Uniform databases in early arthritis: Specific measures to complement classification criteria and indices of clinical change

T. Pincus¹, T. Sokka^{1,2}

¹Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; ²Jyväskylä Central Hospital, Jyväskylä, Finland.

Theodore Pincus, MD, Professor of Medicine; Tuulikki Sokka, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor of Medicine.

Please address correspondence to: Theodore Pincus, MD, Professor of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, 203 Oxford House, Box 5, Nashville, TN 37232-4500, USA. E-mail: t.pincus@vanderbilt.edu

Supported in part by grants from Aventis, Amgen, Pfizer, the Jack C. Massey Foundation, and the Academy of Finland and by NIH Grant HL 67964.

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2003; 21 (Suppl. 31): S79-S88.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2003.

Key words: Database, patient questionnaire, joint count, Core Data Set, Disease Activity Score (DAS).

ABSTRACT

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is not char acterized by a single pathognomonic measure such as blood pressure in hypertension or cholesterol in hyper lipidemia, which can be used in the diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring of patient status. Measures such as swollen joints and an elevated erythro cyte sedimentation rate are certainly valuable, but many individuals with abnormal values have conditions other than RA, and many people with RA may have favorable values for one or more of these measures. Therefore, the rheumatology community has devel oped indices of several measures, such as classification criteria, the disease activity score (DAS), and the ACR Core Data Set with 20%, 50% and 70% improvement (ACR 20, ACR 50, ACR 70) to classify and monitor patients with RA.

While these indices have greatly advanced clinical research, databases for long-term observations, including those in early RA described in this Sup plement, differ in 20-50% of included data, and the software platforms for these databases differ sufficiently to render it difficult to merge the data to compare one data set to another. It has been proposed that a uniform database for early arthritis clinical research could help advance clinical research in early arthritis. One example of such a database, termed a "standard protocol to evaluate rheumatoid arthritis" (SPERA), has been in use for almost two decades in one clinical site, and has proven valuable in a number of ways, including the demonstration of early radiographic damage, develop ment of a 28-joint count, and documen tation that patient questionnaire data are correlated significantly with labo ratory, joint count and radiographic

data, although questionnaire data are the strongest predictors of severe out comes including work disability and premature mortality. The use of a uniform database in no way precludes the collection of additional data at particular centers including immunogenetic, serologic, or structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data. However, the availability of an infrastructure of standard data in all RA databases would enhance clinical research in early RA.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) differs from other dysregulatory chronic diseases such as hypertension or hyperlipidemia, in that a robust, single, quantitative pathognomonic measure, such as an elevated blood pressure or elevated cholesterol, is not available for diagnosis, prognosis and management in RA. Measures such as swollen joints, functional disability, rheumatoid factor and an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) are certainly valuable in diagnosis and prognosis. However, many individuals identified by these markers have conditions other than RA, and many people with progressive RA may have relatively favorable values for one or more of these measures. Hence, the management of RA is generally not conducted according to any single measure, as is management of hypertension and hyperlipidemia, and often is not conducted according to any quantitative measure.

The rheumatology community has addressed the absence of a single pathognomonic measure by identifying clusters of different types of quantitative measures such as criteria for classification of patients (1,2), clinical status such as the disease activity score (DAS) (3, 4) and the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Core Data Set

(5-7), and improvement (8). Classification criteria for RA (1, 2) have provided a major advance, allowing the identification of relatively homogeneous cohorts of patients in clinical trials and other clinical research. However, they are quite limited for prognosis and function poorly in early RA (9, 10). Specific measures, such as the number of involved joints or poor functional status, have substantially greater prognostic value than classification criteria for predicting short-term persistence and long-term severity (10).

The DAS (3, 4) and ACR Core Data Set (5-7) have been invaluable reporting standards in clinical trials. However, these indices include only measures of disease activity, such as swollen joint counts and ESR, and do not include measures of damage such as deformed joints, or outcomes such as joint replacement surgery (11). One measure of damage, a radiographic score, is included in the ACR Core Data Set for studies of longer than one year or longer, but is not included in ACR 20, 50 or 70 improvement criteria (8). Changes in clinical status in RA are reported generally in the rheumatology literature as DAS and ACR 20 indices, rather than as individual measures, and outcomes other than radiographic scores are not analyzed according to a single baseline measure, such as blood pressure or cholesterol.

Several reports have presented documentation of specific markers in predicting work disability (12-14) and mortality in RA (15-18), including a high number of involved joints, poor functional status documented by a patient questionnaire, physical measures such as grip strength, walk time, and the button test, as well as comorbid cardiovascular disease. These analyses have provided some initially surprising results, such as the higher prognostic value of patient questionnaires than joint counts, radiographic scores, rheumatoid factor or ESR for long-term outcomes (19), and the observation that relatively simple measures such as patient questionnaires of 20 or 8 activities of daily living and joint counts of 28, 12, and even 6 joints provide powerful prognostic indicators (15). In

larger data sets, radiographic scores and laboratory tests also serve to predict mortality (17). However, in no data set are these variables as powerful as self-report questionnaire scores for functional disability in predicting outcomes such as work disability or mortality, in part because only a minority of patients has the poorest clinical status according to radiographic and laboratory data (17).

