

## Clinical aspects of psoriatic arthritis: one year in review 2024

L. Esti<sup>1</sup>, F. Fattorini<sup>1</sup>, C. Cigolini<sup>1</sup>, S. Gentileschi<sup>2</sup>, R. Terenzi<sup>3</sup>, L. Carli<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rheumatology Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa;

<sup>2</sup>Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medical Sciences, Surgery and Neurosciences, University of Siena;

<sup>3</sup>Rheumatology Unit, SOC Reumatologia, USL Toscana Centro, Florence;

<sup>4</sup>Rheumatology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Pisana (AOUP), Pisa, Italy.

Lorenzo Esti, MD

Federico Fattorini, MD

Cosimo Cigolini, MD

Stefano Gentileschi, MD

Riccardo Terenzi, MD

Linda Carli, MD

Please address correspondence to:

Linda Carli

Reumatologia,

Dipartimento di Medicina

Clinica e Sperimentale,

Università di Pisa,

via Roma 67,

36126 Pisa, Italy.

E-mail: 81clinda@gmail.com

Received on January 17, 2024; accepted on January 20, 2025.

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2025; 43; 4-13.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND

EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2025.

**Key words:** one year in review, psoriatic arthritis, clinical aspects

### ABSTRACT

*Psoriatic arthritis is a very pleomorphic inflammatory disease characterised by its association with psoriasis and the development of a wide spectrum of comorbidities that can impact patients' prognosis and quality of life.*

*In recent years, several new drugs have been developed, showing significant efficacy in alleviating symptoms and signs, while maintaining a generally favourable safety profile. Despite these advancements, the management of PsA remains potentially suboptimal. Indeed, a percentage of patients do not respond to therapies, or they may improve only in limited outcomes, resulting in a challenge for the management of the burden of disease.*

*In this paper we reviewed the literature on PsA from January 1<sup>st</sup> 2022 to July 1<sup>st</sup> 2024.*

### Introduction

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a very pleomorphic inflammatory disease, being characterised by a heterogeneous axial and peripheral musculoskeletal involvement, usually associated with different extra-articular inflammatory manifestations, in particular skin or nail psoriasis (PsO), inflammatory bowel disease, or acute anterior uveitis. Enthesitis and dactylitis stand out among its more typical and difficult to treat signs.

Following our regular annual reviews on different aspects of rheumatology (1-6), we here provide a critical digest of the recent literature on PsA from 2022 to the first half of 2024 (Medline search of articles published from January 1<sup>st</sup> 2022 to July 1<sup>st</sup> 2024). In particular, we performed an on-line search on MESH database, using as key terms “pathogenesis”, “biomarker”, “diagnosis”, “diagnostic imaging”, “drug therapy”, “comorbidities”, “mortality”, “psychology”, “therapy”, “economics”.

### Imaging

Imaging techniques are crucial for PsA diagnosis and monitoring, since they can detect signs of both activity and damage, and evaluate drug efficacy.

#### Conventional radiology

Using conventional radiology (CR) of the spine and pelvis, a Belgian study, analysed the rate of damage accrual in PsA and axial (Ax) Spondyloarthritis (SpA) patients in a real-world setting. The authors observed that PsA patients had fewer syndesmophytes and lower values of the modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spine Score (mSASSS) than ax-SpA patients. Interestingly, PsA patients tended to develop syndesmophytes mainly at their cervical spine, while in ax-SpA they were more equally distributed (7).

#### Ultrasound

Yen *et al.* confirmed the diagnostic power of ultrasound in detecting PsO patients who are in the preclinical phases of PsA, particularly when they have no symptoms of synovitis (8).

Several studies applied ultrasound (US) to evaluate enthesitis in PsA patients. It is interesting to note that power Doppler (pD) signal and bone erosions at entheses were the most discriminative OMERACT lesions and were significantly associated with the development of ultrasound-detected joint erosive damage, thus enabling the identification of a more severe subset of PsA patients (9). Moreover, both the Madrid Sonographic Enthesitis Index (MASEI) and the Outcome Measure in Rheumatology (OMERACT) confirmed their sensitivity to change and showed an excellent level of reliability when applied to score enthesitis in SpA and PsA patients (10).

Using a seven-joint ultrasound score based on the presence of synovitis and tenosynovitis or paratenonitis scores

Competing interests: none declared.

on grey-scale ultrasound, on pD ultrasound score and on erosion score, on the wrist, second and third metacarpophalangeal, second and third proximal interphalangeal joints and second and fifth metatarsophalangeal joints, Sapundzhieva *et al.* observed that this scoring system was able to distinguish between PsA and RA; in particular, PsA patients showed higher median scores of both grey-scale and PD tenosynovitis/paratenonitis (11).

Ultrasound was also helpful in nail evaluation. If Huang *et al.* observed that US-detected onychopathic changes were more frequent in PsO patients than PsA patients, Michelucci *et al.* showed that ultra-high frequency ultrasound seemed able to analyse both nail plate, nail bed and nail matrix thickness, together with nail insertion and nail matrix length, with clinical usefulness not only in real-time nail evaluation, but also in monitoring psoriatic onychopathy evolution after therapies (12, 13).

#### Magnetic resonance imaging

Considering scoring systems based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), Østergaard *et al.* confirmed a good level of sensitivity in detecting structural changes of the OMERACT PsA MRI Scoring system (PsAMRIS) in patients treated with abatacept, using different regimens (14). Another study by Gezer *et al.* on the SPARCC sacroiliac MRI scoring index showed its ability to highlight signs of both activity and damage, with erosions, fat metaplasia, backfill and ankylosis being the most frequent structural lesions in ax-PsA (15).

#### US, MRI and CR

Polachek and colleagues found a good-to-very-good agreement between US and MRI in detecting synovitis, flexor tenosynovitis and extensor paratenonitis; the agreement between US, CR and MRI was very good for erosions and good for bone proliferation, which more frequently detected by US or CR than MRI (16).

US and MRI diagnostic value in PsA patients was also confirmed for the evaluation of carpal tunnel syndrome, by measuring the cross-sectional area of the median nerve (17).

#### Nailfold capillaroscopy

Finally, Fukasawa *et al.* explored the utility of nailfold capillaroscopy in PsO and PsA patients. In particular, they observed that PsA was significantly associated with a higher prevalence of nailfold bleeding and enlarged capillaries. Moreover, they found that the development of these abnormalities in PsO patients were a predictor of PsA onset and their degree seemed proportional to disease severity and serum levels of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-17A and IL-23 (18).

#### Take-home messages

- mSASSS application in CR showed that PsA patients develop syndesmophytes mainly at the cervical spine (7).
- US confirmed its central role in early and differential diagnosis of PsA and enabled the identification of more severe subsets of PsA (8, 11).
- US is able to completely assess enthesitis and is crucial for the evaluation of nail involvement (9, 10, 12, 13).
- The use of MRI as a tool to score both activity and damage of PsA was confirmed; furthermore, its application showed good sensitivity to change (14, 15).
- PsA patients may show altered capillaroscopy patterns, the severity of which seemed proportional to disease activity (18).

