Benefit/risk of cyclosporine in rheumatoid arthritis

E. Gremese, G.F. Ferraccioli

Elisa Gremese, MD; Gianfranco Ferraccioli, MD.

Please address correspondence to: Prof. Gianfranco Ferraccioli, MD, Division of Rheumatology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, School of Medicine, CIC-Via Moscati 31, 00168 Rome, Italy. E-mail: gf.ferraccioli@rm.unicatt.it

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2004; 22 (Suppl. 35): S101-S107.

© Copyright Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology 2004.

Key words: Cyclosporine, rheumatoid arthritis.

ABSTRACT

Combination therapy has emerged as a crucial therapeutic tool to control aggressive rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Cyclosporine (CsA) when combined with methotrexate (MTX) has shown substantial benefit in clinical practice. The primary benefit is its positive effect in the control of joint-bone erosions. The most feared adverse effect is the development of nephrotoxicity, which may be in part hemodynamic and in part structural, i.e. fibrotic. Careful monitoring of concomitant drugs, hypertension and through blood levels should allow the patient to maintain normal renal function. The successful employment of CsA in lupus nephritis clearly supports this statement.

Introduction

In the era of biological (anti-TNF) therapy for rheumatoid arthritis (RA), we could ask ourselves what might be an appropriate place for cyclosporine A (CsA) in the treatment of this disease. It is believed that T cells are crucial in the early and later stages of synovitis and for the persistence of inflammation, together with macrophages (1, 2). This constituted the rationale for the use of CsA in RA, the effects of which on T lymphocytes have been extensively studied (3,4). More recent studies have highlighted the inhibitory action of CsA on other proinflammatory cells, including neutrophils (5) and antigen presenting cells (APC) (6).

Actions of cyclosporine A

CsA inhibits T cell activation by interfering with calcium-dependent signalling events involved in lymphokine gene transcription. Once it enters a cell, CsA binds to cyclophilin and this complex competitively inhibits the enzymatic activity of calcineurin, a serine/ threonine phosphatase required for the dephosphorylation of nuclear factor for activated T cells (NF-AT) and activated protein-1 (AP-1) (7). This blockade prevents NF-AT and AP-1 translocation into the nucleus and results in the failure to initiate the transcription of several T-cell cytokine genes, such as IL-2 (8), IL-3, IL-4, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and interferon- γ (IFN- γ) (9, 10). The result is inhibition of T-cell activation and suppression of T-cell dependent immune responses. CsA also appears to have additional actions.

Several studies have suggested the importance of another cytokine, IL-17, in the complex cytokine network that regulates bone destruction and inflammation in RA (11-13). IL-17, which is produced by CD4+ CD45RO+ memory T cells (14) of the rheumatoid synovium, also induces synoviocytes to produce IL-6, IL-8, GM-CSF and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), a major mediator of inflammation, and monocytes/macrophages to produce TNF- α , IL-1 and nitric oxid (NO) (16), and stimulates osteoclastogenesis, increasing the expression of the receptor activator of the nuclear factor kB ligand (RANKL) (12,15). Ziolkowska et al. (17) has shown that IL-15, a critical cytokine contributing to the pathogenesis of RA (18, 19), but not TNF- α , induces the production of IL-17. Thus IL-15-triggered IL-17 secretion is completely or partially blocked in the presence of low doses of CsA, suggesting a further pathway of action of CsA and a possible rationale for combination therapy with anti TNF- α drugs.

CsA also interferes with the inducible degradation of NF- κ B inhibitors (20), leading to a decrease in NF- κ B transcripting effects, which is also important for IL-15 gene activation.

Cho and collaborators (21) evaluated the effect of CsA on rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts (FLS) and found that CsA increases the production of NF- κ B and of IL-10, an antagonist of Th1 and proinflammatory cytokines (22, 23), and decreases IL-15 and TNF– α transcription in the FLS through a cAMP–dependent pathway.

Another mechanism of action of CsA is the up-regulation of the expression and synthesis by T cells and macrophages of transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF- β 1), a cytokine with immunosuppressive effects that is able to down-regulate Th1 cells toward Th2 function and to switch off antigen-presenting cells (24).

In RA affected joints, chronic inflammation is also maintained by neovascularization, required to transport inflammatory cells and oxygen to the hyperplastic synovial membrane. Among the numerous angiogenic factors, several studies (25, 26) have demonstrated that RA angiogenesis is associated with enhanced expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a potent endothelial cell mitogen. Hernandez et al. demonstrated in vitro and in vivo that CsA inhibits the migration of primary endothelial cells and the angiogenesis induced by VEGF; this effect appears to be mediated through the CsA-dependent inhibition of cyclooxygenase 2 (Cox-2), a gene induced by VEGF via NF-AT activation (27). Another study (28) showed that CsA, by down-regulating AP-1, inhibits both constitutive and TGFb-induced VEGF production by rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts. These findings suggest an antiangiogenic property of CsA and thus an additional positive effect on disease activity.