Clinical trials, clinical research and clinical care of RA all require a baseline database of measures pertinent to the prognosis, care, and outcomes of individual patients. Most such databases are 50-80% identical, as there is general consensus concerning the appropriate measures. However, some differences are seen in the data collected, as well as in the platforms and software used for the organization and storage of these data. These differences limit severely the capacity to compare information and outcomes from different sources, similar to the limitations in interpretation of clinical trial data prior to introduction of the DAS and ACR

A consensus recommendation for a "core set of domains in reporting requirements for longitudinal observational studies in rheumatology" emerged from an Outcome Measures in Rheumatoid Arthritis Clinical Trials (OMERACT) conference in 1998 (20). This report suggested 5 core domains: health status, disease process, damage, mortality, and toxicity/adverse reactions. Two additional domains, work disability and costs, were recognized as important, but did not need to be included in all longitudinal studies. This effort may be viewed as an effort to extend the concept of a uniform clinical database for rheumatic diseases, initially proposed by Fries in the 1970s (21,22), beyond clinical trials to routine clinical care. Such a uniform database presents an effort toward standardization, just as a laboratory test such as the erythrocyte sedimentation rate evolved from different methods to a single Westergren method, which provides a measure that all rheumatologists can interpret similarly.

A uniform database in no way excludes

specialized studies of specific interest, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, serologic markers, immunogenetic markers or others. Indeed, a core set of uniform measures would provide a baseline that could be used by all rheumatologists and provide a strong platform for "evidence-based" rheumatology.

There is no single "best" database for the long-term observation of RA, and many excellent approaches exist to collect data on patients with early arthritis or established RA, as seen in the many protocols in reports in this Supplement. We summarize here a uniform database which has been used in our research for more than 18 years, which we have termed a "standard protocol to evaluate rheumatoid arthritis" (SPERA) (23). This protocol provides a pragmatic assessment in 5 pages that can be completed in 15-30 minutes, to capture most information collected on an initial visit of a patient with early arthritis who might have RA.

The SPERA protocol has evolved somewhat over the 18 years, but the database is 95% identical over almost 2 decades, and has proven useful in clinical research concerning the prognosis and monitoring of patients, including observation of radiographic damage in most patients within the first 2 years of disease (24), development of a 28-joint count (25), recognition that patient questionnaires are correlated significantly with joint counts, radiographic scores and laboratory tests (26), although they are better predictors of work disability (27) and mortality (14, 18), and of the relatively small proportion of patients who were eligible for clinical trials in the contemporary care of RA (28, 29).

The 5 pages of the SPERA protocol are designed to assess:

- Clinical features Classification criteria, comorbidities, extra-articular manifestations, surgeries, laboratory tests, family history, and work status (Appendix I).
- 2. Medications used for RA (Appendix II).
- 3. A 42-joint count, which includes 10 proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joints of the hand, 10 metacar-

pophalangeal (MCP) joints of the hand, 2 wrists, 2 elbows, 2 shoulders, 2 hips, 2 knees, 2 ankles and 10 metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joints (hips and shoulders are not scored for swelling). All joints are scored for tenderness, swelling (except hips and shoulders), limited motion, and surgery, with a space to indicate that a joint is normal (Appendix III).

- 4. A patient self-report Multi-Dimensional Health Assessment Questionnaire (MDHAQ) including the modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (MHAQ) for functional capacity, visual analog scales to assess pain, global health and fatigue, minutes of morning stiffness, and a symptom checklist (Appendix IV).
- 5. Radiographic scoring sheet according to the Sharp or Larsen scores.

A standard HAQ or clinical HAQ (CLINHAQ) may be used. Microsoft access software is available to record and store these data if a computer record is desired. However, computerization is needed only if analyses of groups of patients is desired. The 2 pages of clinical features and medications are kept on in the patient record, without computer recording, for updating in standard care.

A brief summary of data concerning patients with early RA, termed the "early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry" (ERATER) (28-30), evaluated according to the SPERA method is summarized briefly here. These data concerning 426 patients, 332 of whom were seen at a private practice rheumatology setting in Nashville, Tennessee by five private practice rheumatologists, and 94 of whom were seen at different sites, including 31 at Vanderbilt University by TP, are presented to illustrate the potential use of such a database.

Demographic features (Table I) indicate a mean age of 52.9 years, and a mean education of 13.1 years; the cohort is 73.7% female, 65% married, and 86.6% Caucasian, rather typical features of patients with RA. Because this was a database in which patients had up to 3 years of disease, most met classification criteria for RA (Table II),

Table I. Demographic characteristics: early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 426 patients.

Characteristics	Number	Percent	
% Female	314	73.7%	
% Married	277	65.0%	
% Caucasian	369	86.6%	
% Medical Specialists of Nashville	332	77.9%	
Age - mean	52.9 years		
Education - mean	13.1 years		
Stopped working due to RA (of 127 who were working at the onset) (31)	13	10%	

Table II. ACR criteria for RA: early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 426 patients.