#### Clinimetrics

Disease activity indices are crucial for evaluating and follow-uping disease activity and damage and for monitoring therapeutic response in PsA. Kasiem *et al.* tested multi-dimensional visual analogue scale (VAS), respectively 3-item (physician global, patient global and patient skin) and 4-item (with the addition of patient joint) in a cohort of patients with a recent diagnosis of PsA. They found strong correlation with already existent composite measures, in particular Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA), Psoriatic Arthritis Disease Activity Score (PASDAS) and Routine Assessment of Patient Index Data 3 (RAPID3) (19).

In a prospective multicentre cross-sectional study, Proft *et al.* evaluated the performance of a timely available quick

quantitative CRP assay to calculate DAPSA score (Q-DAPSA). The authors observed an almost perfect agreement with the conventional DAPSA in identifying different categories of disease activity; therefore, Q-DAPSA could be instrumental in optimising the treat-to-target assessment, both in clinical practice and in clinical trials (20).

An observational study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort confirmed how body mass index (BMI) may influence disease activity outcomes in PsA patients, showing that obesity was associated with a lower probability of achieving minimal disease activity (MDA) and remission; however, it did not seem to impact treatment persistence. In addition, the authors observed a significant overlapping between MDA and cDAPSA remission results across different patient groups based on their BMI value (21).

Perrotta *et al.* evaluated gender-related differences in MDA, DAPSA and Psoriatic Arthritis Impact of Disease (PsAID) results with respect to clinical remission in a multicentre cross-sectional study of longitudinal cohorts; clinical remission was judged from both the physician and patient perspectives. They found that DAPSA remission, with respect to physician-evaluated remission, was more sensitive and specific in female patients, thus confirming how different outcome indices may perform differently in the two sexes (22).

Applying DAPSA and MDA indices, Gratacós *et al.* highlighted that about 40% of Spanish patients with a diagnosis of PsA, followed in an outpatient rheumatologic setting, had an uncontrolled disease, thus stressing the need to optimise PsA patient assessment in clinical practice (23).

#### Take-home messages

- Multi-dimensional VAS agree with already validated composite measures of PsA activity (19).
- Q-DAPSA could optimise the treat-to-target PsA assessment (20).
- BMI could impact the achievement of MDA state and remission (21).
- DAPSA definition of remission was found to be more sensitive and specific in women (22).

## Comorbidities

As previously described, PsA patients tend to present multiple comorbidities, with consequences on their prognosis and quality of life (QoL).

Many studies have addressed this aspect, with particular focus on cardiovascular (CV) comorbidities. A paper from Greece showed that there was a substantial overlap in prevalence between ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and ax-PsA patients (24). By analysing patients with an early diagnosis of PsA, Ishchenko *et al.* found that they were at higher risk of developing multiple CV risk factors than healthy controls, even independently of the duration of their skin PsO, thus concluding that the comorbidity burden of PsA patients is not only related to the chronic systemic inflammation due to a long-lasting autoimmune disease (25). By applying the triglyceride-glucose index in a cohort of PsA patients, Xie *et al.* found that it was significantly associated with carotid atherosclerosis, independently of both traditional CV risk factors and psoriatic-related risk factors, thus showing that it could be a specific biomarker of atherosclerosis in these patients (26). Exploring genetic aspects, Queiro *et al.* observed that HLA-C\*06 status seemed to correlate with a better cardiometabolic profile, lower waist circumference, less hypertension and a lower BMI (27).

In addition, focusing on obesity, a Turkish multicentre study found that it correlated with higher levels of PsA activity, worse QoL and a higher risk of developing depression and anxiety (28). Interestingly, a higher risk of depression in PsA patients than in AS patients was highlighted by Fragoulis *et al.* (29). Moreover, Tabra *et al.* found that IL-23 levels directly correlated not only with PsA activity, but also with the risk of developing anxiety and depression (30). Exploring psychiatric comorbidities in patients with juvenile PsA, Low *et al.* found that the presence of skin PsO on diagnosis was independently associated with a higher risk of depressive symptoms and with worse scores of parents' global assessment (31).

Finally, data from an Italian multicentre research group showed that pain cata-

strophising and a maladaptive cognitive style observed in patients with psychiatric disorders could compromise both the achievement of remission or low disease activity, regardless of inflammation and QoL outcomes (32).

Interestingly, Kavadiachanda *et al.* observed that sarcopenia was significantly associated with a higher CV risk, thus underlining how body composition may influence patients' outcomes, reasonably in relation with the presence of ectopic adipose tissue in skeletal muscles. Therefore, a focused physical therapy to prevent sarcopenia could be added to the treatment of traditional CV risk factors and of PsA to prevent atherosclerosis in this group of patients (33).

Uveitis is the main extra-articular manifestations of ax-SpA, while its occurrence in PsA is considerably lower. Kougkas *et al.* observed that, in PsA patients, the presence of uveitis was associated with a family history of ax-SpA, an axial involvement at PsA diagnosis and with PsA disease duration. Interestingly, they also noted that, although uveitis was significantly more frequent in ax-SpA, the risk of developing subsequent ocular damage was significantly higher in PsA patients (34).

## Take-home messages

- CV risk factors prevalence in early PsA seems to be independent of PsO duration (25).
- The triglyceride-glucose index could be a specific biomarker of atherosclerosis in PsA patients (26).
- HLA-C\*06 status may protect PsA patients from CV risk (27).
- Depression seems more prevalent in PsA than in AS (29).
- IL-23 levels correlated directly with the risk of anxiety and depression (30).
- Sarcopenia in PsA patients appears to be associated with a significantly higher CV risk (33).
- The risk of ocular damage following uveitis seems higher in PsA than ax-SpA (34).

## Quality of life

It is well known that PsA patients tend to have impaired QoL outcomes and different clinical variables could have an impact.

With the aiming of analysing more in depth the relationship between female sex and low QoL, Queiro *et al.* found that a higher HAQ score, a greater intensity of pain and differences in the level of physical activity and in joint involvement at disease diagnosis could potentially alter the outcomes of the disease impact on women (35).

Navarini *et al.* highlighted that a state of low or minimal disease activity and their persistence seemed to positively influence both the physical and mental components of PsA patients' QoL (36). A recent cross-sectional observational multicentre study showed that a multimorbidity status negatively influenced physical function, while CV and psychiatric diseases worsened both physical, social and mental functioning in PsA patients (37).

To conclude, Cengiz *et al.* observed that a significant impairment of both physical and mental functioning in PsA patients could be related to the presence of nail PsO (38).

## Take-home message

- A multimorbidity-status, CV and psychiatric diseases, and nail PsO have been shown as crucial determinants of an impaired QoL in PsA patients (35-38).

## Therapy

As already discussed, the therapy of PsA has been sensitively improved in recent years. In this section we will present the more recent data on the efficacy and safety of currently available PsA treatments.

### Anti TNF- $\alpha$

TNFi have been the milestone of biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (b-DMARDs) for the treatment of PsA; we will discuss below some of the data that emerged over the last two years.

The high retention rate (RR) of golimumab in PsA was confirmed also at five years in a multicentre study by Weinstein *et al.* (39). It appeared effective also in improving both disease activity and functional outcomes in PsA patients who had previously failed TNFi therapies (40).

