23±198.657 744.686 (722.436)	587.396±201.387 580.993 (207.713)	0.0009	
AG	-	508.524±226.662 550.477 (356.08)	-	
GG	1±0 462.878 (462.878)	456.138±205.127 468.51 (345.309)	-	
TNF-α -308	SLE median (IQR)	Controls median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -value	
GG	35.611 (33.571)	15.895 (15.494)	<0.00001	
GA	36.691 (101.378)	16.73 (10.786)	0.026	
AA	37.835 (64.344)	12.355 (4.786)	0.037	
TNF-α -238	SLE median (IQR)	Controls median (IQR)	p-value	
GG	35.923 (39.508)	15.895 (13.465)	<0.00001	
GA	23.012 (14.085)	-	-	
AA	-	-	-	
TNF-α +489	SLE median (IQR)	Controls median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -value	
СС	36.131 (44.268)	16.084 (12.386)	<0.00001	
CT	36.659 (40.675)	12.928 (16.557)	0.0008	
TT	28.954 (12.333)	-		

Table IV. Variations in average levels of cytokines in patients with SLE and healthy subjects in relation to IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α genotypes.

the Polish population (23), researchers showed the association between IL-1 β -3953 C/T polymorphism and the disease susceptibility.

We observed that CA genotype and A allele of the IL-10 -592 C/A polymorphism may be SLE risk factor. Nonetheless, Liu et al. showed in the metaanalysis that IL-10 -592 C/A polymorphism is associated with decreased SLE risk in the case of IL-10 -592A and under CC+CA vs. AA genetic model in Asians (24). Mohammadi et al. revealed that AA genotype and A allele in the IL-10 -592 C/A polymorphism are connected with lower SLE risk in the Iranian population (25). Also, Yuan et al. found that IL-10 -592 C/A polymorphism is linked with reduced SLE risk (26). On the other hand, Guarnizo-Zuccardi et al. did not show the connection

between this polymorphism and SLE susceptibility in Colombians (27). In IL-10 -1082 polymorphism, AG in the codominant model and AG+GG genotypes in the dominant model, as well as G allele, have a lower SLE risk, which suggests that they can be protective for SLE. Similar to us, Zhou et al. showed the association between allele G and SLE risk in the case of IL-10 1082 G/A polymorphism, however, in their study allele G was connected with the increased SLE risk (28). On the other hand, the increased IL-10 -1082G allele and GG genotype is connected with SLE susceptibility in the Iranian population (25). Nevertheless, Talaat et al. showed that AA and GG against GA genotypes in the IL-10 -1082 polymorphism are more common in SLE patients than in healthy controls in the Egyptian population (29). Also, Song *et al.* (30) and Yuan *et al.* (26) showed in the meta-analysis that in European populations IL-10 1082 G/A polymorphism is linked with a higher SLE risk. Liu et al. exposed in the meta-analysis that IL-10 -1082 G/A polymorphism among Caucasians and Asians is linked with SLE susceptibility (24). Similarly, Nath *et al.* showed that the G allele of the IL-10 -1082 G/A polymorphism was related to SLE in Asians (31).

Our results have also shown that TNF- α -308 GA genotype and A allele may be associated with a lower SLE risk, which suggests that they can be protective for SLE. However, Rood *et al.* (32) and Guarnizo-Zuccardi *et al.* in Colombian patients (27) showed that allele A in TNF- α -308 polymorphism is a risk factor for SLE. Corresponding-

ly, in Indian SLE patients TNF- α -308A was considered as a risk factor for disease susceptibility and was linked to enlarged serum levels of TNF- α (33). Lee et al. revealed that TNF- α -308 A/G polymorphism can confer susceptibility to SLE (34). However, Rudwaleit et al. (35), D'Alfonso et al. (36), Zúñiga et al. (37) and Santos et al. (38) did not notice that TNF- α -308 A/G polymorphism may confer susceptibility to SLE. Also, Lin et al. showed that the genotype and allele frequencies of TNF- α -308 A/G polymorphism did not differ significantly between SLE and controls. However, TNF-a -308A was meaningfully enlarged in patients with photosensitivity, serositis, oral ulcers, and discoid as well as a malar rash (8). Discrepancies in the observed links between IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α genes polymorphisms and SLE susceptibility may be caused by different origin, causing, i.e. exposure to various environmental factors. Other factors may be dissimilar clinical manifestations of the groups, different sample size as well as methodology. Furthermore, the inclusion and exclusion criteria for SLE patients and healthy controls are also relevant.