Measure	#	%
Morning stiffness > 1 hour	369	86.6%
Soft tissue swelling of > 3 joint groups	387	90.8%
Swelling of PIP, MCP or wrist joints	405	95.1%
Symmetrical swelling	382	89.7%
Subcutaneous nodules	40	9.4%
Positive rheumatoid factor	282	66.2%
Radiographic erosions (n = 349)	135	38.7%

including 86.6% with morning stiffness greater than 1 hour, 90.8% with soft tissue swelling of 3 or more groups, 95% with swelling of PIP, MCP or wrist joints, 89.7% with symmetrical swelling, and 66.2% with positive rheumatoid factor. However, only 38.7% had radiographic erosions (suggesting improved status at this time) and only 9.4% had subcutaneous nodules. Most extra-articular features in this group with relatively early RA were seen in fewer than 2% of patients (Table III), other than subcutaneous nodules in 9.4% and carpal tunnel syndrome in 18.3% of patients. Analyses of comorbidities (Table IV) indicates hypertension in 31.5%, ischemic heart disease in 5.2%, peptic ulcer in 6.3%, cancer in 8.9% and cataracts in 10.1% of patients, again within the first 3 years of RA. The high level of cataracts within the first 3 years of RA is somewhat surprising.

Overall, 53.5% had ever smoked, 27% were current smokers, 7.5% had undergone carpal tunnel surgery, 7.3% back surgery, and 6.6% cataract surgery (Table V). The family history indicated RA in the father in 8%, the mother in 10.6%, siblings in 7.3%, and children

Table III. Extra-articular features of RA: early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 426 patients.

Extra-articular feature	#	%
Pulmonary fibrosis	3	0.7%
Pulmonary nodule	1	0.2%
Raynaud's phenomenon	8	1.9%
Pericarditis	2	0.5%
Felty's syndrome	1	0.2%
Lymphadenopathy	0	0
Carpal tunnel syndrome	78	18.3%
Vasculitis	1	0.2%
Scleritis	2	0.5%

in 1.2% (Table VI).

The most prominently involved joint for tenderness was the wrist (Table VII), and for swelling the second and third MCP, the third PIP, and the wrists. Limited motion or deformity, which are included in the SPERA as baseline measures of damage, was most common in wrists. No specific joint was affected by tenderness or swelling in more than 51% of patients (Table VII). Among therapies, methotrexate was the first therapy used in 81% of patients compared to 7.7% hydroxychloroquine, and 3.8% leflunomide (Table VIII),

Table IV. Comorbidities: early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 426 patients.

Disease of medical condition	#	%
Hypertension	134	31.5%
Ischemic heart disease	22	5.2%
Peptic ulcer	27	6.3%
Renal disease	7	1.6%
Asthma	29	6.8%
Chronic bronchitis	17	4.0%
Diabetes mellitus	39	9.2%
Thyroid disease	46	10.8%
Cancer	38	8.9%
Stroke	6	1.4%
Parkinson's disease	1	0.2%
Chronic back pain	32	7.5%
Osteoarthritis	19	4.5%
Fibromyalgia	11	2.6%
Psoriasis	10	2.3%
Cataracts	43	10.1%
Psychiatric disease	9	2.1%

Table V. Habits and surgeries from patient history: early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 426 patients.

Variable	#	%
Smoke cigarettes - ever	228	53.5%
Smoke cigarettes - now	115	27.0%
Alcohol abuse	5	1.2%
Carpal tunnel surgery	32	7.5%
Heart by-pass surgery	16	3.8%
Back surgery	31	7.3%
Cataract surgery	28	6.6%

Table VI. Family history of rheumatoid arthritis (RA): early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 426 patients.

-		
Relationship	#	%
Father with RA	34	8.0%
Mother with RA	45	10.6%
Siblings with RA	31	7.3%
Children with RA	5	1.2%

reflecting the practice in Nashville, Tennessee, where most of these patients were identified (30).

These data illustrate the potential for a uniform database that could be available for the clinical assessment of patients with early RA. Again it is

Table VII. Percentage of abnormal joints: early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 414 patients.

	m.		G	***	Limited motion /
	Tenderness			lling	Deformity
Joint	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left Right
PIP - 1	12%	15%	8%	10%	3% 4%
PIP - 2	18%	22%	20%	27%	8% 11%
PIP - 3	21%	31%	37%	51%	10% 14%
PIP - 4	13%	18%	19%	24%	7% 10%
PIP - 5	10%	14%	15%	12%	9% 17%
MCP - 1	20%	21%	10%	10%	12% 17%
MCP - 2	23%	22%	28%	43%	4% 6%
MCP - 3	22%	22%	30%	35%	4% 6%
MCP - 4	12%	13%	9%	10%	2% 3%
MCP - 5	10%	12%	9%	14%	2% 4%
Wrist	37%	40%	33%	34%	24% 28%
Elbow	16%	17%	3%	6%	3% 8%
Shoulder	24%	24%			2% 3%
Knee	24%	24%	14%	17%	6% 7%

Table VIII. Disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs at first visit: early rheumatoid arthritis treatment evaluation registry (ERATER) database - 426 patients.

