# Diagnosis of giant cell arteritis by <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT in patients on glucocorticoid therapy: importance of delayed imaging

V. Aldasoro<sup>1</sup>, V. Betech-Antar<sup>2</sup>, S. Castañeda<sup>3</sup>, E. de Miguel<sup>4</sup>, J.J. Rosales<sup>2</sup>, M.J. García-Velloso<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario de Navarra, Pamplona; <sup>2</sup>Nuclear Medicine, Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona; <sup>3</sup>Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, IIS-Princesa, Madrid; <sup>4</sup>Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario La Paz, IDiPAZ, Madrid, Spain.

# Abstract Objective

The aim of this study is to analyse the diagnostic value of positron emission tomography (PET) in patients with giant cell arteritis (GCA) despite glucocorticoid (GC) therapy before PET acquisition.

# Methods

Consecutive patients with strongly suspected GCA according to 2022 EULAR/ACR criteria were included. The physician diagnosis of GCA after 6 months of follow-up was the gold standard. PET was performed at baseline and 6 months later. In patients with negative results at 60 min, delayed imaging was performed at 180 min.

# Results

Twenty-six patients were included with a median (IQR) age of 70.5 (57-88) years. Baseline PET was positive in all but one: 18 patients at 60 min and 7 patients after delayed imaging at 180 min. The median (IQR) GC dose at the time of baseline PET was 45 mg/d (26.2-45) of prednisone equivalent with a median exposure of 14 days (7-76.2). At 6 months of follow-up, PET was performed in 22 patients, with positive results in 16. Delayed imaging was performed in 6 patients due to negative PET at 60 min, with positive results in all cases, despite treatment with GC and/or biological therapy.

# Conclusion

In patients on GC therapy, delayed imaging protocols applying procedural recommendations for vascular quantification could improve diagnostic accuracy. Therefore, we suggest performing imaging only at 180 min in patients who have been on GCs for more than 3 days as well as in those with highly suspected GCA but negative findings in baseline PET at 60 min.

# Key words

large-vessel vasculitis, giant cell arteritis, diagnosis, FDG-PET/CT, glucocorticoids, bDMARD

### Diagnosis of GCA by <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT in patients on GC therapy / V. Aldasoro et al.

Vicente Aldasoro, MD Victoria Betech-Antar, MD Santos Castañeda, MD, PhD Eugenio de Miguel, MD, PhD Juan José Rosales, MD María José García-Velloso, MD, PhD Please address correspondence to: Vicente Aldasoro Cáceres Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario de Navarra, Irunlarrea 3, 31008 Pamplona, Spain. E-mail: vicentealdasoro@hotmail.com Received on March 4, 2024; accepted in revised form on April 29, 2024.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2025.

Funding: V. Aldasoro was awarded a grant from the Spanish Rheumatology Society for performing the PET scans at the CUN.

Competing interests: none declared.

#### Introduction

Giant cell arteritis (GCA) is the most common type of vasculitis in Caucasian (European and North American) patients over 50 years of age and is characterised by granulomatous inflammation in the walls of medium and large arteries (1-3). Until a few years ago, GCA was studied as a vasculitis mostly affecting cranial arteries. Recently, however, with the development of new imaging techniques (4), it has been found that in a subgroup of patients with GCA, the vasculitis involves cranial and extracranial vessels at the same time, or even only extracranial vessels, the aorta and its main branches (5).

Regarding the use of imaging in GCA patients, the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommends ultrasound (US) as the first-line imaging modality (6). Nonetheless, for extracranial arteritis, especially in cases of aortic involvement, other imaging techniques may be useful (6). In line with this, in 2022, the EULAR/American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for GCA for the first time included imaging techniques for classifying these patients, with particular emphasis on both ultrasound and positron emission tomography (PET) (7).

One of the technical limitations of PET is related to the history of glucocorticoid (GC) use (both duration and dose) before performing the imaging study. Slart *et al.* suggest withdrawal or delay of GC therapy until after performing the PET scan, but fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET within 3 days after starting of GC as a possible alternative (8). Similarly, Nielsen *et al.* indicate that there is a window of opportunity within the first 3 days of treatment, observing a reduction in sensitivity after 10 days (9).