The benefits of cyclosporine A – Clinical studies

Clinicians began to study CsA for the treatment of RA in 1980, after the observation of a therapeutic effect in some psoriatic patients (29). In the first studies in RA patients, CsA was generally used as a "rescue therapy" in patients who were non-responders to other common DMARDs and in monotherapy. The other general features in these trials were that patients had long-standing disease at entry with poor prognostic factors and several previous failed drugs, and CsA was administered at high doses (ranging between 5 and 10 mg/Kg/day). Overall these open (30-34), as well as controlled or randomized studies (35-39), initially evaluating small groups of patients, indicated clinical efficacy but also a great number of adverse events.

Subsequent clinical studies performed in patients with early RA using lower doses of CsA demonstrated good control over clinical and radiologic progression. Pasero and colleagues (40), in a prospective randomised trial with a blind radiologic endpoint, obtained similar clinical results when treating patients with cyclosporine (mean dose 3 mg/Kg/day) or other common DMARDs, but the CsA group showed a significant delay in erosion progression, with acceptable tolerability. Zeidler et al. (41) in an 18-month randomized study obtained similar results in slowing radiologic progression of joint damage with CsA and parenteral gold, when the analysis was performed on an intention-to-treat basis. After analyzing only the valid compliant completers, the CsA group showed significantly lower disease progression and better tolerability. In fact, more "gold" patients than "CsA" patients withdrew from the study because of adverse events, even though renal damage was seen with CsA in an extension study at 3 years (42).

Drosos and collaborators (43) compared CsA with methotrexate in early RA patients in a 42-month prospective study, and found substantial clinical improvement, no serious side effects and no radiographic progression in about 70% of patients in each group (at 3 1/2 years of follow-up). These findings could suggest the importance of an early pharmacologic intervention, as well as the use of effective and safe drugs, to prevent articular damage and to preserve function.

According to these clinical trial data, CsA monotherapy shows at least similar efficacy to the other conventional DMARDs. However, in practice monotherapy cannot properly control disease activity in most patients. Moreover, radiological progression may be observed, although it is slower than that seen with placebo.

These findings led to the use of combination therapy in several clinical trials, with additive efficacy when cyclosporine (50) was combined with methotrexate (MTX), antimalarial agents or gold salts (44-49, 53).

Due to the need to initiate treatment as soon as possible in the disease course to assure optimal results, aggressive therapeutic approaches were attempted in two recent studies. The first study employed an aggressive regimen at onset with two or more DMARDs ("stepdown" approach), the second began with one DMARD and added a second in patients with an incomplete response ("step-up" approach). Marchesoni et al. (51) treated 57 patients suffering from early and non-erosive arthritis with a combination of CsA and MTX for 6 months, obtaining a good ACR response, and then randomised the patients to receive CsA or MTX alone. After 24 months, 72% of CsA patients have discontinued the study, mainly because of loss of efficacy, compared to a drop-out rate of 14% among those taking MTX. Both groups showed progression in radiographic erosion scores. In the study of Proudman and colleagues (52), aggressive therapy with MTX, CsA and intra-articular corticosteroids was introduced at onset in early RA with a poor prognosis. This combination led to a faster response but not to a significant improvement in the outcome compared with sulfasalazine (SSZ) monotherapy. The authors concluded that another - e.g. step-up approach is needed.

The step-up approach was used by Ferraccioli *et al.* (54), who reported the results of a 3-year prospective study in early RA patients who were treated initially with MTX or CsA or SSZ monotherapy, followed by step-up combination therapy with two or three drugs in cases of poor response. More than 70% of patients receiving combination therapy obtained the primary end-point of an American College of Rheumatology criteria 50% response (ACR 50) at the 18-month follow-up with tolerable side effects.

Hochberg and collaborators (55), in a literature review of randomised placebo-controlled trials, analysed the results of step-up strategy therapy in patients who showed an incomplete response to MTX, and found no significant differences in the achievement of ACR 20 criteria with the addition of

cyclosporine, etanercept, infliximab or leflunomide. These conclusions could be limited by the use of the ACR 20 response, which may not be sensitive enough to differentiate between two or more active drugs. Two recent reviews documented that cyclosporin A has similar efficacy to other DMARDs, including anti-TNF agents, in slowing radiographic progression (56, 57).

Little is known about combination therapy with anti-TNF, other than with MTX, although a possible additive therapeutic effect in association with CsA has been demonstrated in collagen-induced arthritis in rats (58). Temekonidis *et al.* (59) treated with infliximab a series of 18 severe refractory RA patients who were receiving low dose CsA (2 mg/Kg/day) and could not tolerate MTX, with a satisfactory response and good tolerability.

The potential benefit of CsA as a steroid-sparing drug and in inducing partial or complete remission in Still's disease, a condition with an extensive inflammatory response characterized by high levels of IL-6 and IFN– γ , has also been documented (60-62).