Drug	Number	Percent
Methotrexate	345	81.0%
Hydroxychloroquine	33	7.7%
Leflunomide	16	3.8%
Prednisone	11	2.6%
Sulfasalazine	3	0.7%
Azathioprine	1	0.2%
Clinical trial	1	0.2%
Infliximab	1	0.2%
Methotrexate + hydroxychloroquine	3	0.7%
Sulfasalazine + hydroxychloroquine	2	0.5%
Methotrexate + sulfasalazine	1	0.2%
Methotrexate + infliximab	1	0.2%
Methotrexate + Enbrel	1	0.2%
None	7	1.6%
Total	426	100%

emphasized that this is not presented as a "perfect" database, but as a model of an information acquiring system that can be completed for most patients in 15-30 minutes. The SPERA assessment provides a database of most variables that are considered to be relevant to short-term responses to therapies as well as to long-term outcomes not only for clinical research, but also for standard long-term clinical care.

The specific single measures can then be applied to studies in immunogenetics, serologic markers, imaging markers, and other clinical research to better stratify patients than classification criteria. If such a database were common to the infrastructure of all rheumatology care and research, it might provide specific markers, as is the case for single markers such as hypertension or hypercholesterolemia, to enhance long-term knowledge. Recognition of important specific measures such as poor functional status or a high number of involved joints as potential predictors of work disability and death, might enhance recognition of the urgency of treatment for RA, as is now the case for cardiovascular diseases.

References

1. ROPES MW, BENNETT GA, COBB S, JACOX RF, JESSAR RA: 1958 revision of diagnostic criteria for rheumatoid arthritis. Bull Rheum

- Dis 1958: 9: 175-6.
- ARNETT FC, EDWORTHY SM, BLOCH DA et al.: The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988; 31: 315-24.
- VAN DER HEIJDE DMFM, VAN'T HOF MA, VAN RIELPLCM et al.: Judging disease activity in clinical practice in rheumatoid arthritis: first step in the development of a disease activity score. Ann Rheum Dis 1990; 49: 916-20.
- 4. VAN DER HEIJDE DMFM, VAN'T HOF MA, VAN RIEL PLCM, VAN DE PUTTE LBA: Development of a disease activity score based on judgment in clinical practice by rheumatologists. J Rheumatol 1993; 20: 579-81.
- FELSON DT, ANDERSON JJ, BOERS M et al.: The American College of Rheumatology preliminary core set of disease activity measures for rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials.
 Arthritis Rheum 1993; 36: 729-40.
- TUGWELL P, BOERS M: OMERACT Committee. Proceedings of the OMERACT Conferences on outcome measures in rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials, Maastrict, Netherlands. J Rheumatol 1993; 20: 527-91.
- 7. VAN RIEL PLCM: Provisional guidelines for measuring disease activity in clinical trials on rheumatoid arthritis (Editorial). Br J Rheuma tol 1992; 31: 793-4.
- FELSON DT, ANDERSON JJ, BOERS M et al.: American College of Rheumatology preliminary definition of improvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1995; 38: 727-35
- 9. HARRISON BJ, SYMMONS DPM, BARRETT EM, SILMAN AJ: The performance of the 1987 ARA classification criteria for rheumatoid arthritis in a population based cohort of patients with early inflammatory polyarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1998; 25: 2324-30.
- 10. GERBER LH, FURST G, YARBORO C, El-GABALAWY H: Number of active joints, not diagnosis, is the primary determinant of function and performance in early synovitis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2003; 21 (Suppl 31): S65-S70.
- 11. PINCUS T, CALLAHAN LF: Prognostic markers of activity and damage in rheumatoid arthritis: Why clinical trials and inception cohort studies indicate more favorable out-

- comes than studies of patients with established disease. *Br J Rheumatol* 1995; 34: 196-9.
- 12. CALLAHAN LF, PINCUS T: Work status in rheumatoid arthritis is optimally discriminated by functional tests rather than radiographs and laboratory tests. *Arthritis Rheum* 1987; 30 (Suppl. 1): S65 (abstr.).
- 13. MITCHELL JM, BURKHAUSER RV, PINCUS T: The importance of age, education, and comorbidity in the substantial earnings losses of individuals with symmetric polyarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988; 31: 348-57.
- 14. YELIN E, MEENAN R, NEVITT M, EPSTEIN W: Work disability in rheumatoid arthritis: effects of disease, social, and work factors. Ann Intern Med 1980; 93: 551-6.
- 15. PINCUS T, BROOKS RH, CALLAHAN LF: Prediction of long-term mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis according to simple questionnaire and joint count measures. *Ann Intern Med* 1994; 120: 26-34.
- 16. PINCUS T, CALLAHAN LF, VAUGHN WK: Questionnaire, walking time and button test measures of functional capacity as predictive markers for mortality in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 1987; 14: 240-51.
- WOLFE F, MICHAUD K, GEFELLER O, CHOI HK: Predicting mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48: 1530-42.
- 18. SOKKA T, HÄKKINEN A, KRISHNAN E, HANNONEN P: The Health Assessment Questionnaire predicts mortality similarly in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and in community population. Ann Rheum Dis (in press).
- CALLAHAN LF, PINCUS T, HUSTON JW, III, BROOKS RH, NANCE EP JR, KAYE JJ: Measures of activity and damage in rheumatoid arthritis: Depiction of changes and prediction of mortality over five years. Arthritis Care Res 1997; 10: 381-94.
- 20. WOLFE F, LASSERE M, VAN DER HEIJDE D et al.: Preliminary core set of domains and reporting requirements for longitudinal observational studies in rheumatology. Omeract IV: Outcome measures in rheumatology. Cancun, Mexico, April 16-20,1998. J Rheumatol 1999: 26: 484-9.
- 21. FRIES JF: Time-oriented patient records and a computer databank. *JAMA* 1972; 222: 1536-