Both golimumab and etanercept maintained their efficacy even after extending the dosing interval between their administrations, thus suggesting that this approach could reduce healthcare costs and improve patient benefit (41, 42). The utility of dosing anti-adalimumab antibodies for optimising treatment outcomes was confirmed by Jysum *et al.* (43).

The study by Karadag *et al.* reinforced the view that TNFi were able to improve work productivity and reduce patients' impairment due to disease activity, thus assuring better QoL outcomes (44). However, it should be noted that 30–40% of patients discontinued their first TNFi within the first 12 months, primarily due to a lack of efficacy or adverse event (AEs). In particular, Ørnbjerg *et al.* found that PsA patients who stopped TNFi due to inefficacy had a poorer response to subsequent treatments, while those who discontinued because of AEs might have better outcomes with a new TNFi. These findings highlighted the importance of tailoring treatment strategies also based on patients' previous treatment experiences, to improve individualised care (45).

Exploring the efficacy of TNFi-biosimilars, Müller-Ladner *et al.* reported that switching from adalimumab to its biosimilar SB5 did not significantly impact efficacy or safety in most patients, thus supporting the use of biosimilars as a cost-effective alternative (46).

#### IL-17 inhibitors

The IL17i class is a family of drugs with an established efficacy and good safety profile, broadly used in PsA treatment. Secukinumab and ixekizumab were the first drugs to be approved, with bimekizumab following thereafter.

#### Secukinumab

A number of industry-sponsored randomised clinical trials (RCT) confirmed the efficacy of secukinumab to control both synovitis, enthesitis, dactylitis and PsO. It was the first IL17i whose efficacy on synovitis and enthesitis was confirmed also applying US. (47, 48, 49, 50).

A *post-hoc* analysis of the MAXIMISE trial confirmed the efficacy of secuki-

numab in axial-PsA, highlighting that the presence of a nail PsO seemed to be a predictor of therapeutic response (51).

By maintaining PsA patients in a low disease activity state, it seemed also able to significantly limit the extent of structural damage (52).

In the last few years, a great deal of data has emerged on IL17i RR. Secukinumab showed very good results, with values of RR going from 73.2% at 12 months to 57% at 60 months. Cardio-metabolic diseases and type 2 diabetes were significantly associated with higher values of RR, while the main reason for discontinuation was a lack of effectiveness, usually emerging in the first 6 months of therapy (53, 54). Interestingly, the RR in ax-PsA patients seemed to outperform both that of peripheral-PsA patients taking secukinumab and that of patients taking TNFi, irrespective of the disease subset (55).

Although the safety profile of secukinumab is known to be good, an analysis of PsA and AS Scandinavian patients showed a significant increase in hospitalisation rates due to infections in the first year of therapy, compared with adalimumab; however, a subsequent subanalysis attenuated these differences as the secukinumab cohort was more bDMARD-experienced and older (56).

#### Ixekizumab

The efficacy data for ixekizumab are also significant. Indeed, it seemed able to reduce cutaneous involvement independently of the severity of PsO and of previous courses of TNFi. Similarly, it improved the outcomes of axial involvement compared to placebo, with an early action and a relatively long-lasting effect (57, 58). An interesting targeted *post-hoc* analysis of a head-to-head RCT showed the superiority of ixekizumab over adalimumab in resolving the inflammation of both the “finger unit”, a well-known hallmark of PsA, and of distal interphalangeal synovitis alone (59).

These data are also confirmed by the results of the SPIRIT-P1 and -P2 studies; Coates reported that the wide spectrum of efficacy of ixekizumab was independent of previous therapy with

bDMARDs and of any association with methotrexate or other csDMARD; moreover, a reduction in the level of structural damage was also noted (60).

An Italian monocentric experience reported a RR of 43.8% at 38 months, with discontinuation related to both inefficacy and AE occurrence (never severe) (61).

#### Secukinumab and ixekizumab

Only few data on cycling between IL17i are actually available. Interestingly, Panagiotopoulos *et al.* showed that both peripheral, axial and skin outcomes could improve after the switch from secukinumab to ixekizumab in a significant percentage of patients and also the frequency of dactylitis and enthesitis decreased, thus suggesting that the cycling of IL17i could be effective, similarly to what already observe for TNFi in PsA patients (62).

Finally, focusing on a real-world setting, Joven *et al.* observed that secukinumab 150 mg was prescribed more frequently to those patients with a milder skin and joint involvement, while secukinumab 300 mg was used to treat more severe forms of PsA. Instead, patients taking ixekizumab were usually older, with a longer disease history, an active disease, more comorbidities and a higher rate of previous use of b/tsDMARDs compared to the other groups; they also showed higher rates of RR at 1 year (63).

#### Bimekizumab

Bimekizumab is a humanised monoclonal IgG1 antibody that selectively inhibits IL 17F and 17A; *in vitro* studies showed its higher efficacy in suppressing pro-inflammatory cytokines compared with the sole inhibition of IL 17A. Data from RCTs showed the efficacy of bimekizumab in joint and skin outcomes compared with placebo, in patients with PsA and inadequate response or intolerance to TNFi (64, 65). Bimekizumab safety profile was good and the most frequent AEs were SARS-CoV2 infection, oral candidiasis (with mild or moderate severity), nasopharyngitis and urinary tract infections. The data on PsA patients naive to bDMARDs showed similar efficacy and safety (66, 67).



These efficacy and safety results were confirmed after 3 years of therapy, thus suggesting it could be a promising treatment option for patients with an active PsA (68, 69).

#### *IL23 inhibitors*

IL-23 has been shown to increase the expression of IL-17 and other pro-inflammatory cytokines, thus leading to tissue inflammation and injury. Therefore, targeting IL-23 could offer an effective strategy for managing the burden of PsA, potentially reducing disease activity and improving patient outcomes.

#### *Guselkumab*

Guselkumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that selectively and specifically binds and inhibits the p19 subunit of IL23.

Coates *et al.* observed that guselkumab provided robust and sustained benefits across multiple PsA domains over 1 year for joint and cutaneous involvements, achieving a state of sustained low disease activity or remission (70). These results were confirmed also after 2 years of treatment, with additional data about enthesitis and dactylitis (71). Furthermore, it also showed a subsequent reduced radiographic progression over 2 years (72, 73). Guselkumab also seemed to improve QoL and work productivity of PsA patients (74, 75). Interestingly, guselkumab provided consistent pharmacodynamic effects in both biologic-naïve and inadequate responder to TNFi PsA patients (76).

Strober *et al.* evaluated guselkumab safety in a large population of patients with PsA, observing a favourable profile, confirmed throughout long-term treatment and across different subgroups of PsA patients, independently of previous therapy with TNFi (77, 78).

#### *Risankizumab*

Risankizumab is a fully humanised immunoglobulin G1 monoclonal antibody which, similarly to Guselkumab, specifically inhibits IL-23 by binding its p19 subunit.

In a phase 3 RCT, risankizumab demonstrated greater efficacy compared with placebo in patients with active PsA,

confirming these results across different subgroups, regardless of baseline demographics and disease characteristics, or concomitant and prior medication use (79-81).

Kristensen *et al.* evaluated the impact of risankizumab on HRQoL and observed greater improvements in both fatigue, pain and work productivity (82, 83).