We noticed some associations between particular genotypes and clinical manifestations. We investigated that C3 level is significantly higher in SLE patients with IL-1 β +3953 CT+TT genotype. We also observed that patients with IL-10 -1082 AA genotype have significantly higher C4 levels. C3 and C4 levels are widely used as a disease activity marker. The activation of the complement system is the key factor in SLE pathogenesis. Autoantibodies, which form the immune complexes with the autoantigens activate the complement by the immune complexes. The products of such activation trigger tissue injury and disease (39). Furthermore, TNF-α -238 GA+AA genotype carriers have revealed significantly higher SDI value, and hence they can have a more advanced form of the disease. However, the increasing of SDI value can be also observed at the beginning of the SLE in the newly-diagnosed patients. Interestingly, Mahto et al. observed that TNF- α -238A allele and GA genotype

were meaningfully linked with lupus nephritis (LN), a severe and common manifestation of systemic lupus erythematosus (40). What is more, we noticed that patients with TNF- α +489 CC genotype have significantly greater Pt and INR values.

Additionally, we noted that some genotypes were linked with the higher serum levels of the cytokines. Moreover, GG, GA, and AA genotypes in TNF- α -308 were associated with a higher concentration of the cytokines in SLE patients. GG genotype in TNF-a -238 and CC and CT genotypes in TNF- α +489 were connected with enlarged concentration of the cytokines in SLE. In another study, plasma TNF- α -308 and TNF- α -238 levels were higher in SLE patients than in healthy controls (40). We investigated that CC, CT and TT genotypes in IL-1 β -511 and CC and CT genotypes in IL-1 β +3953 were related with the greater concentration of the cytokines in SLE patients than in healthy controls. We also revealed that the CC genotype in IL-10 -592 was connected with the enlarged concentration of the cytokines in SLE patients in comparison to healthy controls. Contrary to the above-mentioned results, the AA genotype in IL-10 -1082 was linked to the lower concentration of the cytokine in SLE patients than in controls. Our results contradict the outcomes of Zhou et al. study showing that serum IL-10 was higher in SLE patients with GA and AA genotypes However, this study was made in the Egyptian population, different from ours, Polish population (28). On the other hand, our results confirm the study by Mohammadi et al., who showed that plasma levels of IL-10 were higher in carriers of CC genotype in IL-10 -592 and lower in carriers of AA genotype in IL-10 -1082 (25).

Our study has some limitations. First of all, we studied a narrow number of polymorphisms. Second, two polymorphisms, one in SLE (rs1800896) and one in healthy subjects (rs16944) were not consistent with HWE. The possible explanation of this inconsistency is too small sample size in both groups or the selection of groups with inaccurate randomisation. Insufficient sample size can also cause a lack of observations of some relationships. Further studies should have a larger sample size and improved the project of the study. Nonetheless, our samples were derived from a mono-ethnic population. Furthermore, they were carefully chosen owing to clinical phenotype.

In conclusion, our study indicated that IL-1β-511, IL-1β +3953, IL-10 -592, IL-10 -1082, and TNF- α -308 polymorphisms affect SLE risk. However, two SNPs-SLE risk connections from our study contrast with outcomes from other researchers. It seems reasonable to perform further studies from different populations with larger sample sizes to draw more precise conclusions about the influence of those genotypes on SLE susceptibility. Moreover, we showed that some of the genotypes within IL-1β +3953, IL-10 -1082, TNF- α -238, TNF- α +489 SNPs are associated with the disease phenotype. Additionally, we revealed the connection between particular genotypes and the concentrations of IL-1 β , IL-10, and TNF- α .

Acknowledgments

The technical assistance of Wieslawa Frankowska is gratefully acknowledged. We are also grateful to all of the SLE patients and healthy controls whose cooperation made this study possible.