- 22. FRIES JF: A data bank for the clinician? *N Engl J Med* 1976; 294: 1400-2 (editorial).
- 23. PINCUS T, BROOKS RH, CALLAHAN LF: A proposed standard protocol to evaluate rheumatoid arthritis (SPERA) that includes measures of inflammatory activity, joint damage, and long-term outcomes. *J Rheumatol* 1999; 26: 473-80.
- 24. FUCHS HA, KAYE JJ, CALLAHAN LF, NANCE EP, PINCUS T: Evidence of significant radiographic damage in rheumatoid arthritis within the first 2 years of disease. *J Rheumatol* 1989; 16: 585-91.
- 25. FUCHS HA, BROOKS RH, CALLAHAN LF, PINCUS T: A simplified twenty-eight joint quantitative articular index in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1989; 32: 531-7.
- 26. PINCUS T, CALLAHAN LF, BROOKS RH, FUCHS HA, OLSEN NJ, KAYE JJ: Self-report questionnaire scores in rheumatoid arthritis compared with traditional physical, radiographic, and laboratory measures. *Ann Intern Med* 1989; 110: 259-66.
- 27. CALLAHAN LF, BLOCH DA, PINCUS T: Identification of work disability in rheumatoid arthritis:Physical, radiographic and laboratory variables do not add explanatory power to demographic and functional variables. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992; 45: 127-38.
- 28. SOKKA T, PINCUS T: Most patients receiving routine care for rheumatoid arthritis in 2001 did not meet inclusion criteria for most recent clinical trials or American College of Rheumatology criteria for remission. *J Rheumatol* 2003; 30: 1138-46.
- 29. SOKKA T, PINCUS T: Eligibility of patients in routine care for major clinical trials of antitumor necrosis factor alpha agents in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48: 313-8.
- 30. SOKKA T, PINCUS T: Contemporary disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD) in patients with recent onset rheumatoid arthritis in a US private practice: methotrexate as the anchor drug in 90% and new DMARD in 30% of patients. J Rheumatol 2002; 29:2521-
- 31. SOKKA T, PINCUS T: Work disability in U.S. patients with rheumatoid arthritis of less than 3 years' duration in 2001. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001; 44: S221.

APPENDIX

On the following pages, forms that have been in use for almost 20 years to collect patient information for the SPERA database are reproduced. Rheumatologists and clinical investigators are invited to use these forms in clinical care and clinical research.

- I. Clinical Lifetime Updateable Evaluation (CLUE-RA) Rheumatoid Arthritis Clinical Features (R585).
- II. Clinical Lifetime Updateable Evaluation (CLUE-MED) Rheumatoid Arthritis Medications (R588).
- III. Joint examination form.
- IV. Multi-Dimensional Health Assessment Questionnaire (F582-NP2).

Clinical Lifetime Updateable This form is designed to be updated at				inical Feature	es (R606
NAME: Last			DOB I	D#	
CLUE FORM COMPLETED BY			DATE Rheu	_	
Sex	isurance		COMORBIDITIES (Please en	iter "—" or "+"))
RA-1st Symptom			("—" may be updated to "+",	Ever?	If "+"
1st Rheumatologist	1st Visit (Mo/Yr)	but a blank space is unknown)	<u>"—" or "+"</u>	<u>Mo/Yr</u>
1st DMARD used			Hypertension		
ARA CRITERIA FOR RA (Please	enter "-" or ".	+")	Angina		
("-" may be updated to "+",	Ever?	If "+",	Coronary Artery Disease		
but a blank space is unknown)	<u>"—" or "+"</u>	Mo/Yr	Other Heart Disease		
Morning Stiffness > 1 hour			Hyperlipidemia		
Soft tissue swelling of >= 3 jt groups			Peripheral Vascular Disease		
Swelling of PIP, MCP, or wrist joints			Peptic ulcer		
Symmetrical Swelling			Inflammatory Bowel Disease		
Subcutaneous Nodule			Kidney disease		
Positive Rheumatoid Factor	-		Asthma		
Highest Rheumatoid			Chronic bronchitis		
Radiographic Erosion			Diabetes Mellitus		
			Thyroid disease		
FAMILY HISTORY OF RA (Pleas	e enter "" or	"+"):	Cancer		
Parent Father		ther	Stroke		
Sibling # Brother(s)	# Sis	ters(s)	Parkinson's Disease		
Child # Son(s)	# Da		Chronic Back Pain		
Other Family			Musculoskeletal Trauma		
			Fractures since age 50		
EXTRA-ARTICULAR DISEASE (e	enter "—" or "+	") If "+",	Severe Osteoporosis		
("—" may be updated to "+",	Ever?	Onset	Severe Osteoarthritis		
but a blank space is unknown)	"—" or "+"	Mo/Yr	Severe Infection		
Malaise or weakness		<u>1-10/11</u>	Herpes Zoster/Shingles		
Pulmonary fibrosis			Fibromyalgia		
Pulmonary nodules			Psoriasis		
Dyspnea on Exertion	····		Cataracts		
			Psychiatric Disease		
Dry eyes			AIDS		
Dry mouth			Alcoholism		
Clinical pericarditis		***		Charle	Yr
Felty's syndrome				Yr D	
Lymphadenopathy					
Carpal tunnel			RADIOGRAPH DATES: (H-H	ands, r-reet, b	- b otn)
Tarsal tunnel					
Vasculitis					
Scleritis			BONE DENSITOMETRY DAT	ES: (Mo\Day\Y	r)
Neuropathy					
Raynaud's phenomenon					
MENSTRUAL HISTORY Menopa	usal (— /+):		SURGERIES Ever? If	"+",	
Date (Mo/Yr):	HRT (— /+):			<u> Mo/Yr</u>	Mo/Yr
WORK HISTORY Occupation			Heart Bypass		
At onset of RA: Work Full-Time, _			Back Surgery	_	
Homemaker, Student,			Cataract		
Current status: Work Full-Time, _			JT SURGERY/FRACTURE (A-	Arthroscopy S-Svr	novectomy
Homemaker, Student,			TJR-Replacement, JF-Fusion, JR-Re		-
If stopped work, Year stopped:			R/L Hand (mo/yr)	=	-
Due to: RA, Retired			R/L Hip (mo/yr)		
If disability payments received, Year be			R/L Knee (mo/yr)		ý <u> </u>
			ville, TN 37215 * Telephone 615-936-2151		