Risk of cyclosporine A – The pathogenesis of nephrotoxicity

CsA therapy is associated with a series of side effects (Table I), most of which are reversible with an adjustment of the dose. The most significant adverse effect is acute or chronic nephrotoxicity. Acute CsA nephrotoxicity takes the form of functional damage without permanent structural injury, which reverses when the CsA is reduced or discontinued. Acute nephrotoxicity is linked to a renal imbalance in the vasoconstrictor and vasodilator mediators, causing intra-renal vasoconstriction (mainly in the afferent arterioles) and a decrease in the renal blood flow (RBF) and impairment of the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (64, 65). There is evidence that a large number of mediators are implicated in functional renal damage since only partial improvement is seen with treatments targeting these mediators; the pathogenesis of CsAinduced vasoconstriction is multi-factorial and not entirely understood (66) (Table II). Several studies point to a Table I. Adverse events associated with cyclosporine (63).

Adverse events	Relative frequency (%)	Withdrawal frequency (%)	
More serious			
Abnormal renal function	20-30 ^a	1%	
Irreversible renal damage	$< 1^{b}$	_	
Hypertension	10 ^c	< 1	
Infection	$< 1^{d,e}$	< 1	
Less serious			
Hypertrichosis	15-20	< 1	
Diarrhea	3-5	< 1	
Nausea	5-10	1	
Headache	5-10	< 1	
Paresthesia	5-10	< 1	
Tremor	3-5	< 1	
Edema	3-5	< 1	
Hypomagnesemia	< 1	_	

^a Associated with starting renal function, age and dose of CsA.

^bRare with proper dosage adjustment.

^c More frequent with underlying hypertension.

^d With lower CsA doses seen primarily in patients taking concomitant cytotoxic therapy.

 $^{e} < 1\% = rare occurrence.$

Table II. Factors	implicated	in CsA nep	hrotoxicity.
-------------------	------------	------------	--------------

Acute nephrotoxicity	Ref.	Chronic nephrotoxicity	Ref.
Endothelin (↑)	69, 70	Endothelin ([†])	69, 70
Angiotensin II (↑)	67, 68	Angiotensin II (↑)	81
Nitric oxide (\downarrow)	73	Nitric oxide (\downarrow)	73
Prostaglandin (\downarrow)	71, 72	Aldosterone (\uparrow)	83
Leukotrienes ([↑])	100	TGF- β (\uparrow)	78
Sympathetic system (↑)	74	Macrophage infiltration (\uparrow)	84
Free radicals (\uparrow)	66	Osteopontin (↑)	84
Adenosine	"	$COX-2 (\downarrow)$	72
Vasopressin (↑)	"	VEGF (\downarrow)	66
Platelet activation factor (\uparrow)		Metalloproteinase (\downarrow)	"
Atrial natriuretic factor	"	TIMP (\uparrow)	"
Kallikrein-kinin system	"		
Cholesterol (↑)	"		
Hypomagnesemia			
Extracellular volume depletion	"		
Direct tubular epithelial cell toxicity			

role of CsA in the activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (67, 68), the enhanced release of endothelin-1 (a potent vasoconstrictor) by renal epithelial cells (69, 70), an imbalance in the ratio of the vasodilator prostacyclin and the vasoconstrictor thromboxane A2 (in animal models CsA also suppresses the expression of renal cyclooxygenase-2) (71, 72), impairment of endothelium-dependent vasodilatation mediated by nitric oxide (73), and activation of the sympathetic system (74).

Chronic CsA-induced nephropathy is characterized by an irreversible striped interstitial fibrosis, tubular atrophy with the accumulation of focal inflammatory cells, and degenerative hyaline changes in the afferent arteriole walls (even if recent studies found evidence that arteriolopathy, but not tubulointerstitial changes, are reversible after discontinuing CsA) (75-77). The development of chronic CsA damage is complex and mediated by several factors. One of the most important cytokines implicated in the pathogenesis of renal fibrosis is TGF-b, which acts by stimulating extracellular matrix deposition in the mesangium and reducing collagenase expression (78). However, not all studies confirmed that the administration of anti-TGF-B antibodies could completely prevent renal damage (79, 80), thus suggesting the role of other factors. Indeed, there is evidence that angiotensin II activates fibroblasts and induces extracellular matrix deposition (81), an effect blocked by enalapril (82). In rats, aldosterone-blockade (spironolactone) prevents the decrease in renal function and the up-regulation of TGF-β (83).

Table II presents a series of the possible factors involved in CsA nephrotoxicity.

Risks of side effects – Clinical studies

It is well documented that irreversible renal histological lesions can appear in transplant patients after just six months of high dose CsA therapy (85) with possible progression over time even after CsA dose reduction (86). There is less unanimity regarding the long-term nephrotoxic effects of the low-dose regimens used in autoimmune diseases. Several clinical studies have evaluated the correlation between CsA therapy, impairment of renal function and histologic findings. In the International Kidney Biopsy Registry of Cyclosporin (Sandimmune) in Autoimmune Diseases, CsA abnormalities were found in 4 of 41 patients who had received a maximum CsA dose of 4.6 mg/Kg/day for 16 months; none of 11 renal biopsies taken from RA patients who did not undergo CsA therapy showed these abnormalities (87). Sund et al. (88) reported findings consistent with CsAinduced nephropathy in 4 out of 10 renal specimens in RA patients treated with <5 mg/Kg/day of CsA for about 40 months.