#### *Ustekinumab*

Ustekinumab is a fully human IgG1 monoclonal antibody that targets the p40 subunit, shared by both IL-23 and IL-12. It was the first licensed non-TNFi bDMARD in PsO and PsA and showed efficacy in joints and skin PsA domains with a favourable safety profile.

The role of methotrexate in combination with ustekinumab in patients with PsA remains unclear. The MUST phase 3b trial highlighted that ustekinumab was an effective treatment independently of methotrexate use; furthermore, concomitant methotrexate treatment had no impact on ustekinumab immunogenicity in PsA (84, 85).

A *post-hoc* analysis of the PsABio study, as expected, showed a lower frequency of AEs in younger PsA patients; no clinically meaningful treatment response differences were observed based on patients' age, while persistence was numerically higher in patients over 60 (86). The PsABio real-world observational study also provided comparative data on ustekinumab and TNFi, showing similar data for both effectiveness, RR, safety and QoL outcomes, thus confirming that ustekinumab could be a viable long-term treatment option for PsA, with comparable persistence to TNFi in real-world settings (86, 87).

Evaluating the tolerability and comparative effectiveness, no decisive differences between drugs were observed, in particular, based on the data of the PIPA cohort, TNF-inhibitors (TNFi), IL17-inhibitors (IL17i) and IL23-inhibitors (IL23i) may all be considered equally effective in the treatment of patients with PsA (88).

#### *Apremilast*

The phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitor apremilast was the first oral tsDMARD approved for PsA.

Data from a multicentre observational prospective study underlined the efficacy of apremilast in treating early forms of PsA in biological naïve patients, improving both disease activity, extra articular manifestations and patient-centred outcomes, in association with a generally favourable tolerability profile (90). These results are consistent with a drug RR of about 60% after 3 years of therapy, as assessed in an Italian observational study (91). When compared to methotrexate, no significant differences emerged in terms of efficacy and safety (92). Real world data confirmed these results (93).

#### *JAK-inhibitors*

##### *- Tofacitinib*

Tofacitinib is the first Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor approved for PsA treatment; therefore, in recent years, much evidence has emerged regarding its efficacy and safety.

Recently, a *post-hoc* analysis of three RCTs showed that gender did not seem to impact tofacitinib efficacy, safety and RR. These data were reinforced by a real-world experience, confirming that tofacitinib RR was not influenced by gender, disease duration and comorbidities (94). Both the data from the RCTs and clinical practice showed that tofacitinib was able to effectively control enthesitis, regardless its severity or type of involvement, with an early action and low rates of relapse rate or *de novo* occurrence (95). To complete the efficacy profile of tofacitinib, we report the results of a *post-hoc* analysis of RCTs, showing good efficacy also in reducing non-inflammatory residual pain, with a subjective improvement comparable to adalimumab (96).

##### *- Upadacitinib*

Upadacitinib is a JAK inhibitor with a good selectivity for JAK1 and it is the latest tsDMARD to be approved for the treatment of PsA. The RCTs showed early efficacy in all the domains of the disease, with an acceptable safety profile. An open-label extension study, performed in a cohort of patients with an insufficient response to TNFi or other bDMARDs showed that the benefits of upadacitinib were maintained up to

152 weeks, both for peripheral and axial joint outcomes, skin outcomes and composite measures. No major safety issues emerged, but a trend towards a slight increase in AEs was noted for 30 mg daily (97, 98). Similarly to tofacitinib, also upadacitinib has been associated to an early reduction of the principal pain outcomes (99). Finally, the safety profile of the drug was thoroughly investigated; the analysed data showed that the most common AE was upper respiratory tract infections. Interestingly, the rate of major adverse CV events and venous thromboembolism was similar between upadacitinib and active comparators in PsA (100).

### Take-home messages

#### TNFi

- Golimumab confirmed its high RR at 5 years (39).
- Golimumab and etanercept maintained their efficacy even by delaying the frequency of administration (41, 42).
- TNFi are able to improve QoL outcomes in PsA (44).
- 30–40% of PsA patients discontinue TNFi due to lack of efficacy or AE occurrence (45).

#### IL17i

- Secukinumab confirmed its efficacy in controlling PsA activity across joint and cutaneous domains and showed good values of RR (47–50, 53–55).
- Secukinumab use seemed significantly associated with reduced development of structural damage (52).
- Ixekizumab also confirmed a wide spectrum of efficacy in PsA, with a superiority to adalimumab in resolving inflammation of the “finger-unit” (57–60).
- The cycling of IL17i could be effective in selected PsA patients (62).
- Efficacy and safety data of bimekizumab suggest it is a promising treatment option for active PsA (64–69).

#### IL23i

- Guselkumab showed a good efficacy in joint and skin domains, with reduced radiological progression; these results are related with subsequent improvements in QoL outcomes and work productivity (70–76).

- Guselkumab seemed to have a generally favourable safety profile (77, 78).
- Risankizumab too could be a good option for active PsA; similarly to guselkumab, its use was associated with improvements in both HRQoL and work productivity (79–83).
- Ustekinumab showed comparable efficacy and safety profile as TNFi, with similar improvements in HRQoL outcomes (86, 87).
- No significant differences in tolerability and efficacy were observed between TNFi, IL17i and IL23i in PsA patients (88).

#### Apremilast

- Apremilast showed efficacy and acceptable tolerability in PsA patients, with good values of RR (90, 91).

#### JAK-inhibitors

- Tofacitinib can effectively control enthesitis (95).
- Tofacitinib and upadacitinib are able to reduce the principal pain outcomes of PsA patients (96, 99).
- The rate of major adverse CV events and venous thromboembolism was similar between upadacitinib and active comparators in PsA (100).

### Artificial intelligence

In the last few years, a variety of different algorithms of AI have been used to examine the clinical aspects of PsA. Throughout the application of machine learning (ML) in patients with a recent-onset PsA, it was found that global pain, impact of disease, patient global assessment of disease and physical function were the variables with the greatest predictive ability for the MDA state; in particular, pain and physical functioning seemed to have a major bearing on disease burden (101).

ML algorithms were also used to evaluate those parameters more closely associated with remission achievement in PsA patients treated with secukinumab. The authors found that baseline DAPSA, fibromyalgia and axial disease were associated with a significantly lower probability of achieving remission (102).

Neural networks (NN) were applied to hand MRI scans of patients with PsA, seropositive and seronegative rheumatoid arthritis. This technique showed

good efficacy in differentiating these conditions. In particular, patients with PsO were mostly assigned to the PsA group, thus highlighting that a PsA-like MRI pattern could be noted early in PsA patients (103).

NN were also used to create a prediction model able to identify patients with PsO at high risk of PsA, thus facilitating an early diagnosis, with the subsequent prevention of damage accrual (104).

Finally, analysing data from the DISCOVER-1 and -2 RCT by using ML techniques, Richette *et al.* identified 8 different clusters of PsA, with well distinct characteristics with regard to demography, clinical aspects and response to therapy (105).

Although all these data on AI are preliminary, they emphasise the usefulness and power of these algorithms in the study of PsA and it can be easily predicted that they will be applied more and more in future studies.