Seeking to enhance the performance of PET, delayed imaging could be useful. Although the procedure has yet to be properly standardised and research is ongoing (there being no clinical criteria for the systematic indication of this type of imaging), in patients with a high likelihood of the diagnosis and those who have been treated with GC for >3 days, delayed acquisition -at 180 min after tracer injection for FDG PET/ CT- may identify sites of active disease in patients with arteritis (10). The clinical utility of semiquantitative parameters such as standard uptake values (SUVs) or target-to-background ratios (TBRs) for the initial diagnosis of GCA is as yet unknown, and their use is not recommended. Nevertheless, they may be of interest not only in diagnosis but also for follow-up (7).

The purpose of the present study is to provide evidence on the potential contribution of delayed PET imaging in patients with strong suspicion of GCA and negative 60-minutes standard PET scan, due to limited acquisition accuracy in relation to previous GC therapy, either due to the duration of therapy or the dose used.

# Materials and methods

Patients

Inclusion criteria for this prospective, observational, longitudinal study were the presence of features of GCA according to 2022 EULAR/ACR criteria (7) in patients referred to the Rheumatology Department at Hospital Universitario de Navarra (HUN) between 2020 and 2023. Patients were excluded if on biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (bDMARD) or targeted synthetic disease modifying antirheumatic drug (tsDMARD) therapy at the baseline visit. We collected data on demographic, clinical and therapeutic characteristics, as well as comorbidities documented in patient electronic health records.

The maintenance of the GCA diagnosis after at least 6 months of follow-up was considered the gold standard. Remission was defined according to treatto-target recommendations for GCA and polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) (11). The study was approved by the Navarra University Hospital's Ethics Committee (CEIm -Nr. PI\_2018/62) and patients provided written informed consent for inclusion. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Measures for assessing disease activity Together with clinical manifestations characteristic of GCA or PMR, acute phase reactants, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR, mm/h) and plasma C- reactive protein (CRP, mg/l), were used to assess and monitor disease activity. ESR values of <20 and <25 mm/h in men and women, respectively, and CRP of <5 mg/l were considered normal. Further, all patients underwent an FDG PET/CT scan in the PET Unit at Clinica Universidad de Navarra (CUN) at baseline to evaluate GCA disease activity and again at 6 months to assess treatment response.

# FDG PET/CT imaging protocol

FDG was synthesised in-house with an 18 MeV cyclotron (Cyclone 18/9, IBA Radio pharma Solutions, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium). PET/CT was performed on a PET/CT scanner (Siemens Biograph mCT 64, Siemens, Knoxville, TX, USA). Patients fasted for at least 6 hours before FDG intravenous administration (3 to 5 MBq/kg). Blood glucose levels were required to be below 126 mg/dL (12); otherwise, patients were treated with insulin to bring the levels below this threshold before imaging. No adverse effects associated with radiotracer injection were observed (12). PET/CT images were acquired after 60 min (early) and 180 min (delayed), using non-contrast-enhanced CT performed with a 120 kV CARE Dose4D system and an image quality reference of 80 mAs, from head to knee. Consecutively, PET emission data were acquired in 3D mode with an emission time of 2-3 min per bed position. Reconstruction was optimised for quantification in compliance with EARL (time-of-flight ordered-subsets expectation maximisation method, with 3 iterations, 21 subsets, and a 5-mm Gaussian filter).

#### FDG PET/CT imaging assessment

After anonymisation, FDG PET/CT images were visually and semi-quantitatively analysed by two blinded PET nuclear medicine physicians, one junior (MVB) and the other with more than 20 years of experience (MJGV), on a dedicated workstation with Syngo. via (Siemens) PET software following the joint procedural recommendations proposed by Slart *et al.* (8). Disagreements were resolved by consensus between the two readers.

For the qualitative assessment, a 4-point

visual grading system was applied, with standardised interpretation criteria, grades 2 and 3 being defined as positive for active GCA (8, 13). A total vascular score (TVS) was calculated in 7 arterial territories (thoracic aorta, abdominal aorta, subclavian, axillary, carotid, iliac, and femoral), and reported as grade 0 (no uptake), 1 (less than liver), 2 (same as liver), or 3 (greater than liver). PET was considered positive for active GCA when the grade was  $\geq 2$  in one of the arterial regions (Fig. 1). TVS ranged from 0 (no vascular FDG uptake in any of the 7 vascular regions) to 21 (grade 3 vascular FDG uptake in all regions).