In a long-term follow-up of cyclosporine therapy, biopsies were performed on 60 RA patients who were given CsA for a mean of 19 months and a second biopsy was taken in 14 patients after 39 months of CsA therapy (89). CsA-related morphologic changes were noted in 5 of 60 patients at the first biopsy and in one further patient at the second biopsy. Nephropathy was not seen in patients whose initial cyclosporine dosage was less than 4 mg/Kg/day and who subsequently received doses no higher than 5 mg/Kg/day. Abnormalities in renal function were reversible on discontinuation or reduction of CsA. The conclusion that low-dose cyclosporin results in a low risk of developing renal damage was reached also by Landewe and colleagues, who found a similar incidence of renal structure abnormalities in 11 RA patients treated with a mean CsA dosage of 4.4 mg/ Kg/day (with a decrease in creatinine clearance of 26%) and in 22 RA patients with previous gold or D-penicillamine therapy (90).

Vercauteren and collaborators (91) performed a meta-analysis of the literature on CsA-induced nephrotoxicity in autoimmune diseases. They selected 18 controlled, randomised reports published between 1986 and 1995, eleven of which were conducted in RA patients, three in psoriasis, three in Crohn's disease and one in uveitis. In these trials the CsA dosage was < 10mg/Kg/day (mean 4.8 mg/Kg/day) and dose adjustment was performed if serum creatinine increased by more than 30% to 50%. All the studies reported a statistically significant rise in serum creatinine level for CsA-treated patients compared with their baseline value and with a control group. The weighted percentage increase in serum creatinine level of all studies was 17% in the CsA-treated group (852 patients) compared to 1.7% in the control group (763 patients). Thirteen of the selected papers reported a reversibility of the renal impairment, even if in seven among these the reversibility was only partial (five studies did not mention any follow-up results). The authors conclude that the administration of CsA in patients with autoimmune diseases implies a certain (definite) risk of nephrotoxicity and that a rigorous evaluation of the risk-benefit ratio is necessary for each patient with a careful follow-up (92). However, to date there is an agreement that the strict application of the well established guidelines for safe CsA use can prevent irreversible loss of renal function (93-95, 96-99).

Moreover, CsA was used successfully in the treatment of lupus nephritis (a condition which itself causes renal function impairment, leading to a rise in serum creatinine and chronic renal histopathologic lesions) with no significant alterations in serum creatinine. A repeat renal biopsy in some of these SLE patients after CsA therapy did not show CsA-related toxicity findings (101-106).

Conclusion

CsA was the first drug that helped the rheumatologists to understand the true role of T cells in the rheumatoid inflammation. Monotherapy with CsA is of limited benefit, but combination therapy with CsA and methotrexate represents one of the best options to control aggressive disease. In these cases it could represent a real options prior to TNF blockers. More than ten years of experience have clearly documented that the actual risk of nephrotoxicity is limited when guidelines are strictly followed. Good results in lupus nephritis provide support for this conclusion.

References

- KLIMIUK PA, GORONZY JJ, BJORNSSON J, BECKENBAUGH RD, WEYAND CM: Tissue cytokine patterns distinguish variants of rheumatoid synovitis. *Am J Pathol* 1997; 151: 1311-9.
- VAN BOXE JA, PAGET SA: Predominant T cell infiltrated in rheumatoid synovial membrane. N Engl J Med 1975; 293: 517-20.
- BOREL IF, FEURER C, STAHELIN H: Biological effects of cyclosporine A: a new antilymphocytic agent. *Agents Actions* 1976; 6: 468-75.
- 4. THROAT SP, THATTE UM, PAI N, DAHAN-UKAR SA: Inhibition of phagocytes by cyclosporine in vitro. Q J Med 1994; 87: 311-4.
- SPISANI S, FABBRI E, MUCCINELLI M et al.: Inhibition of neutrophil responses by cyclosporine A. An insight into molecular mechanisms. *Rheumatology* 2001, 40: 794-800.
- 6.CHEN T, GUO J, YANG M et al.: Cyclosporin A impairs dendritic cell migration by regulating chemokine receptor expression and inhibiting cyclooxygenase-2 expression. *Blood* 2004; 103: 413-21.
- SCHREIBER SL, CRABTREE GR: The mechanism of action of cyclosporin A and FK 506. *Immunol Today* 1992; 13: 136-42.
- GRANELLI-PIPERNO A: In situ hybridization for interleukin 2 and interleukin 2 receptor mRNA in T cells activated in the presence or absence of cyclosporin A. J Exp Med 1988; 168: 1649-58.