### Take-home message

- Both ML and NN techniques could have a role in optimising diagnosis and clinical management of PsA (101–105).

### References

1. CIGOLINI C, FATTORINI F, GENTILESCHI S, TEREZINI R, CARLI L: Psoriatic arthritis: one year in review 2022. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2022; 40(9): 1611–19. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexp Rheumatol/x3sfxe>
2. FATTORINI F, GENTILESCHI S, CIGOLINI C *et al.*: Axial spondyloarthritis: one year in review 2023. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(11): 2142–50. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexp Rheumatol/9fhz98>
3. ZUCCHI D, SILVAGNI E, ELEFANTE E *et al.*: Systemic lupus erythematosus: one year in review 2023. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(5): 997–1008. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexp Rheumatol/4uc7e8>
4. CONTICINI E, DOURADO E, BOTTAZZI F *et al.*: Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: one year in review 2023. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2024; 42(2): 213–24. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexp Rheumatol/dh5o6>
5. ZUCCHI D, ELEFANTE E, SCHILIRÒ D *et al.*: One year in review 2022: systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2022; 40(1): 4–14. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexp Rheumatol/nolysy>
6. DOURADO E, BOTTAZZI F, CARDELLI C *et al.*: Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: one year in review 2022. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(2): 199–213. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexp Rheumatol/jof6qn>
7. DE HOOGE M, ISHCHENKO A, DE CRAEMER AS *et al.*: Extent of axial damage in psoriatic

- arthritis and spondyloarthritis: comparative data from the BEPAS and (Be-)GIANT multicentre cohorts. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(2): e002994. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2023-002994>
8. YEN TH, TSENG CW, CHEN HH *et al.*: Ultrasound-aided diagnosis of preclinical phases of psoriatic arthritis in biologic-naïve psoriasis patients with or without arthralgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2022; 40(7): 1273-79. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/albgv3>
  9. SMERILLI G, CIPOLLETTA E, DESTRO CASTANITI GM *et al.*: Doppler signal and bone erosions at the enthesis are independently associated with ultrasound joint erosive damage in psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2023; 50(1): 70-75. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.210974>
  10. MOLINA COLLADA J, MACÍÁ-VILLA C, PLASENCIA-RODRÍGUEZ C, ÁLVARO-GRACIA JM, DE MIGUEL E: Ultrasound Doppler enthesitis shows sensitivity to change after biological therapy in spondyloarthritis and psoriatic arthritis patients. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2022; 51(3): 196-204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03009742.2021>
  11. SAPUNDZHIEVA T, SAPUNDZHIEV L, KARALILOVA R, BATALOV A: a seven-joint ultrasound score for differentiating between rheumatoid and psoriatic arthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rev* 2022; 18(4): 329-37. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1573397118666220215093323>
  12. HUANG YS, HUANG YH, LIN CH, KUO CF, HUANG YJ: Ultrasound can be usefully integrated with the clinical assessment of nail and enthesitis involvement in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. *J Clin Med* 2022; 11(21): 6296. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11216296>
  13. MICHELUCCI A, DINI V, SALVIA G *et al.*: Assessment and monitoring of nail psoriasis with ultra-high frequency ultrasound: preliminary results. *Diagnostics* (Basel) 2023; 13(16): 2716. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13162716>
  14. ØSTERGAARD M, BIRD P, PACHAI C *et al.*: Implementation of the OMERACT Psoriatic Arthritis Magnetic Resonance Imaging Scoring System in a randomized phase IIb study of abatacept in psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2022; 61(11): 4305-13. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac073>
  15. GEZER HH, DURUÖZ MT: The value of SPARCC sacroiliac MRI scoring in axial psoriatic arthritis and its association with other disease parameters. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2022; 25(4): 433-39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1756-185x.14285>
  16. POLACHEK A, FURER V, ZUREIK M *et al.*: Ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging and radiography of the finger joints in psoriatic arthritis patients. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2022; 61(2): 563-71. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keab272>
  17. TEZCAN EA, LEVENDOĞLU F, DURMAZ MS *et al.*: Carpal tunnel syndrome in patients with psoriatic arthritis: ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging findings. *J Rheum Dis* 2023; 30(1): 36-44. <https://doi.org/10.4078/jrd.22.0028>
  18. FUKASAWA T, TOYAMA S, ENOMOTO A *et al.*: Utility of nailfold capillary assessment for predicting psoriatic arthritis based on a prospective observational cohort study. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(7): 2418-25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac664>
  19. KASIM FR, KOK MR, LUIME JJ *et al.*: CICERO. Construct validity and responsiveness of feasible composite disease activity measures for use in daily clinical practice in patients with psoriatic arthritis. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(4): e002972. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002972>
  20. PROFT F, SCHALLY J, BRANDT HC *et al.*: Evaluation of the Disease Activity index for PSoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) with a quick quantitative C-reactive protein assay (Q-DAPSA) in patients with psoriatic arthritis: a prospective multicentre cross-sectional study. *RMD Open* 2022; 8(2): e002626. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002626>
  21. VALLEJO-YAGÜE E, BURKARD T, MICHEROLI R, BURDEN AM: Minimal disease activity and remission in patients with psoriatic arthritis with elevated body mass index: an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort. *BMJ Open* 2022; 12(9): e061474. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061474>
  22. PERROTTA FM, SCRIFIGNANO S, TRIGIANESE P, FERRAIOLI M, CHIMENTI MS, LUBRANO E: Sensitivity and specificity of composite indices of remission in male and female patients with psoriatic arthritis: a multicenter cross-sectional study of longitudinal cohorts. *J Rheumatol* 2024; 51(3): 257-62. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.2023-0786>
  23. GRATACÓS J, PABLOS JL, DE MIGUEL E *et al.*: MiDAS Group: Disease control in patients with psoriatic arthritis in real clinical practice in Spain: MiDAS study. *Rheumatol Clin* (Engl Ed) 2023; 19(4): 204-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reumae.2022.03.008>
  24. FRAGOULIS GE, PAPPAS M, EVANGELATOS G, ILIOPOULOS A, SFIKAKIS PP, TEKTONIDOU MG: Axial psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: same or different? A real-world study with emphasis on comorbidities. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2022; 40(7): 1267-72. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/8zn9z8>
  25. ISHCENKO A, PAZMINO S, NEERINCKX B, LORIES R, DE VLAM K: Comorbidities in early psoriatic arthritis: data from the Metabolic Disturbances in Psoriatic Arthritis Cohort Study. *Arthritis Care Res* (Hoboken) 2024; 76(2): 231-40. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.25230>
  26. XIE W, BIAN W, SONG Z, DENG X, QU J, ZHANG Z: Association between triglyceride-glucose index and carotid atherosclerosis in patients with psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(11): 3584-91. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead100>
  27. QUEIRO R, COTO-SEGURA P, BRAÑA I, PINO M, BURGER S: Potential differences in the cardiometabolic risk profile of patients with psoriatic disease according to their HLA-C-06 status. *Biomed Res Int* 2022; 2022: 1451193. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1451193>
  28. GOK K, NAS K, TEKEOĞLU I *et al.*: Impact of obesity on quality of life, psychological status, and disease activity in psoriatic arthritis: a multi-center study. *Rheumatol Int* 2022; 42(4): 659-68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-021-04971-8>
  29. FRAGOULIS GE, PAPPAS M, EVANGELATOS G, ILIOPOULOS A, SFIKAKIS PP, TEKTONIDOU MG: Axial psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: same or different? A real-world study with emphasis on comorbidities. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2022; 40(7): 1267-72. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/8zn9z8>
  30. TABRA SA, ABD ELGHANY SE, AMER RA, FOUADA MH, ABU-ZAID MH: Serum interleukin-23 levels: relation to depression, anxiety, and disease activity in psoriatic arthritis patients. *Clin Rheumatol* 2022; 41(11): 3391-99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-022-06300-1>
  31. LOW JM, HYRICH KL, CIURTIN C *et al.*: CAPS Principal Investigators. The impact of psoriasis on wellbeing and clinical outcomes in juvenile psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2024; 63(5): 1273-80. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead370>
  32. CURRADO D, BIAGGI A, PILATO A *et al.*: The negative impact of pain catastrophising on disease activity: analyses of data derived from patient-reported outcomes in psoriatic arthritis and axial spondyloarthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(9): 1856-61. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/r0k9p8>
  33. KAVADICHANDA C, SHANOJ KC, GANAPATHY S *et al.*: Factors associated with high cardiovascular risk in psoriatic arthritis and non-psoriatic spondyloarthritis. *Rheumatol Int* 2022; 42(2): 251-60. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-021-05064-2>
  34. KOUKAS N, MAGIOUF K, GIALOURI CG *et al.*: Higher frequency but similar recurrence rate of uveitis episodes in axial spondyloarthritis compared to psoriatic arthritis. A multicentre retrospective study. *Rheumatol Int* 2023; 43(11): 2081-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-023-05424-0>
  35. QUEIRO R, SEOANE-MATO D, LAIZ A *et al.*: AND THE PROYECTO REAPER STUDY GROUP: Confounders contributing to explain the association between sex and disease impact in patients with recent-onset psoriatic arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(1): 137-44. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/077ul6>
  36. NAVARINI L, CURRADO D, CASO F *et al.*: Duration of clinical remission and low disease activity impacts on quality of life and its domains in psoriatic arthritis patients: results from an Italian multicentre study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2022; 40(7): 1285-92. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/tgdj0p>
  37. BIEDROŃ G, WILK M, NOWAKOWSKI J *et al.*: Impact of comorbidities on patient-reported outcomes in psoriatic arthritis: a single centre cohort study. *Rheumatol Int* 2024; 44(8): 1435-43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-024-05632-2>
  38. CENGİZ G, NAS K, KESKIN Y *et al.*: The impact of nail psoriasis on disease activity, quality of life, and clinical variables in patients with psoriatic arthritis: A cross-sectional multicenter study. *Int J Rheum Dis*



