Letters to the Editors

Which JAKi is better for SAPHO syndrome?

Sirs,

SAPHO syndrome is a rare group of autoinflammatory disorders characterised by synovitis, acne, pustulosis, hyperostosis, and osteitis. Currently, TNF inhibitors (TNFis) and Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKis) are widely used for the management of SAPHO syndrome (1-5), TNFis have been shown to relieve bone pain rapidly; however, their efficacy in treating skin rashes remains unsatisfactory, and TNFis may even induce paradoxical dermatitis (6-7). JAKis exhibit superior efficacy in treating skin rashes (1-2), although the comparative effectiveness of different JAKis remains unclear. We report the case of a patient who achieved complete resolution of bone pain and skin rashes following sequential treatment with a TNFi. baricitinib, and subsequently upadacitinib.

A 60-year-old woman presented with pustular rashes on both hands, accompanied by anterior chest wall pain (Fig. A1). Laboratory testing revealed elevated C-reactive protein (94.49 mg/L) and an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (53 mm/h). HLA-B27 was negative. 99mTc-MDP20 bone scintigraphy revealed increased tracer uptake in the left clavicular sternal end (Fig. B). Therefore, based on imaging examinations and symptoms, she was diagnosed with SAPHO syndrome. The patient was initially treated with 40 mg of adalimumab q2w, which significantly relieved her pain but slightly exacerbated her rash, as indicated by the emergence of new lesions on her middle finger (Fig. A2). Consequently, treatment was switched to 2 mg of baricitinib qd for 3 months, which resulted in partial alleviation of the rash but not complete resolution (Fig. A3). Then, after 2 months of treatment with 15 mg qd upadacitinib, the rash completely disappeared (Fig. A4). In a follow-up period of 6 months during which upadacitinib therapy was continued, the rash did not recur.

SAPHO syndrome is a rare group of autoinflammatory disorders in which some patients may develop paradoxical rashes after treatment with biologics, which is commonly associated with the IFN- α (JAK1/TYK2) pathway. IFN-a can activate plasmacytoid dendritic cells to produce TGF-B, IL-6, IL-1, and IL-23, promoting the development of IL-17-producing Th17 cells, and thus leading to the occurrence of paradoxical rashes such as palmoplantar pustulosis (PPP) and psoriasis (6). JAKis inhibit the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and the differentiation of Th17 cells through the inhibition of JAK-STAT signalling (1, 8). Research conducted by McInnes et al. demonstrated that baricitinib suppresses IFN- α (JAK1/ TYK2) more effectively than tofacitinib (3, 9). However, after treatment with baricitinib, the patients' PPP failed to resolve com-



Fig. 1. A: 1. Prior to receiving treatment, the patient had pustules on her left hand. 2. After adalimumab treatment, the patient experienced a slight worsening of the pustules, as evidenced by new pustules appearing on part of the middle finger. 3. After baricitinib treatment, the patient's symptoms were partially relieved. 4. After treatment with upadacitinib, the patient's symptoms completely resolved.

B: Radiological image of a patient with SAPHO syndrome: 99mTc bone image showing increased radioconcentration in the left clavicular sternal end. (arrows).

pletely, which was related to the insufficient dose administered and the low selectivity of the JAKi. Considering that adverse reactions are more likely with first-generation JAKis (3-4, 10) and that the highly selectivity of upadacitinib would render it safer and more precise for therapeutic interventions, Taylor et al. performed a cell assay comparing the selectivity of baricitinib, tofacitinib, and upadacitinib, and the results demonstrated that upadacitinib is the most potent inhibitor of IFN- α signalling (3). Therefore, we ultimately used upadacitinib. After switching to upadacitinib, the patient's pustules on the palms completely disappeared. The therapeutic outcomes of baricitinib and upadacitinib demonstrated that the efficacy of upadacitinib in treating the rash caused by SAPHO was superior to that of baricitinib. This report presents a novel approach for the treatment of refractory SAPHO syndrome, but further clinical investigations are necessary to substantiate these findings.

Z. YE^{1,2}, *MM* R. MA^{1,2}, *MM* C. LI³, *MD*

Z. YING^{2,4,5}, MM

¹The Second School of Clinical Medicine, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Zhejiang, China; ²Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Center for General Practice Medicine, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital, Affiliated People's Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College, Zhejiang, China; ³Department of Rheumatology, Fangshan Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China; ⁴Institute of Rheumatology and Immunology, Hangzhou Medical College, Zhejiang, China; ⁵Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Traditional Chinese Medicine Cultivation for Arthritis Diagnosis and Treatment, Zhejiang, China. Please address correspondence to: Zhenhua Ying

Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Center for General Practice Medicine, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital, no. 158 Shangtang Road, Hangzhou City, 310000 Hangzhou (Zhejiang), China. E-mail: yingzh2021@163.com Chen Li Department of Rheumatology, Fangshan Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine,

Beijing University of Chinese Medi no. 70 Zaolin Street, Xicheng District, Beijing 100053, China. E-mail: casio1981@163.com

Letters to the Editors

Competing interests: none declared. © Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2024.

References

- LI SWS, ROBERTS E, HEDRICH C: Treatment and monitoring of SAPHO syndrome: a systematic review. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(4): e003688. https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2023-003688
- KOGAT, SATO T, UMEDA M et al.: Successful treatment of palmoplantar pustulosis with rheumatoid arthritis, with tofacitinib: Impact of this JAK inhibitor on T-cell differentiation. *Clin Immunol* 2016; 173: 147-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2016.10.003
- 3. TAYLOR PC, CHOY E, BARALIAKOS X *et al.*: Differential properties of Janus kinase inhibitors in the treatment of immune-mediated inflammatory dis-

eases. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2024; 63(2): 298-308. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead448

- 4. SHAWKY AM, ALMALKI FA, ABDALLA AN, ABDELAZEEM AH, GOUDA AM: A comprehensive overview of globally approved JAK Inhibitors. *Pharmaceutics* 2022; 14(5): 1001. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14051001
- 5. BENUCCI M, BERNARDINI P, COCCIA C et al.: JAK inhibitors and autoimmune rheumatic diseases. Autoimmun Rev 2023; 22(4): 103276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2023.103276
- CUCHACOVICH R, ESPINOZA CG, VIRK Z, ESPINOZA LR: Biologic therapy (TNF-alpha antagonists)-induced psoriasis: a cytokine imbalance between TNF-alpha and IFN-alpha? J Clin Rheumatol 2008; 14(6): 353-56.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/rhu.0b013e318190dd88 7. MORI M, TOBITA R, EGUSA C *et al*.: Clinical back-

ground of patients with psoriasiform skin lesions due to tumor necrosis factor antagonist administration at a single center. *J Dermatol* 2021; 48(11): 1745-53. https://doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.16103

- DAMSKY W, KING BA: JAK inhibitors in dermatology: The promise of a new drug class. J Am Acad Dermatol 2017; 76(4): 736-44.
- McINNES IB, BYERS NL, HIGGS RE et al.: Comparison of baricitinib, updacitinib, and tofacitinib mediated regulation of cytokine signaling in human leukocyte subpopulations. Arthritis Res Ther 2019; 21(1): 183.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-019-1964-1

 ZHANG J, QI F, DONG J, TAN Y, GAO L, LIU F: Application of baricitinib in dermatology. J Inflamm Res 2022; 15: 1935-41.

https://doi.org/10.2147/jir.s356316