References

- KIRIAKIDOU M, CHING CL: Systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Intern Med 2020; 172: ITC81-96.
- PISETSKY DS, CLOWSE MEB, CRISCIONE-SCHREIBER LG, ROGERS JL: A novel system to categorize the symptoms of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Care Res* 2019; 71: 735-41.
- DURCAN L, O'DWYER T, PETRI M: Management strategies and future directions for systemic lupus erythematosus in adults. *Lancet* 2019; 393: 2332-43.
- SINGH RR, YEN EY, ANGELES L: SLE mortality remains disproportionately high, despite improvements over the last decade. *Lupus* 2019; 27: 1577-81.
- UMARE V, PRADHAN V, NADKAR M et al.: Effect of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF, and IL-1 β) on clinical manifestations in indian SLE patients. *Mediators Inflamm* 2014; 2014: 385297.
- ARRIENS C, WREN JD, MUNROE ME, MOHAN C: Systemic lupus erythematosus biomarkers: the challenging quest. *Rheumatology*

(Oxford) 2017; 56: i32-45.

- LÓPEZ P, GUTIÉRREZ C, SUÁREZ A: IL-10 and TNF α genotypes in SLE. J Biomed Biotechnol 2010; 2010: 838390.
- LIN YJ, CHEN RH, WAN L et al.: Association of TNF-α gene polymorphisms with systemic lupus erythematosus in Taiwanese patients. Lupus 2009;18:974–9.
- OKAMOTO A, FUJIO K, OKAMURA T, YAMA-MOTO K: Regulatory T-cell-associated cytokines in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2011; 2011: 463412.
- GEGINAT J, VASCO M, GEROSA M et al.: IL-10 producing regulatory and helper Tcells in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Semin Immunol* 2019,44:101330.
- GIBSON AW, EDBERG JC, WU J, WESTEN-DORP RGJ, HUIZINGA TWJ, KIMBERLY RP: Novel single nucleotide polymorphisms in the distal IL-10 promoter affect IL-10 production and enhance the risk of systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Immunol* 2001; 166: 3915-22.
- SAKURAI D, ZHAO J, DENG Y *et al.*: Preferential binding to Elk-1 by SLE-associated IL10 risk allele upregulates IL10 expression. *PLoS Genet* 2013; 9: e1003870.
- CAMARGO JF, CORREA PA, CASTIBLANCO J, ANAYA JM: Interleukin-1β polymorphisms in Colombian patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases. *Genes Immun* 2004; 5: 609-14.
- 14. WU Y, CAI B, ZHANG J et al.: IL-1 β and IL-6 are highly expressed in RF+IgE+ systemic lupus erythematous subtype. J Immunol Res 2017; 2017: 5096741.
- 15. UMARE V, PRADHAN V, RAJADHYAKSHA A, GHOSH K, NADKARNI A: Predisposition of IL-1 β (-511 C/T) polymorphism to renal and hematologic disorders in Indian SLE patients. *Gene* 2018; 641: 41-5.
- 16. PARKS CG, COOPER GS, DOOLEY MA et al.: Systemic lupus erythematosus and genetic variation in the interleukin 1 gene cluster: a population based study in the southeastern United States. Ann Rheum Dis 2004; 63: 91-4.
- WANG B, ZHU JM, FAN YG et al.: The association of IL1α and IL1β polymorphisms with susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus: A meta-analysis. *Gene* 2013; 527: 95-101.
- 18. MOHAMMADOO-KHORASANI M, SALIMI S, TABATABAI E, SANDOUGHI M, ZAKERI Z, FARAJIAN-MASHHADI F: Interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) & IL-4 gene polymorphisms in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) & their association with susceptibility to SLE. *Indian J Med Res* 2016; 143: 591-6.
- 19. SONG GG, KIM JH, SEO YH, CHOI SJ, JI JD,

LEE YH: Associations between interleukin 1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus: A meta-analysis. *Hum Immunol* 2014; 75: 105-12.