- 2023; 26(1): 43-50.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1756-185x.14442>
39. WEINSTEIN CLJ, MEEHAN AG, LIN J, BRISCOE SD, GOVONI M: Long-term golimumab persistence: Five-year treatment retention data pooled from pivotal Phase III clinical trials in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2023; 42(12): 3397-405.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-023-06760-z>
  40. ATHANASSIOU P, PSALTIS D, GEORGIADIS A et al.: Real-world effectiveness of golimumab in adult patients with rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and axial spondyloarthritis and an inadequate response to initial TNFi therapy in Greece: the GO-BEYOND prospective, observational study. *Rheumatol Int* 2023; 43(10): 1871-83.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-023-05376-5>
  41. DAMIANI A, BARTOLI F, PACINI G et al.: Persistence of remission after lengthening of golimumab in inflammatory joint diseases. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(5): 1088-95.  
<https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/k76z51>
  42. RUWAARD J, L'AMI MJ, KNEEPKENS EL et al.: Interval prolongation of etanercept in rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and psoriatic arthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2023; 52(2): 129-36.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03009742.2022.2028364>
  43. JYSSUM I, GEHIN JE, SEXTON J et al.: Adalimumab serum levels and anti-drug antibodies: associations to treatment response and drug survival in inflammatory joint diseases. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2024; 63(6): 1746-55.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead525>
  44. KARADAG O, DALKILIC E, AYAN G et al.: Real-world data on change in work productivity, activity impairment, and quality of life in patients with psoriatic arthritis under anti-TNF therapy: a postmarketing, noninterventional, observational study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2022; 41(1): 85-94.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-021-05893-3>
  45. ØRNBJERG LM, BRAHE CH, LINDE L et al.: Drug effectiveness of 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> TNF inhibitors in psoriatic arthritis - relationship with the reason for withdrawal from the previous treatment. *Joint Bone Spine* 2024; 91(4): 105729.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbspin.2024.105729>
  46. MÜLLER-LADNER U, DIGNASS A, GAFFNEY K et al.: The PROPER Study: a 48-week, pan-European, real-world study of biosimilar SB5 following transition from reference adalimumab in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory disease. *BioDrugs* 2023; 37(6): 873-89.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-023-00616-3>
  47. D'AGOSTINO MA, SCHETT G, LÓPEZ-RDZ A et al.: Response to secukinumab on synovitis using power Doppler ultrasound in psoriatic arthritis: 12-week results from a phase III study, ULTIMATE. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2022; 61(5): 1867-76.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keab628>
  48. D'AGOSTINO MA, CARRON P, GAILLEZ C et al.: Effects of secukinumab on synovitis and enthesitis in patients with psoriatic arthritis: 52-week clinical and ultrasound results from the randomised, double-blind ULTIMATE trial with open label extension. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2023; 63: 152259.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2023.152259>
  49. KIRKHAM B, NASH P, REINA D et al.: Efficacy of secukinumab on dactylitis in patients with active psoriatic arthritis from the FUTURE 5 study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(3): 589-96.  
<https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/vezf95>
  50. NGUYEN T, CHURCHILL M, LEVIN R et al.: Secukinumab in United States biologic-naïve patients with psoriatic arthritis: results from the randomized, placebo-controlled CHOICE study. *J Rheumatol* 2022; 49(8): 894-902.  
<https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.210912>
  51. BARALIAKOS X, POURNARA E, GOSSEC L et al.: Predictors of response to secukinumab in patients with psoriatic arthritis and axial manifestations: a post-hoc analysis of the MAXIMISE trial. *RMD Open* 2022; 8(2): e002303.  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002303>
  52. COATES LC, MEASE PJ, GLADMAN DD, NAVARRA S, BAO W, GAILLEZ C: Secukinumab improves physical function and quality of life and inhibits structural damage in patients with PsA with sustained remission or low disease activity: results from the 2-year phase 3 FUTURE 5 study. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(2): e002939.  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002939>
  53. RUSCITTI P, PANTANO I, PERROTTA FM et al.: The assessment of the drug retention rate of secukinumab in patients with psoriatic arthritis in a real-life multicentre cohort. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2024; 42(1): 69-76.  
<https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/tpp63h>
  54. GLADMAN DD, CHOQUETTE D, KHRAISHI M et al.: Real-world retention and clinical effectiveness of secukinumab for psoriatic arthritis: results from the Canadian Spondyloarthritis Research Network. *J Rheumatol* 2023; 50(5): 641-48.  
<https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.220823>
  55. ADAMI G, IDOLAZZI L, BENINI C et al.: Secukinumab retention rate is greater in patients with psoriatic arthritis presenting with axial involvement. *Reumatismo* 2023; 75(1).  
<https://doi.org/10.4081/reumatismo.2023.1559>
  56. GLINTBORG B, DI GIUSEPPE D, WALLMAN JK et al.: Is the risk of infection higher during treatment with secukinumab than with TNF inhibitors? An observational study from the Nordic countries. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(2): 647-58.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac358>
  57. ARMSTRONG AW, JALEEL T, MEROLA JF et al.: Ixekizumab demonstrates rapid and consistent efficacy for patients with psoriatic arthritis, regardless of psoriasis severity. *Dermatol Ther* 2024; 14(6): 1615-31.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13555-024-01188-y>
  58. DEODHAR A, GLADMAN D, BOLCE R et al.: The effect of ixekizumab on axial manifestations in patients with psoriatic arthritis from two phase III clinical trials: SPIRIT-P1 and SPIRIT-P2. *Ther Adv Musculoskeletal Dis* 2023; 15: 1759720X231189005.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1759720X231189005>
  59. MCGONAGLE D, KAVANAUGH A, MCINNES IB et al.: Association of the clinical components in the distal interphalangeal joint synovio-entheseal complex and subsequent response to ixekizumab or adalimumab in psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2024; 63(11): 3115-23.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keae060>
  60. COATES LC, MEASE P, KRONBERGS A et al.: Efficacy and safety of ixekizumab in patients with active psoriatic arthritis with and without concomitant conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: SPIRIT-P1 and SPIRIT-P2 3-year results. *Clin Rheumatol* 2022; 41(10): 3035-47.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-022-06218-8>
  61. BELLIS E, RUSCITTI P, DONZELLA D et al.: Retention rate of ixekizumab in psoriatic arthritis: a real-world study. *J Pers Med* 2024; 14(7): 716.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm14070716>
  62. PANAGIOTOPOULOS A, KOUTSIANAS C, KOUKAS N et al.: Ixekizumab therapy following secukinumab inadequate response in psoriatic arthritis: a case series focusing on axial disease. *Rheumatol Int* 2023; 43(5): 969-73.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-023-05289-3>
  63. JOVEN B, MANTECA CF, RUBIO E et al.: Real-world persistence and treatment patterns in patients with psoriatic arthritis treated with anti-IL17 therapy in Spain: The PERFIL-17 study. *Adv Ther* 2023; 40(12): 5415-31.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-023-02693-w>
  64. MEROLA JF, LANDEWÉ R, MCINNES IB et al.: Bimekizumab in patients with active psoriatic arthritis and previous inadequate response or intolerance to tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$  inhibitors: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial (BE COMPLETE). *Lancet*. 2023; 401(10370): 38-48.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)02303-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)02303-0)
  65. COATES LC, LANDEWÉ R, MCINNES IB, MEASE PJ, RITCHLIN CT, TANAKA Y: Bimekizumab treatment in patients with active psoriatic arthritis and prior inadequate response to tumour necrosis factor inhibitors: 52-week safety and efficacy from the phase III BE COMPLETE study and its open-label extension BE VITAL. *RMD Open* 2024; 10(1): e003855.  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2023-003855>
  66. MCINNES IB, ASAHINA A, COATES LC et al.: Bimekizumab in patients with psoriatic arthritis, naïve to biologic treatment: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial (BE OPTIMAL). *Lancet* 2023; 401(10370): 25-37.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)02302-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)02302-9)
  67. RITCHLIN CT, COATES LC, MCINNES IB et al.: Bimekizumab treatment in biologic DMARD-naïve patients with active psoriatic arthritis: 52-week efficacy and safety results from the phase III, randomised, placebo-controlled, active reference BE OPTIMAL study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2023; 82(11): 1404-14.  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/ard-2023-224431>
  68. COATES LC, MCINNES IB, MEROLA JF et al.: Safety and efficacy of bimekizumab in