Clinical Lifetime Up his form is designed to be							itoid Arth i ate of Last U		edicatio	ons (R6	07)
T NAME				DOB			(Use pencil)_				
f a DMARD has been discont	inued more	than 3 month	ns, the 2nd c	ourse begins i	n blank space	or cross	s out another dr	ug and be	gin 2nd co	urse there.)	
DMARD review		Date of 1st	visit								
may be updated in future to	+ Ever	Start date (mo/yr)	Stop da (mo/yr		ry (Code or tion)		city (Code or iption)	Reason disconti		If toxicity code/descrip	tion
PREDNISONE										ļ	
METHOTREXATE											
HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE											
SULFASALAZINE											
IM GOLD											
CYCLOSPORINE											
AURANOFIN											
AZATHIOPRINE						1					
D-PENICILLAMINE											
CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE											
LEFLUNOMIDE	<u> </u>					-					
ETANERCEPT											
INFLIXIMAB(see below)											
ANAKINRA		 				+					
ADALIMUMAB			+								
ADALL ISIND	-	1									
	<u> </u>	1				+					
		1				+					
IFLIXIMAB	(Start nev	v line if dose cl	nanges)			<u> </u>		J			
ose: Date:									<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
										<u> </u>	<u> </u>
fficacy Code: NO=No benefi oxicity Code: NO=No toxicity ROT=Proteinuria, CREAT=C eason for discontinuation: To COMORB=Comorbidities, I	y, NA=Naus Creatinine, B OX=Toxicity	ea, DI= Diarr P= Hypertens , specify (To)	hea, GI= Oti sion, RESP= kicity Code a	ner GI, HA= Ha Respiratory, N bove), INEFF	ir loss, RA=F IODU=Nodule Inefficacy, L	Rash, MR Es, INF OSS=Lo	U=Mucous, LIV =Infections, OTI oss of efficacy, C	ER=Liver (1=Other :OST=Cos	sts,		
NSAID review. (Taken co	ntinuously o	ver at least 2	weeks for a	rthritis.)							
— may be updated in future	e to +		Start date mo/yr)	Stop date (mo/yr)	Efficacy (C		Toxicity (Code or description)	Reason to stop		If toxicity code/desci	ription
ACETAMINOPHEN (TYLENO	L)				1						
ASPIRIN											
IBUPROFEN (ADVIL, NUPRI	N)										
NAPROXEN (ALEVE)											
DICLOFENAC (VOLTAREN)											
NABUMATONE (RELAFEN)											

CELECOXIB (CELEBREX) ROFECOXIB (VIOXX) MELOXICAM (MOBIC) VALDECOXIB (BEXTRA)

Additional drugs and/or other courses may be entered in blank spaces.

Copyright 2002: Health Report Services * 210 LaVista Drive * Nashville, TN 37215 * Telephone 615-936-2151 * Fax 615-936-2159