- LEE YH, BAE SC: Associations between interleukin-1 and IL-1 receptor antagonist polymorphisms and susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis: A meta-analysis. *Cell Mol Biol* 2015; 61: 105-11.
- 21. ARMAN A, YILMAZ B, COKER A, INANC N, DIRESKENELI H: Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RN) and interleukin-1B gene polymorphisms in Turkish patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2006; 24: 643-8.
- 22. KHOSRAVI A, JAVAN B, TABATABAIEFAR MA, EBADI H, FATHI D, SHAHBAZI M: Association of interleukin-1 gene cluster polymorphisms and haplotypes with multiple sclerosis in an Iranian population. J Neuroimmunol 2015; 288: 114-9.
- 23. LACKA K, PARADOWSKA-GORYCKA A, MA-CIEJEWSKI A, KRAMER L, HERMAN WA, LACKI JK: Interleukin 1 beta (IL1beta) gene polymorphisms (SNP-511 and SNP+3953) in Hashimoto's thyroiditis among the Polish population. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2014; 122: 544-7.
- 24. LIU P, SONG J, SU H et al.: IL-10 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013; 8: 1-11.
- 25. MOHAMMADI S, SAGHAEIAN JAZI M, ZARE EBRAHIMABAD M *et al.*: Interleukin 10 gene promoter polymorphisms (rs1800896, rs1800871 and rs1800872) and haplotypes are associated with the activity of systemic lupus erythematosus and IL10 levels in an Iranian population. *Int J Immunogenet* 2019; 46: 20-30.
- 26. YUAN Y, WANG X, REN L, KONG Y, BAI J, YAN Y: Associations between interleukin-10 gene polymorphisms and systemic lupus erythematosus risk: a meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2019; 37: 242-53.
- 27. GUARNIZO-ZUCCARDI P, LOPEZ Y, GIRALDO M *et al.*: Cytokine gene polymorphisms in Colombian patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Tissue Antigens* 2007; 70: 376-82.
- ZHOU M, DING L, PENG H et al.: Association of the interleukin-10 gene polymorphism (-1082A/G) with systemic lupus erythematosus: a meta-analysis. *Lupus* 2013; 22: 128-35.
- 29. TALAAT RM, ALREFAEY SA, BASSYOUNI IH, ASHOUR ME, RAOUF AA: Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin 6 and interleukin 10 in Egyptian patients with systemic lupus

eythematosus. Lupus 2016; 25: 255-64.

- SONG GG, CHOI SJ, JI JD, LEE YH: Associations between interleukin-10 polymorphisms and susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus: A meta-analysis. *Hum Immunol* 2013; 74: 364-70.
- NATH SK, HARLEY JB, LEE YH: Polymorphisms of complement receptor 1 and interleukin-10 genes and systemic lupus erythematosus: A meta-analysis. *Hum Genet* 2005; 118: 225-34.
- 32. ROOD MJ, VAN KRUGTEN MV, ZANELLI E et al.: TNF-308A and HLA-DR3 alleles contribute independently to susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2000; 43: 129-34.
- 33. UMARE VD, PRADHAN VD, RAJADHYAK-SHA AG, PATWARDHAN MM, GHOSH K, NA-DKARNI AH: Impact of TNF-α and LTα gene polymorphisms on genetic susceptibility in Indian SLE patients. *Hum Immunol* 2017; 78: 201-8.
- 34. LEE Y, HARLEY J, NATH S: Meta-analysis of TNF-α promoter –308 A/G polymorphism and SLE susceptibility. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2006; 14: 364-71.
- 35. RUDWALEIT M, TIKLY M, KHAMASHTA M et al.: Interethnic differences in the association of tumor necrosis factor promoter polymorphisms with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 1996; 23: 1725-8.
- 36. D'ALFONSO S, COLOMBO G, DELLA BELLA S, SCORZA R, MOMIGLIANO-RICHIARDI P: Association between polymorphisms in the TNF region and systemic lupus erythematosus in the Italian population. *Tissue Antigens* 1996; 47: 551-5.
- 37. ZÚÑIGA J, VARGAS-ALARCÓN G, HERNÁN-DEZ-PACHECO G, PORTAL-CELHAY C, YAMAMOTO-FURUSHO JK, GRANADOS J: Tumor necrosis factor-α promoter polymorphisms in Mexican patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). *Genes Immun* 2001; 2: 363-6.
- 38. SANTOS MJ, CARMONA-FERNANDES D, CAETANO-LOPES J *et al.*: TNF promoter -308 G>A and LTA 252 A>G polymorphisms in Portuguese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatol Int* 2012; 32: 2239-44.
- WALPORT MJ: Complement and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Res* 2002; 4: S279-93.
- 40. MAHTO H, TRIPATHY R, MEHER BR *et al.*: TNF- α promoter polymorphisms (G-238A and G-308A) are associated with susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and P. falciparum malaria: a study in malaria endemic area. *Sci Rep* 2019; 9: 1-11.