- patients with active psoriatic arthritis: three-year results from a Phase IIb randomized controlled trial and its open-label extension study. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2022; 74(12): 1959-70. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.42280>
69. MEASE PJ, ASAHINA A, GLADMAN DD *et al.*: Effect of bimekizumab on symptoms and impact of disease in patients with psoriatic arthritis over 3 years: results from BE ACTIVE. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(2): 617-28. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac353>
  70. COATES LC, RITCHLIN CT, GOSSEC L *et al.*: Guselkumab provides sustained domain-specific and comprehensive efficacy using composite indices in patients with active psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(2): 606-16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac375>
  71. COATES LC, GOSSEC L, ZIMMERMANN M *et al.*: Guselkumab provides durable improvement across psoriatic arthritis disease domains: post hoc analysis of a phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *RMD Open* 2024; 10(1): e003977. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2023-003977>
  72. GOTTLIEB AB, MCINNES IB, RAHMAN P *et al.*: Low rates of radiographic progression associated with clinical efficacy following up to 2 years of treatment with guselkumab: results from a phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of biologic-naïve patients with active psoriatic arthritis. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(1): e002789. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002789>
  73. MEASE PJ, GOTTLIEB AB, OGDIE A *et al.*: Earlier clinical response predicts low rates of radiographic progression in biologic-naïve patients with active psoriatic arthritis receiving guselkumab treatment. *Clin Rheumatol* 2024; 43(1): 241-49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-023-06745-y>
  74. RITCHLIN CT, MEASE PJ, BOEHNCKE WH *et al.*: Durable control of psoriatic arthritis with guselkumab across domains and patient characteristics: post hoc analysis of a phase 3 study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2024; 43(8): 2551-63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-024-06991-8>
  75. CURTIS JR, MCINNES IB, RAHMAN P *et al.*: The effect of guselkumab on work productivity in biologic-naïve patients with active psoriatic arthritis through week 52 of the Phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled DISCOVER-2 Trial. *Adv Ther* 2022; 39(10): 4613-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-022-02270-7>
  76. SIEBERT S, COATES LC, SCHETT G *et al.*: Modulation of interleukin-23 signaling with guselkumab in biologic-naïve patients versus tumor necrosis factor inhibitor-inadequate responders with active psoriatic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2024; 76(6): 894-904. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.42803>
  77. STROBER B, COATES LC, LEBWOHL MG *et al.*: Long-term safety of guselkumab in patients with psoriatic disease: an integrated analysis of eleven Phase II/III clinical studies in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. *Drug Saf* 2024; 47(1): 39-57. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-023-01361-w>
  78. RAHMAN P, BOEHNCKE WH, MEASE PJ *et al.*: Safety of guselkumab with and without prior tumor necrosis factor inhibitor treatment: pooled results across 4 studies in patients with psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2023; 50(6): 769-80. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.220928>
  79. KRISTENSEN LE, KEISERMAN M, PAPP K *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of risankizumab for active psoriatic arthritis: 24-week results from the randomised, double-blind, phase 3 KEEPSAKE 1 trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022; 81(2): 225-31. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221019>
  80. ÖSTÖR A, VAN DEN BOSCH F, PAPP K *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of risankizumab for active psoriatic arthritis: 24-week results from the randomised, double-blind, phase 3 KEEPSAKE 2 trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022; 81(3): 351-58. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221048>
  81. MEROLA JF, ARMSTRONG A, KHATTRI S *et al.*: Efficacy of risankizumab across subgroups in patients with active psoriatic arthritis: a post hoc integrated analysis of the phase 3 KEEPSAKE 1 and KEEPSAKE 2 randomized controlled trials. *J Dermatolog Treat* 2024; 35(1): 2342383. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546634.2024.2342383>
  82. KRISTENSEN LE, SOLIMAN AM, PAPP K *et al.*: Risankizumab improved health-related quality of life, fatigue, pain and work productivity in psoriatic arthritis: results of KEEPSAKE 1. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(2): 629-37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac342>
  83. OSTOR AJK, SOLIMAN AM, PAPP KA *et al.*: Improved patient-reported outcomes in patients with psoriatic arthritis treated with risankizumab: analysis of the Phase 3 trial KEEPSAKE 2. *RMD Open* 2022; 8(2): e002286. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002286>
  84. KOEHM M, ROSSMANITH T, FOLDENAUER AC *et al.*: MUST INVESTIGATOR GROUP. METHOTREXATE PLUS USTEKINUMAB VERSUS USTEKINUMAB MONOTHERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH ACTIVE PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS (MUST): a randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase 3b, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2023; 5(1): e14-e23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913\(22\)00329-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(22)00329-0)
  85. MOJTAHED POOR S, HENKE M, ULSHÖFER T *et al.*: The role of antidrug antibodies in ustekinumab therapy and the impact of methotrexate. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(12): 3993-99. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead177>
  86. GOSSEC L, THEANDER E, CHAKRAVARTY SD *et al.*: Response to treatment in psoriatic arthritis, the effect of age: analysis of patients receiving ustekinumab in the PsABio real-world study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2023; 25(1): 100. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-023-03078-8>
  87. GOSSEC L, SIEBERT S, BERGMANS P *et al.*: Persistence and effectiveness of the IL-12/23 pathway inhibitor ustekinumab or tumour necrosis factor inhibitor treatment in patients with psoriatic arthritis: 1-year results from the real-world PsABio Study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022; 81(6): 823-30. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221640>
  88. STISEN ZR, NIELSEN SM, SKOUGAARD M *et al.*: Tolerability and comparative effectiveness of TNF, IL-17 and IL-23(p19) inhibitors in psoriatic arthritis: a target trial emulation study. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2024; 63(6): 1543-51. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead488>
  89. GOSSEC L, SIEBERT S, BERGMANS P *et al.*: Long-term effectiveness and persistence of ustekinumab and TNF inhibitors in patients with psoriatic arthritis: final 3-year results from the PsABio real-world study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2023; 82(4): 496-506. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard-2022-222879>
  90. SFIKAKIS PP, VASSILOPOULOS D, KATSIKIS G *et al.*: Apremilast for biologic-naïve, peripheral psoriatic arthritis, including patients with early disease: results from the APROACH observational prospective study. *Rheumatol Int* 2023; 43(5): 889-902. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-022-05269-z>
  91. ARIANI A, PARISI S, DEL MEDICO P *et al.*: Apremilast retention rate in clinical practice: observations from an Italian multi-center study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2022; 41(10): 3219-25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-022-06255-3>
  92. SAMANTA J, NAIDU G, CHATTOPADHYAY A *et al.*: Comparison between methotrexate and apremilast in psoriatic arthritis-a single blind randomized controlled trial (APREMEPSA study). *Rheumatol Int* 2023; 43(5): 841-48. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-023-05315-4>
  93. GRATACÓS-MASMITJA J, BELTRÁN CATALÁN E, ÁLVAREZ VEGA JL *et al.*: PREVAIL TEAM: Real-world apremilast use in biologic-naïve psoriatic arthritis patients: data from Spanish clinical practice. *Reumatol Clin (Engl Ed)* 2024; 20(1): 24-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2023.09.004>
  94. EDER L, GLADMAN DD, MEASE P *et al.*: Sex differences in the efficacy, safety and persistence of patients with psoriatic arthritis treated with tofacitinib: a post-hoc analysis of phase 3 trials and long-term extension. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(1): e002718. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002718>
  95. BRAÑA I, LOREDO M, PARDO E, BURGER S, FERNÁNDEZ-BRETÓN E, QUEIRO R: Patients with psoriatic arthritis-related enthesitis and persistence on tofacitinib under real-world conditions. *J Rheumatol* 2024; 51(7): 682-66. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.2024-0016>
  96. DOUGADOS M, TAYLOR PC, BINGHAM CO 3RD *et al.*: The effect of tofacitinib on residual pain in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis. *RMD Open* 2022; 8(2): e002478. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002478>
  97. MEASE P, SETTY A, PAPP K *et al.*: Upadacitinib in patients with psoriatic arthritis and inadequate response to biologics: 3-year results from the open-label extension of the randomised controlled phase 3 SELECT-PsA 2 study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(11): 2286-97. <https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/817bbk>
  98. BARALIAKOS X, RANZA R, ÖSTÖR A *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of upadacitinib in patients with active psoriatic arthritis and axial involvement: results from two phase 3 studies. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2023; 25(1): 56.