For the semi-quantitative analysis, volumes of interest (VOI) were drawn in the arterial wall and lumen blood pool in the thoracic aorta. The maximum standardised uptake values (SUVmax) of the arterial wall and lumen, and the target to background ratio (TBR)= SUVmax arterial wall/SUVmax aortic vascular pool were measured from early and delayed images, defining a cut-off for positivity of  $\geq 1.34$  (14, 15).

## Statistical analysis

The demographic and clinical characteristics of participants, as well as the variables associated with delayed imaging, were described using measures of central tendency and dispersion, namely, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables, and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Statistical analysis was performed using R v. 4.1.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

#### Results

## Patient characteristics

A total of 26 consecutive patients with a predominantly cranial phenotype of GCA were included (Table I). Their baseline clinical characteristics and laboratory results over the course of the study are summarised in Tables I and II respectively. Six months after diagnosis, all patients except one were in remission.

# PET results

All 26 patients underwent a baseline PET scan with a mean glucose level at



**Fig. 1.** Qualitative assessment of FDG uptake at 60 min (total vascular score, TVS). Grade 0: no uptake; Grade 1: less than liver; Grade 2: same as liver; Grade 3: greater than liver. Negative: grade 0 or 1. Positive: grade 2 or 3.

the time of this scan of 101 mg/dl (SD 21). The median (IQR) time between diagnosis and PET was 4.5 days (0-20.8) and a median (IQR) of 270 days (182-327) elapsed between the baseline and follow-up PET scans. Regarding GC, the median dose at the time of the baseline PET was 45 mg/d (26.2-45) of prednisone equivalent with a median exposure of 14 days (7-76.2); indeed, 4 patients had been given pulses of 6-methylprednisolone before the first PET: two having received 3 pulses of 125 mg/d, one 3 pulses of 500 mg/d and one 3 pulses of 1000 mg/d.

At baseline, PET findings were positive in 25 patients: 18/26 patients in the 60-min PET images and 7/8 in the 180min PET images (Table III). We implemented delayed imaging at 180 min in 8 patients at this stage due to negative findings in the 60-min PET images, with positive result in 7 patients (Table IV). Headache in 4 patients, PMR in 2 and unknown origin fever in the remaining patient were the most important related symptom reported in this group of patients with delayed imaging. Two of these patients had received GC pulses (at a dose of 125 mg in one case and 500 mg in the other), and despite this, the delayed imaging yielded positive findings (Fig. 2).

Table I. Characteristics of the study population.

Age at diagnosis, years, mean (IQR)	70.5 (57-88)
Sex, woman, n (%)	18 (69.2)
Comorbidities, n (%)	21 (80.7)
Hypertension	12 (46.1)
Diabetes	2 (7.7)
Dyslipidaemia	9 (34.6)
Hypothyroidism	5 (18.2)
Hyperuricaemia	7 (7.7)
Smoker/ex-smoker	9 (34.6)
Days between symptom onset and giant cell arteritis diagnosis, mean (IQR)	148.0 (30.2-487.5)
Signs and symptoms, n (%)	
Headache	17 (65.4)
Polymyalgia rheumatica	16 (61.5)
Jaw claudication	9 (34.6)
General malaise	5 (19.2)
Scalp tenderness	4 (15.4)
Neck pain	4 (15.4)
Visual impairment	10 (38.5)
	1 (3.8)
Cerebrovascular accident	

Table II. Laboratory results of the study population.

	Month 0	Month 1	Month 3	Month 6
ESR				
n	22	18	18	18
value, mm/1 h	63.5 (6-120)	10.5 (2-29)	3 (2-18)	2 (2-31)
CRP				
n	26	22	25	20
value, mg/L	45.5 (2.5-296)	2.6 (0.2-59.9)	1.5 (0.1-16.9)	0.7 (0.1-11.9)
Prednisone equivalent				
n	26	26	25	24
dose, mg/day	45 (0-60)	35 (0-50)	10 (0-30)	5 (0-15)

ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein.

**Table III**. Baseline positron emission tomography results and glucocorticoid (GC) dose stratified by duration of GC therapy.