- SIGAL NH, DUMONT FJ: Cyclosporin A, FK 506 and rapamycin: pharmacologic probes of lymphocyte signal transduction. *Ann Rev Immunol* 1992; 10: 519-60.
- HEROLD HC, LANCKI DW, MOLDWIN RL, FITCH FW: Immunosuppressive effects of cyclosporine A on cloned T cells. *J Immunol* 1986; 136: 1315-21.
- CHABAUD M, DURAND JM, BUCHS N et al.: Human interleukin-17: a T-cell derived proinflammatory cytokine produced by the rheumatoid synovium. Arthritis Rheum 1999; 42: 963-70.
- KOTAKE S, UDAGAWA N, TAKAHASHI N et al.: IL-17 in synovial fluids from patients with rheumatoid arthritis is a potent stimulator of osteoclastogenesis. J Clin Invest 1999; 103: 1345-52.
- 13. CHABAUD M, LUBBERTS E, JOOSTEN L, VAN DEN BERG W, MIOSSEC P: IL-17 derived from juxta-articular bone and synovium contributes to joint degradation in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res* 2001; 3: 168-77.
- 14. YAO Z, PAINTER SL, FANSLOW WC *et al.*: Human IL-17: a novel cytokine derived from T cells. *J Immunol* 1995; 155: 5483-6.
- 15. NAKASHIMA T, KOBAYASHI Y, YAMASAKI S *et al.*: Protein expression and functional differences on membrane bound and soluble receptor activator of NF-κB ligand: modulation of the expression by osteotropic factors and cytokines. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000; 265: 768-75.
- 16. DI BATTISTA JA, MARTEL PELLETIER J *et al.*: IL-17 stimulates the production and expression of proinflammatory cytokines, IL-1β and TNF-α, by human macrophages. *J Immunol* 1998; 160: 3513.
- 17. ZIOLKOWSKA M, KOC A, LUSZCZYKIE-WICZ G et al.: J Immunol 2000; 8: 500-8.
- McINNES IB, AL-MUGHALES J, FIELD M et al.: The role of IL-15 in T cell migration and activation in rheumatoid arthritis. *Nature Med* 1996; 2: 175-82.
- McINNES IB, LIEW FY: Interleukin 15: a proinflammatory role in rheumatoid arthritis synovitis. *Immunol Today* 1998; 19: 75-9.
- 20. MARIENFELD R, NEUMANN M, CHUVPILO S et al.: Cyclosporin A interferes with the inducible degradation of NF-κB inhibitors, but not with the processing of p105/ NF-κB1 in T cells. Eur Jimmunol 1997; 27: 1601-9.
- 21. CHO ML, KIM WU, MIN SY *et al.*: Cyclosporin differentially regulates interleukin-10, interleukin-15 and tumor necrosis factor a production by rheumatoid synoviocytes. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 42-51.
- 22. FIORENTINO DF, ZLOTNIK A, VIEIRA P et al.: IL-10 acts on the antigen presenting cell to inhibit cytokine production by Th1 cells. J Immunol 1991; 146: 3444-51.
- 23. SCHOTTELIUS AJ, MAYO MV, SARTOR RB, BALDWIN AS JR: Interleukin-10 signalling blocks inhibitor of kappaB kinase activity and nuclear factor kappa-B DNA binding. J Biol Chem 1999; 274: 31868-74.
- 24. AHUJA SS, PALIOGIANNI F, YAMADA H, BALOW JE, BOUMPAS DT: Effect of transforming growth factor-β1 on early and late activation events in human T cells. *J Immunol* 1993; 150: 3109-18.
- 25. FAVA NA, OLSEN NJ, SPENCER-GREEN G et

al.: Vascular ermeability factor/endothelial growth factor (VPF/VEGF): accumulation and expression in human synovial fluid and rheumatoid synovial tissue. *J Exp Med* 1994; 180: 341-6.

- 26. NAGASHIMA M, YOSHINO S, ISHIWATA T, ASANO G: Role of vascular endothelial growth factor in angiogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1995; 22: 1624-30.
- 27. HERNANDEZ GL, VOLPERT OV, INIGUEZ MA *et al.*: Selective inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor-mediated angiogenesis by cyclosporin A: roles of the nuclear factor of activated T cells and ciclooxygenase 2. *J Exp Med* 2001; 93: 607-20.
- CHO ML, CHO CS, MIN SY *et al.*: Cyclosporine inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor production in rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 1202-9.
- HERMANN B, MULLER W: Die therapy der chronischen polyarthritis mit cyclosporin A, einem neuen immunosuppressivum. Akt Rheumatol 1979; 4: 173-86.
- DOUGADOS M, AMOR B: Cyclosporin A in rheumatoid arthritis. Preliminary clinical results of an open trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 1987; 30: 83-6.
- 31. DOUGADOS M, DUSCHENE L, AWADA H, AMOR B: Assessment of efficacy and acceptability of low dose cyclosporin in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1989; 48: 550-6.
- 32. FORRE O, BJERKHOEL F, SALVESEN CF et al.: An open, controlled, randomised comparison of cyclosporin and azathioprine in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a preliminary report. Arthritis Rheum 1987; 30: 88-92.
- WEINBLATT ME, COBLYN JS, FRASER PA et al.: Cyclosporin A treatment of refractory rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1987; 30: 11-7.
- 34. MADHOK R, TORLEY HI, CAPELL HA: A study of the long-term efficacy and toxicity of cyclosporine A in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 1991; 18: 1485-9.
- TUGWELL P, BOMBARDIER C, GENT M et al.: Low-dose cyclosporin versus placebo in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet 1990; 335: 1051-5.
- 36. AHERN MJ, BRADLEY J, HARRISON W, LAING B, HOLLINGSWORTH P, BAYLISS C: A randomised double blind trial of cyclosporine and azathioprine in refractory rheumatoid arthritis. Aust N J Z Med 1991; 21: 844-9.
- 37. KRUGER K, SCATTENKIRCHNER M: Comparison of cyclosporin A and azathioprine in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: results of a double blind multicenter study. *Clin Rheumatol* 1994; 13: 248-55
- 38. VAN RIJTHOVEN AW, DIJKMANS BA, THE HS, et al.: Comparison of cyclosporin and Dpenicillamine for rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised, double blind, multicenter study. J Rheumatol 1991; 18: 815-20.
- 39. FORRE O: Radiologic evidence of disease modification in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with cyclosporine. Results of a 48week multicenter study comparing low-dose cyclosporine with placebo. Norwegian Arthritis Study Group. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994; 37: 1506-12.
- 40. PASERO G, PRIOLO F, MARUBINI E et al.:

Slow progression of joint damage in early rheumatoid arthritis treated with cyclosporin A. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996; 39: 1006-15.

- 41. ZEIDLER HK, KVIEN TK, HANNONEN P et al.: Progression of joint damage in early active severe rheumatoid arthritis during 18 months of treatment: comparison of low-dose cyclosporin and parenteral gold. Br J Rheumatol 1998; 37: 874-82.
- 42. KVIEN TK, ZEIDLER HK, HANNONEN P *et al.*: Long term efficacy and safety of cyclosporine versus parenteral gold in early rheumatoid arthritis: a three year study of radiographic progression, renal function, and arterial hypertension. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002; 61: 511-16.
- 43. DROSOS AA, VOULGARI PV, KATSARAKI A, ZIKOU AK: Influence of cyclosporin A on radiological progression in early rheumatoid arthritis patients: a 42-month prospective study. *Rheumatol Int* 2000; 19: 113-18.
- 44. BENSEN W, TUGWELL P, ROBERTS R: Combination therapy of cyclosporin with methotrexate and gold in rheumatoid arthritis (2 pilot studies). J Rheumatol 1994; 21: 2034-8.
- 45. SALAFFI F, CAROTTI M, CERVINI C: Combination therapy of cyclosporin A with methotrexate or hydroxychloroquine in refractory rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1996; 25: 16-23.
- 46. TUGWELL P, PINCUS T, YOCUM D: Combination therapy with cyclosporin and methotrexate in severe rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 1995; 333: 137-41.
- 47. BENDIX G, BJELLE A: Adding low-dose cyclosporin A to parenteral gold therapy in rheumatoid arthritis : a double-blind placebocontrolled study. *Br J Rheumatol* 1996; 35: 1142-9.
- 48. VAN DE BORNE BEEM, LANDEWÉ RBM, GOEI THE HS: Combination therapy in recent onset rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised double blind trial of the addition of low dose cyclosporin to patients with low dose chloroquine. Scand J Rheumatol 1998; 1493-8
- 49. TIRRI G, LA MONTAGNA G, SALAFFI F: Combination therapy with cyclosporin A and hydroxychloroquine in early active severe rheumatoid arthritis [Abstract 397]. Arthritis Rheum 1997; 40 (Suppl. 9): S97.
- 50. GERARDS AH, LANDEWÉ RBM, PRINS APA et al.: Cyclosporin A monotherapy versus cyclosporin A and methotrexate combination therapy in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: a double blind randomised placebocontrolled trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2003; 62: 291-6.
- MARCHESONI A, BATTAFARANO N, ARRE-GHINI M *et al.*: Step-down approach using either cyclosporin A or metotrexate as mainteinance therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 47: 59-66.
- 52. PROUDMAN SM, CONAGHAN PG, RICHARD-SON C et al.: Treatment of poor-prognosis early rheumatoid arthritis. A randomised study of treatment with metotrexate, cyclosporin A, and intraarticular corticosteroids compared with sulfasalazine alone. Arthritis Rheum 2000; 43: 1809-19
- 53. MARCHESONI A, BATTAFARANO N, ARRE-GHINI M, PANNI B, GALLAZZI M, TOSI S: Radiographic progression in early rheuma-

toid arthritis: a 12-month randomised controlled study comparing the combination of cyclosporin and methotrexate with methotrexate alone. *Rheumatology* 2003; 42: 1545-9.