JOINT EXAMINATION FORM		PT Name			Date	_ R608-JT					
Please mar	your as	sessmen	t of the p	atient's	current disease a	ctivity:					
	O IVITY							VERY ACTIVI			
JOINT COU	NT - SCO	RE EACH	I JOINT A	S: "+" or	"POSitiv	/e" or "ABNormal"	versus "-	" or "NE	Gative" (or "NORM	iai"
	If NORM, mark"NL" & go to next jt	<u>or</u> pain on	Swollen	Limited motion or de- formed	# Sur- ger- <u>ies§</u>		If NORM, mark"NL" & go to next jt	Tender or pain on motion	Swollen	Limited motion or de- formed	# Sur- ger- <u>ies§</u>
R-PIP1		_		_		L-PIP1					
R-PIP2						L-PIP2					
R-PIP3						L-PIP3					
R-PIP4						L-PIP4					
R-PIP5				_		L-PIP5				_	
R-MCP1				_		L-MCP1					
R-MCP2			<u> </u>			L-MCP2					
R-MCP3						L-MCP3				_	
R-MCP4					_	L-MCP4					
R-MCP5	_					L-MCP5				_	
R-WRIST						L-WRIST					
R-ELBOW						L-ELBOW					
R-SHLDR		_	XXX			L-SHLDR			<u> </u>		
R-HIP			XXX			L-HIP			XXX		
R-KNEE			<u></u>	_		L-KNEE			7001		
R-ANKLE	_			_		L-ANKLE		_		_	
R-MTP1						L-MTP1					
R-MTP2						L-MTP2		_		_	
R-MTP3						L-MTP3				_	_
R-MTP4						L-MTP4				_	_
R-MTP5		_				L-MTP5		_			
KHIII		§	 - S = Sy	novectomy		t-۱۳۱۲-5 otal Joint Replacemen		 O = Oth	 er		
Description of	nly = not i	n formal	ioint com	nt·			-				
NECK			-			CCCT					
				· · ·		FEET					_
BACK						OTHER					_
Indicate posi	tive tende	r points:									

Copyright 2002: Health Report Services * 210 LaVista Drive * Nashville, TN 37215 * Telephone 615-936-2151 * Fax 615-936-2159

Multi-Dimensional Health Assessment Questionnaire (R605-NP2)
This questionnaire includes information not available from blood tests, X-rays, or any source other than you. Please try to answer each question, even if you do not think it is related to you at this time. There are no right or wrong answers. Please answer exactly as you think or feel. Thank you. FOR OFFICE

1. Please check $()$ the ONE best answer for years	our abilities at	this time:			USE ONLY
OVER THE LAST WEEK, were you able to:	Without ANY <u>Difficulty</u>	With SOME <u>Difficulty</u>	With MUCH Difficulty	UNABLE To Do	FN
a. Dress yourself, including tying shoelaces and					
doing buttons?	0	1	2	3	
b. Get in and out of bed?	0	1	2	3	1=0.1 16=1.6 2=0.2 17=1.7
c. Lift a full cup or glass to your mouth? d. Walk outdoors on flat ground?	0	1	2	3	3=0.3 18=1.8
e. Wash and dry your entire body?	0	1	2	3	4=0.4 19≈1.9 5=0.5 20=2.0
f. Bend down to pick up clothing from the floor?	o	1 1 1	2	3	6=0.6 21=2.1 7=0.7 22=2.2
g. Turn regular faucets on and off?	0	1	2	3	8=0.8 23=2.3
h. Get in and out of a car, bus, train, or airplane?	0	1	2	3	9=0.9 24=2.4 10=1.0 25=2.5
i. Walk two miles?	0	1	2	3	11=1.1 26=2.6 12=1.2 27=2.7
j. Participate in sports and games as you would like?	0	1	2	3	13=1.3 28=2.8 14=1.4 29=2.9
k. Get a good night's sleep?	0	1	2	3	15=1.5 30=3.0
I. Deal with feelings of anxiety or being nervous?	0	1	2 2	3	PS
m. Deal with feelings of depression or feeling blue?	0	1	2	3	
2. How much pain have you had because of yo	our condition O	VER THE PAST	Γ WEEK?		
Place a mark below to indicate how severe	your pain has b	oeen:			PN
NO I			PAIN AS BA	AD AC	
PAIN			IT COULD		
3. How would you rate your general health?				,	GH
Excellent(1), Very good(2), Good	(3), Fair	_(4), Poor	(5)		
4. How much of a problem has UNUSUAL fatig	ue or tirodnoss	hoon for you	OVED THE DA	ST WEEV?	<u> </u>
Place a mark below to indicate how severe			OVER THE PA	DI WEEK!	FT
EATTCHE IC			FATTOU	E 70 4	
FATIGUE IS NO PROBLEM			FATIGU MAJOR PI		
				KUBLEM	
5. How do you feel TODAY compared to ONE V	WEEK AGO? Ple	ease check (√)	only one.		СН
Much Better(1), Better(2), the Same	_(3), W orse	_(4), Much W	orse(5) tha	n one week ago	
6. Considering all the ways in which illness an	d health condit	tions may affe	ct you at this	time.	╽┖━┛╽
please make a mark below to show how you		•	•		GL
VERY L) (ED)(
WELL			⊢ VERY POORLY		
WELL			POORLI		
7. What is the reason that you are seeing a do	ctor today? Pl	ease check an	d write anyth	ina you would	like.
☐ Check-up, ☐ A new illness or problem, ☐ Ma	naging an illne	ss, 🗆 Other,	Please write	below any fur	ther
information which you think will help in your ca	are - you don't	need to write	anything - an	d turn to the o	other side:
					