Days on GCs treatment	0-3 (0)	4-10 (3)	>10 (5)
n	3	9	14
GC dose (mg/d), median (IQR)	15 (0-45)	45 (30-60)	35 (10-45)
PET 60-min TVS, median (IQR)	9 (3-19)	7 (2-18)	8 (0-19)
PET 60-min TBR, mean	$1.73 \pm 0.73$	$1.45 \pm 0.30$	$1.43 \pm 0.39$
PET 180-min TBR, mean ± SD		$1.78 \pm 0.63$	$1.82 \pm 0.38$

PET: positron emission tomography; GC: glucocorticoid; TVS: total vascular score; TBR: target-to-background ratio; IQR (25-75): interquartile range.

Days on GCs treatment (patients on each group with 180-min delayed imaging).

After 6 months of follow-up, PET was performed on 22 patients: 16 patients in the 60-min PET images and 6 in the 180min PET images. We completed delayed imaging in six patients, as they had had negative findings in PET imaging at 60 min; and findings at 180 min were positive in all cases, although metabolic activity had decreased in all of them. Notably, 14 patients were being treated with tocilizumab (TCZ) and 2 with 15 mg/week of methotrexate subcutaneously. The median time between diagnosis of GCA and initiation of TCZ treatment was 81.5 days (40.5–183.2). Ten patients were given TCZ intravenously and the other four subcutaneously.

Regarding the vascular regions, the most affected pattern was thoracic aorta, abdominal aorta, subclavian and femoral, present in all but 5 patients. There was one patient with isolated cranial vasculitis with temporal and vertebral arteries involved, one patient with only axillary and subclavian arteries involved and 3 patients with only thoracic and abdominal aorta affected. We did not find clinical, analytical or vascular territory affected differences between patients with positive delayed imaging comparing with those with positive 60-min PET images.

Four patients died before the 6-month follow-up PET: two due to pneumonia, one due to abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture, and another for unknown reasons.

## Discussion

GCA can manifest in three different ways, namely, with cranial, extracranial or mixed manifestations (16). FDG-PET-CT may be an important diagnostic tool in patients with suspected largevessel vasculitis particularly in patients with GCA without cranial ischaemic manifestations of the disease. However, a study showed no significant difference in large-vessel involvement by PET-CT between GCA patients who were considered to have a predominantly extracranial phenotype compared with those who also had cranial ischaemic manifestations of GCA (17). Without delayed PET imaging, we would not have detected extracranial involvement in around 30% of patients. Notably, in delayed imaging, all but one of these patients showed extracranial involvement, this underlining the high prevalence of extracranial manifestations in this condition, which are usually underdiagnosed, and the high sensitivity of delayed PET imaging.

Early diagnosis and/or suspicion of GCA is essential to avoid complications or irreversible sequelae, such as loss of vision and blindness. In addition, it is also a key factor to achieve good performance in diagnostic tests. In line with this, and in accordance with EU-

**Table IV.** Delayed <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography imaging results.

Characteristics	n=8		
Sex, female (%)	7 (87.5)		
Age in years, median (IQR)	70.5 (66-81.8)		
Temporal artery biopsy positive, n (%)	4 (50)		
Magnetic resonance angiography positive, n (%)	5 (60)		
Computed tomography angiography positive, n (%)	5 (60)		
Ultrasound positive, n (%)	6 (75)		
Delayed-PET positive, n (%)	7 (87.5)		
Glucocorticoid dose (mg/d), median (IQR)	45 (40-45)		
Days of delay, median (IQR)	19.5 (13-22)		
60-min TBR, mean (SD)	$1.17 \pm 0.09$		
180-min TBR, mean (SD)	$1.81 \pm 0.40$		

IQR: interquartile range; PET: positron emission tomography; SD: standard deviation; TBR: target-to-background ratio.



Fig. 2. Uptake in early (upper) and delayed (lower) images (target-to-background ratio [TBR]: blood pool/aortic wall) in a patient who had received glucocorticoid pulses.

Early (60-min) and delayed (180-min) imaging target-to-background ratio (TBR): blood SUVmax/wall SUVmax; TBR positive  $\geq 1.34$ .