- 54. FERRACCIOLI GF, GREMESE E, TOMIETTO P, FAVRET G, DAMATO R, DI POI E: Analysis of improvement, full responses, remission and toxicity in rheumatoid patients treated with step-up combination therapy (methotrexate, cyclosporin A, sulfasalazine) or monotherapy for three years. *Rheumatology* 2002; 41: 892-8.
- 55. HOCHBERG MC, TRACY JH, FLORES RH: "Stepping-up" from methotrexate: a systematic review of randomised placebo controlled trials in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with an incomplete response to methotrexate. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001; 60: 51-4.
- 56. PINCUS T, FERRACCIOLI GF, SOKKA T et al.: Evidence from clinical trials and longterm observational studies that disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs slow radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis: updating a 1983 review. *Rheumatology* 2002: 41: 1346-56.
- 57. JONES G, HALBERT J, CROTTY M, SHANA-HAN EM, BATTERHAM M, AHERN M: The effect of treatment on radiological progression in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review of randomised placebo-controlled trials. *Rheumatology* 2003; 42: 6-13.
- 58. WILLIAMS RO, MAURI C, MASON LJ et al.: Therapetic action of cyclosporine and antitumor necrosis factor alpha in collagen-induced arthritis and the effect of combination therapy. Arthritis Rheum 1998; 41: 1806-12.
- 59. TEMEKONIDIS TI, GEORGIADIS AN, ALA-MANOS Y, BOUGIAS DV, VOULGARI PV, DROSOS AA: Infliximab treatment in combination with cyclosporin A in patients with severe refractory rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002: 61: 822-5.
- 60. GERLONI V, CIMAZ R, GATTINARA M, AR-NOLDI C, PONTIKAKI I, FANTINI F: Efficacy and safety profile of cyclosporin A in the treatment of juvenile chronic (idiopathic) arthritis. Results of a 10-year prospective study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2001; 40: 907-13.
- REIFF A, RAWLINGS DJ, SHAHAM B *et al.*: Preliminary evidence for cyclosporin A as an alternative in the treatment of recalcitrant juvenile rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile dermatomyositis. *J Rheumatol* 1997; 24: 2436-43.
- 62. MARCHESONI A, CERAVOLO GP, BATTA-FARANO N, ROSSETTI A, TOSI S, FANTINI F: Cyclosporin A in the treatment of adult onset Still's disease. *J Rheumatol* 1997; 24: 1582-7.
- 63. YOCUM DE: Cyclosporin: Adverse effects and practical management. In *Cyclosporine*. *Clinical Application in Autoimmune Dis eases*. Philadelphia, Mosby-Wolfe Medical Communications, 2000; 179-202.
- 64. ENGLISH J, EVAN A, HOUGHTON DC, BEN-NETT WM: Cyclosporine-induced acute renal disfunction in the rat. Evidence of arteriolar vasoconstriction with preservation of tubular function. *Transplantation* 1987; 44: 135-41.
- 65. POTIER M, WOLF A, CAMBAR J: Comparative study of cyclosporin A, cyclosporin G,

and the novel cyclosporin derivative IMM 125 in isolated glomeruli and cultured rat mesangial cells: a morphometric analysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1998; 13: 1406-11.

- 66. BURDMANN EA, ANDOH TF, YU L, BEN-NETT WM: Cyclosporine nephrotoxicity. *Semin Nephrol* 2003; 23: 465-76.
- 67. GARDINER DS, WATSON MA, JUNOR BJ, BRIGS JD, MORE IA, LINDOP GB: The effect of conversion from cyclosporin to azathioprine on renin-containing cells in renal allograft biopsies. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1991; 6: 363-7.
- LASSILA M: Interaction of cyclosporin A and the renin-angiotensin system; new perspectives. *Curr Drug Metab* 2002; 3: 61-71.
- NAKAHAMA H: Stimulatory effect of cyclosporine A on endothelin secretion by a cultured renal epithelial cell line, LLC-PK1 cells. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1990; 180: 191-2.
- WILASRUMSEE C, ONDOCIN P, BRUCH D et al.: Amelioration of cyclosporin A effect on microvasculature by endothelin inhibitor. Surgery 2003; 134: 384-9.
- VOSS BL, HAMILTON KK, SAMARA EN, MCK-EE PA: Cyclosporin suppression of endothelial prostacyclin generation: a possible mechanism of nephrotoxicity. *Transplantation* 1988; 45: 793-6.
- HOCHERL K, DREHER F, VITZTHUM H, KOHLER J, KURTZ A: Cyclosporin A suppresses cyclooxygenase-2 expression in the rat kidney. J Am Soc Nephrol 2002; 13: 2427-36.
- 73. GALLEGO MJ, GARCIA VILLALON AL, LOPEZ FARRE AJ *et al.*: Mechanisms of endothelial toxicity of cyclosporin A. Role of nitrc oxide, cGMP, and Ca2+. *Circ Res* 1994; 74: 477-84.
- 74. LYSON T, MCMULLAN DM, ERMEL LD, MOR-GAN BJ, VICTOR RG: Mechanism of cyclosporin-induced sympathetic activation and acute hypertension in rats. *Hypertension* 1994; 23: 667-75.
- BENNETT WM, DEMATTOS A, MEYER MM, ANDOH T, BARRY JM: Chronic cyclosporine nephropathy: the Achilles' heel of immunosuppressive therapy. *Kidney Int* 1996; 50: 1089-100.
- 76. LI C, YANG CW, KIM WY et al.: Reversibility of chronic cyclosporine nephropathy in rats after withdrawal of cyclosporine. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2003; 284: F389-98.
- 77. HAMAHIRA K, IIJIMA K, TANAKA R, NA-KAMURA H, YOSHIKAWA N: Recovery from cyclosporine-associated arteriolopathy in childhood nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2001; 16: 723-7.
- VIEIRA JM JR, NORONHA IL, MALHEIROS DM, BURDMANN EA: Cyclosporine-induced interstitial fibrosis and arteriolar TGF-beta expression with preserved renal blood flow. *Transplantation* 1999; 68: 1746-53.
- 79. ISLAM M, BURKE JF JR, McGOWAN TA et al.: Effect of anti-transforming growth factor-beta antibodies in cyclosporine-induced renal disfunction. *Kidney Int* 2001; 59: 498-506.
- 80. XIN J, HOMMA T, MATSUSAKA T et al.: Suppression of cyclosporine A nephrotoxicity in vivo by transforming growth factor beta receptor-immunoglobulin G chimeric pro-