R605NP2

PLEASE TURN TO THE OTHER SIDE

Page 1 of 2

Page 2 of 2

Fever	SY
Weight loss (<10 lbs) Feeling sickly Headaches Unusual fatigue Loss of appetite Skin rash or hives Unusual bruising or bleeding Other skin problems Loss of hair Dry eyes Other eye problems Problems with hearing Ringing in the ears Shortness of breath Wheezing Wheezing Wheezing Swelling of hands Swelling of ankles Swelling in other joints Swelling of ankles Swelling in other joints Swelling in other joints Swelling in other joints Swelling of ankles	SY
Feeling sickly Headaches Headaches Unusual fatigue Swelling of ankles Wheezing Heart pounding (palpitations) Swelling in other joints	SY
Headaches	SY
Unusual fatigue Heart pounding (palpitations) Swelling in other joints Swollen glands Joint pain Loss of appetite Heartburn or stomach gas Back pain Skin rash or hives Stomach pain or cramps Neck pain Unusual bruising or bleeding Nausea Use of drugs not sold in stores Other skin problems Smoking cigarettes Loss of hair Constipation More than 2 alcoholic drinks per day Dry eyes Diarrhea Depression - feeling blue Other eye problems Dark or bloody stools Anxiety - feeling nervous Problems with hearing Problems with urination Problems with thinking Ringing in the ears Gynecological (female) problems Dizziness Problems with sleeping	SY
Swollen glands	SY
Loss of appetite	SY
Skin rash or hives Stomach pain or cramps Neck pain Unusual bruising or bleeding Nausea Use of drugs not sold in stores Other skin problems Smoking cigarettes Loss of hair Smoking cigarettes Constipation More than 2 alcoholic drinks per day Diarrhea Depression - feeling blue Other eye problems Dark or bloody stools Anxiety - feeling nervous Problems with hearing Problems with urination Problems with thinking Ringing in the ears Gynecological (female) problems Stuffy nose Dizziness Problems with sleeping	SY
Other skin problemsVomitingSmoking cigarettesLoss of hairConstipationMore than 2 alcoholic drinks per dayDry eyesDiarrheaDepression - feeling blueDark or bloody stoolsAnxiety - feeling nervousProblems with hearingProblems with urinationProblems with thinkingProblems with memoryProblems with memoryProblems with sleepingProblems with sleeping	
Loss of hair	
Dry eyes Diarrhea Depression - feeling blue Other eye problems Dark or bloody stools Anxiety - feeling nervous Problems with hearing Problems with urination Problems with thinking Ringing in the ears Gynecological (female) problems Problems with memory Stuffy nose Dizziness Problems with sleeping	
Other eye problems	
Problems with hearingProblems with urinationProblems with thinkingRinging in the earsCynecological (female) problemsProblems with memoryProblems with sleepingProblems with sleeping	
Ringing in the earsGynecological (female) problemsProblems with memoryProblems with sleeping	
Stuffy noseDizzinessProblems with sleeping	
Sores in the mouthLosing your balanceSexual problems	
Dry mouthMuscle pain, aches, or crampsBurning in sex organs	
Problems with smell or tasteMuscle weaknessProblems with social activities	
Please check ($$) here if you have had none of these symptoms:	
9. What is your current occupation? (If you are not 10. How many other people live at home with your	u?
working now, what was your past occupation?) [Please check ($$) who lives with you.]	
Spouse/partnerParents	
Sons or daughtersI live alone	
Others (describe)	_
11. At this time, are you? [Please check ($$) all that apply.] 12. How many years of school have you complet	ed?
Working full timeRetired Please circle the number of years of school.	
Working part timeStudent	
Homemaker-full timeDisabled	
Other (describe) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
Your Name Today's Date Time of Day AM	PM
Street Address City State Zip	
Telephone () Social Security # Date of Birth	
SEX:	ivorced
□ Male GROUP: □ Black □ White □ Widowed □ Separated	
Please check if this questionnaire is completed entirely by patient □ or with help from (name)	
13. Please write below ALL pills that you took over the last TWO WEEKS, with or without a prescription.	Please
include aspirin, birth control pills, pain pills, alternative therapy, health supplements and any pills sold in h	ealth
tood stores:	
UK ALIEKNATIVE I HEKAPY (IT KNOWN) (IZV OF WEEK) (IX ALIERNATIVE THERAPY (IT KNOWN) day or w	
OR ALTERNATIVE THERAPY (if known) day or week? OR ALTERNATIVE THERAPY (if known) day or week?	<u>veek?</u>
	<u>veek?</u>
<u>1.</u> 6.	<u>veek?</u> —
	<u>veek?</u> —
1 6 7 7.	<u>veek?</u> —
<u>1.</u> 6.	<u>veek?</u> — —
1 6 7 7.	<u></u>
1. 6. 2. 7. 3. 8. 4. 9.	<u></u>
1. 6. 2. 7. 3. 8. 4. 9. 5. 10.	<u></u>
1. 6. 2. 7. 3. 8. 4. 9. 5. 10. Please list the name and telephone number of your primary care physician:	
1. 6. 2. 7. 3. 8. 4. 9. 5. 10.	
1. 6. 2. 7. 3. 8. 4. 9. 5. 10. Please list the name and telephone number of your primary care physician: Name Telephone	
1. 6. 2. 7. 3. 8. 4. 9. 5. 10. Please list the name and telephone number of your primary care physician:	

Copyright: Health Report Services, 210 LaVista Drive, Nashville, TN 37215, Telephone 615-936-2151, Fax 615-936-2159

R605NP2