LAR and ACR recommendations, treatment should be started immediately if there is a strong suspicion of GCA (6, 7). However, the rapid introduction of the treatment may alter the results of diagnostic tests, so our aim was to analyse this possibility and explore ways to improve the procedure. It is known that temporal artery biopsy (TAB) can give positive results after 2–6 weeks of GC therapy (18, 19), but it is advisable to perform it within the first 7 days after treatment initiation to maximise its sensitivity (20). According to Papadakos *et al.*, having been on GC therapy for >7 days was inde-

pendently linked to lower rates of positive TAB (67% lower probability of a positive TAB) (20). Other studies have demonstrated that histological evidence of arteritis persists despite 2 weeks of GC therapy (21, 22), although its sensitivity decreases from 78% to 40% over this time (19). Similarly, in the case of PET, there seems to be a window of opportunity to optimise its performance and utility that varies between 0 and 10 days (8, 9). GCs can rapidly induce clinical remission, but often there is a lack of consistency between clinical characteristics and histopathological findings, which may remain positive up to 1 year after starting therapy (23). Various imaging techniques such as ultrasound are affected by GC therapy and PET is no an exception (24-26), although it is not clear whether this translates to higher rates of relapse or treatment failure (26). In line with Narvaez et al. (27), our study has demonstrated that PET can be useful after more than 2 weeks of GC therapy and even a relatively high GC mean dose. In relation to this, Prieto Peña et al. demonstrated that whole-body PET/ CT scans obtained 180 minutes postintravenous injection of 7 MBq/kg of 18F-FDG proved valuable in detecting silent (subclinical) large-vessel vasculitis among PMR patients exhibiting atypical symptoms like pronounced inflammatory low back pain, marked girdle involvement, or diffuse pain in the lower limbs. Even with glucocorticoid treatment, adherence to this protocol facilitated the successful identification of large-vessel vasculitis in a significant number of these patients (28). Standardised delayed imaging in patients with strongly suspected GCA but in whom PET results are negative (grade 1), especially after prolonged treatment with high doses of GCs (27, 28), may improve the utility of this technique. In our study, delayed imaging was positive in all but one of the patients after more than 2 weeks of treatment with high GC doses, using a TBR cut-off ≥1.34 (comparing to blood pool activity) (14, 15), yielding a sensitivity of 96.1%.

Notably, the GC dose seems to be less relevant than the time on this type of therapy. In our study, delayed PET im-

### Diagnosis of GCA by <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT in patients on GC therapy / V. Aldasoro et al.

aging was performed more in the group with a longer history of GC therapy (> 10 days) despite the high doses used in both groups (Table III). This finding could be explained by the fact that the long-treatment group contained more patients with PMR atypical symptoms (28) who had received long-term GC therapy.

Regarding the different vascular regions affected we did not find clinical, analytical or vascular territory affected differences between patients with positive 180-min delayed imaging comparing with those with positive 60-min PET images. It is difficult to point out if there is any difference between the 7 arterial territories explored, probably due to GC therapy received and the small sample size. Most of patients showed thoracic aorta, abdominal aorta, subclavian and femoral arteries affected.

One of the potential limitations of this study is related to the TBR cut-off used for the images taken at both 60 and 180 min (TBR ≥1.34 normalised to blood pool activity). Martínez-Rodríguez et al. (14, 15) classified control patients with TBR <1.25±0.16 as negative and those with values  $\geq 1.34$  as positive. When we applied the cut-off established by these authors as positive for vasculitis (TBR  $\geq 1.34$ ) (15) to our series, only one patient was not classified as having GCA based on their baseline PET (TBR=1.28, unpublished data). Further, we should interpret our results with caution given the relatively small sample size in this series, and the lack of a control group.

In the study by Martínez-Rodríguez et al. two regions of interest (ROIs) were manually drawn on transaxial CT views, one ROI delineating the aortic wall and the other limited to the lumen blood pool, both at the level of the tracheal bifurcation (14, 15). However, in our study we analysed one region of interest concerning to the lumen pool in the thoracic aorta and 7 arterial wall regions of interest. On the other hand, we performed VOI instead of ROI, which is a more robust and semiautomatically measurement defined by the Syngo.via software that gave us a better confidence in the quantification of the arterial wall activity. Thus, in our study, we have a better approach of the quantification, extension and localisation of vasculitis.

Another strength of the study is its prospective observational nature, a design that is associated with a lower risk of bias. Moreover, to our knowledge, this is the first study showing the potential of delayed PET imaging in patients with GCA on long-term high-dose GC therapy, following procedural recommendations for vascular quantification. Notably, patients with negative 60-min PET findings showed vessel wall activity on delayed images (at 180 min). We therefore suggest that, given the nature of histological findings in GCA, where monocytes and macrophages are dominant and behave as tumoral cells in terms of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG uptake (29), only one acquisition at 180 min would probably be sufficient to diagnose patients with GCA.