- <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-023-03027-5>
99. MCINNES IB, OSTOR AJK, MEASE PJ *et al.*: Effect of upadacitinib on reducing pain in patients with active psoriatic arthritis or ankylosing spondylitis: post hoc analysis of three randomised clinical trials. *RMD Open* 2022; 8(1): e002049. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2021-002049>
  100. BURMESTER GR, COHEN SB, WINTHROP KL *et al.*: Safety profile of upadacitinib over 15 000 patient-years across rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis and atopic dermatitis. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(1): e002735. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002735>
  101. QUEIRO R, SEOANE-MATO D, LAIZ A *et al.*; PROYECTO REAPSER STUDY GROUP. Characteristics associated with the perception of high-impact disease (PsAID  $\geq 4$ ) in patients with recent-onset psoriatic arthritis. Machine learning-based model. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2022; 57: 152097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2022.152097>
  102. VENERITO V, LOPALCO G, ABBRUZZESE A *et al.*: A machine learning approach to predict remission in patients with psoriatic arthritis on treatment with secukinumab. *Front Immunol* 2022; 13: 917939. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.917939>
  103. FOLLE L, BAYAT S, KLEYER A *et al.*: Advanced neural networks for classification of MRI in psoriatic arthritis, seronegative, and seropositive rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2022; 61(12): 4945-51. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac197>
  104. LEE LT, YANG HC, NGUYEN PA, MUHTAR MS, LI YJ: Machine learning approaches for predicting psoriatic arthritis risk using electronic medical records: population-based study. *J Med Internet Res* 2023; 25: e39972. <https://doi.org/10.2196/39972>
  105. RICHELLE P, VIS M, OHRNDORF S *et al.*: Identification of PsA phenotypes with machine learning analytics using data from two phase III clinical trials of guselkumab in a bio-naïve population of patients with PsA. *RMD Open* 2023; 9(1): e002934. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002934>