#### Conclusions

PET imaging beyond 3-10 days after GC initiation still has a high rate of positive diagnosis in patients with high suspicion of GCA. In addition, the use of delayed PET imaging (at 180 min) using procedural recommendations for vascular scoring achieves a sensitivity of 96% in the diagnosis of GCA, even in patients on long-term high-dose GC therapy. In fact, GC dose seems to be less relevant than the time on treatment. Based on these preliminary results, we suggest performing imaging only at 180 min in patients who have been on GCs for more than 3 days as well as in those with highly suspected GCA but negative findings in baseline PET at 60 min.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Vascular Section of the Neurology Department and the Vascular Section of the Radiology Department at the HUN for their contributions to the ultrasound, CT and MRI image acquisition.

The authors thank the Spanish Foundation of Rheumatology for providing medical writing assistance during the preparation of the manuscript (FERBT2023).

#### References

- 1. GONZALEZ-GAY MA: The diagnosis and management of patients with giant cell arteritis. *J Rheumatol* 2005; 32: 1186-88.
- GONZALEZ-GAY MA, VAZQUEZ-RODRI-GUEZ TR, LOPEZ-DIAZ MJ *et al.*: Epidemiology of giant cell arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009; 61(10): 1454-61. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24459
- HORTON BT: Temporal arteritis; report of 39 cases. Proc Annu Meet Cent Soc Clin Res US 1946; 19: 78.
- PONTE C, MARTINS-MARTINHO J, LUQMANI RA: Diagnosis of giant cell arteritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2020; 59 (Suppl 3): iii5iii16.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kez553
  5. FARINA N, TOMELLERI A, CAMPOCHIARO C, DAGNA L: Giant cell arteritis: Update on clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and management. *Eur J Intern Med* 2023; 107: 17-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2022.10.025
- DEJACO C, RAMIRO S, BOND M et al.: EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in large vessel vasculitis in clinical practice: 2023 update. Ann Rheum Dis 2024; 83(6): 741-51.
- https://doi.org/10.1136/ard-2023-224543
- PONTE C, GRAYSON PC, ROBSON JC et al.: 2022 American College of Rheumatology/ EULAR classification criteria for giant cell arteritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2022; 81(12): 1647-53. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard-2022-223480.
- SLART RHJA et al.: FDG-PET/CT(A) imaging in large vessel vasculitis and polymyalgia rheumatica: joint procedural recommendation of the EANM, SNMMI, and the PET Interest Group (PIG), and endorsed by the ASNC. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2018; 45(7): 1250-69.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-018-3973-8
  9. NIELSEN BD, TØNDER HANSEN L, KELLER KK, THERKILDSEN P, HAUGE EM, GORMSEN LC: Attenuation of fluorine-18-fluorodeoxy-glucose uptake in large vessel giant cell arteritis after short-term high-dose steroid treatment a diagnostic window of opportunity. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2016; 68 (Suppl 10).
- DE SOUZA SANTOS MP, RAMOS CD, PAIXÃO M, PIGNATON NASERI E, BARROS BERTOLO M, SACHETTO Z: 18F-FDG PET/CT in late acquisition identifies sites of active disease in treated Takayasu arteritis. J Clin Rheumatol 2022; 28(1): 14-20. https:// doi.org/10.1097/rhu.000000000001801
- HELLMICH B, AGUEDA A, MONTI S et al.: 2018 Update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of large vessel vasculitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2020; 79(1): 19-30. https://
  - doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215672
- PRIETO-PEÑA D, CASTAÑEDA S, MARTÍNEZ-RODRÍGUEZ I, ATIENZA-MATEO B, BLANCO R, GONZÁLEZ-GAY MA: Imaging tests in the early diagnosis of giant cell arteritis. J Clin Med 2021; 10(16): 3704. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10163704
- 13. MELLER J, STRUTZ F, SIEFKER U et al.: Early diagnosis and follow-up of aortitis with [(18)F]FDG PET and MRI. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2003; 30(5): 730-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-003-1144-y

#### Diagnosis of GCA by <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT in patients on GC therapy / V. Aldasoro et al.

- 14. MARTÍNEZ-RODRÍGUEZ I, DEL CASTILLO-MATOS R, QUIRCE R et al.: Aortic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT uptake pattern at 60 min (early) and 180 min (delayed) acquisition in a control population: a visual and semiquantitative comparative analysis. *Nucl Med Commun* 2013; 34(9): 926-30. https://
- doi.org/10.1097/mnm.0b013e32836370fb
  15. MARTÍNEZ-RODRÍGUEZ I, MARTÍNEZ-AMA-DOR N, BANZO I *et al.*: Assessment of aortitis by semiquantitative analysis of 180-min 18F-FDG PET/CT acquisition images. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2014; 41(12): 2319-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-014-2863-v
- GONZÁLEZ-GAY MA, PRIETO-PEÑA D, MAR-TÍNEZ-RODRÍGUEZ I et al.: Early large vessel systemic vasculitis in adults. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2019; 33(4): 101424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2019.06.006
- 17. HERAS-RECUERO E, LANDAETA-KANCEV LC, MARTÍNEZ DE BOURIO-ALLONA M et al.: Positron emission computed tomography spectrum of large vessel vasculitis in a tertiary center: differences in <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake between large vessel vasculitis with predominant cranial and extracranial giant cell arteritis phenotypes. J Clin Med 2023; 12(19): 6164.

https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12196164

- DASGUPTA B, BORG FA, HASSAN N et al.: BSR and BHPR guidelines for the management of giant cell arteritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2010; 49(8): 1594-97. https:// doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keq039a
- 19. NARVÁEZ J, BERNAD B, ROIG-VILASECA D

*et al.*: Influence of previous corticosteroid therapy on temporal artery biopsy yield in giant cell arteritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2007; 37(1): 13-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2006.12.005

- 20. PAPADAKOS SP, PAPAZOGLOU AS, MOYSIDIS DV et al.: The effect of corticosteroids on temporal artery biopsy positivity in giant cell arteritis: timing is everything. J Clin Rheumatol 2023; 29(4): 173-6. https:// doi.org/10.1097/rhu.000000000001938
- 21. CHMELEWSKI WL, MCKNIGHT KM, AGUDE-LO CA, WISE CM: Presenting features and outcomes in patients undergoing temporal artery biopsy: a review of 98 patients. Arch Intern Med 1992; 152(8): 1690-5. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1992
- ACHKAR AA, LIE JT, HUNDER GG, O'FALLON WM, GABRIEL SE: How does previous corticosteroid treatment affect the biopsy findings in giant cell (temporal) arteritis? Ann Intern Med 1994; 120(12): 987-92. https://doi. org/10.7326/0003-4819-120-12-199406150-00003
- MALESZEWSKI JJ, YOUNGE BR, FRITZLEN JT *et al.*: Clinical and pathological evolution of giant cell arteritis: a prospective study of follow-up temporal artery biopsies in 40 treated patients. *Mod Pathol* 2017; 30(6): 788-96.

https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2017.10 24. IMFELD S, ASCHWANDEN M, ROTTENBURG-

24. IMFELD S, ASCHWANDEN M, ROTTENBURG-ER C et al.: [18F]FDG positron emission tomography and ultrasound in the diagnosis of giant cell arteritis: congruent or complementary imaging methods? *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2020; 59(4): 772-78. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kez362

- 25. NIELSEN BD, GORMSEN LC, HANSEN IT, KELLER KK, THERKILDSEN P, HAUGE EM: Three days of high-dose glucocorticoid treatment attenuates large-vessel 18F-FDG uptake in large-vessel giant cell arteritis but with a limited impact on diagnostic accuracy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2018; 45(7): 1119-28.
  - https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-018-4021-4
- 26. COATH FL, MUKHTYAR C: Ultrasonography in the diagnosis and follow-up of giant cell arteritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2021; 60(6): 2528-36. https:// doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keab179
- 27. NARVÁEZ J, ESTRADA P, VIDAL-MONTAL P et al.: Impact of previous glucocorticoid therapy on diagnostic accuracy of [18F] FDG PET-CT in giant cell arteritis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2023; 60. https://
- doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2023.152183
- PRIETO-PEÑA D, MARTÍNEZ-RODRÍGUEZ I, LORICERA J *et al.*: Predictors of positive 18F-FDG PET/CT-scan for large vessel vasculitis in patients with persistent polymyalgia rheumatica. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2019; 48(4): 720-27. https://
- doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2018.05.007
  29. ROBINETTE ML, RAO DA, MONACH PA: The immunopathology of giant cell arteritis across disease spectra. *Front Immunol* 2021; 12.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.623716