tein. Transplantation 2004; 77: 1433-42.

- RUIZ-ORTEGA M, EGIDO J: Angiotensin II modulates cell growth-related events and synthesis of matrix proteins in renal interstitial fibrosis. *Kidney Int* 1997; 52: 1497-510.
- 82. JOHNSON DW, SAUNDERS HJ, VESEY DA, QI W, FIELD MJ, POLLOCK CA: Enalaprilat directly ameliorates *in vitro* cyclosporin nephrotoxicity in human tubulo-interstitial cells. *Nephron* 2000; 86: 473-81.
- 83. FERIA I, PICHARDO I, JUAREZ P et al.: Therapeutic benefit of spironolactone in experimental chronic cyclosporine A nephrotoxicity. *Kidney Int* 2003; 63: 43-52.
- 84. LI C, YANG CW, KIM WY et al.: Reversibility of chronic cyclosporine nephropathy in rats after withdrawal of cyclosporine. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2003; 284: F389-98.
- RUIZ P, KOLBECK PC, SCROGGS MW, SAN-FILIPPO F: Associations between cyclosporine therapy and interstitial fibrosis in renal allograft biopsies. *Transplantation* 1988; 45: 91-5.
- 86. FIORETTO P, STEFFES MW, MIHATSCH MJ, STROM EH, SHUTERLAND DE, MAUER M: Cyclosporine associated lesions in native kidneys of diabetic pancreas transplant patients. *Kidney Int* 1995; 48: 489-95.
- 87. INTERNATIONAL KIDNEY BIOPSY REGISTRY OF CYCLOSPORIN (SANDIMMUNE) IN AUTOIM-MUNE DISEASES: Renal morphology after cyclosporin A therapya in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Br J Rheumatol* 1993; 31 (Suppl. 1): 65-71.
- 88. SUND S, FORRE O, BERG KJ, KVIEN TH, HOVIG T: Morphological and functional renal effects of long-term low-dose cyclosporin A treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Nephrol* 1994; 41: 33-40.
- 89. RODRIGUEZ F, KRAYENBUHL JC, HARRI-SON WB *et al.*: Renal biopsy findings and follow-up of renal function in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with cyclosporin A. An update from the International Kidney Biopsy Registry. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996; 39: 1491-8.
- 90. LANDEWE RB, DIJKMANS BA, VAN DEN WOUDE FJ, BREEDVELD FC, MIHATSCH MJ, BRUJIN JA: Long-term, low-dose cyclosporin in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: renal function loss without structural nephropathy. *J Rheumatol* 1996; 23: 61-4.
- 91. VERCAUTEREN SB, BOSMANS JL, ELSE-VIERS LL, VERPOOTEN GA, DE BROE ME: A meta-analysis and morphological review of cyclosporine-induced nephrotoxicity in autoimmune diseases. *Kidney Int* 1998; 54: 536-45.
- 92. ZACHARIAE H: Renal toxicity of long-term cyclosporin. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1999; 28: 65-8.
- 93. SCHIFF MH, WHELTON A: Renal toxicity associated with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 30: 196-208.
- 94. VAN DEN BORNE BE, LANDEWE RB, GOEI THE HS, BREEDVELD FC, DIJKMANS BA: Cyclosporin A therapy in rheumatoid arthritis: only strict application of the guidelines for the safe use can prevent irreversible renal function loss. *Rheumatology* 1999; 38: 254-9.

- 95. OLYAEI AJ, DE MATTOS AM, BENNETT WM: Nephrotoxicity of immunosuppressive drugs: new insight and preventive strategies. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2001; 7: 384-9.
- MIHATSCH M, WOLFF K: Consensus conference on cyclosporin A for psoriasis. Br J Dermatol 1992; 126: 621-3.
- PANAYI GS, TUGWELL P: The use of cyclosporin A microemulsion in rheumatoid arthritis: conclusions of an international review. *Br J Rheumatol* 1997; 36: 808-11.
- PANAYI GS, TUGWELL P: The use of cyclosporin A in rheumatoid arthritis: conclusion of an international review: *Br J Rheumatol* 1994; 33: 1-3.
- 99. CUSH JJ, TUGWELL P, WEINBLATT M, YO-CUM D: US consensus guidelines for the use of cyclosporine A in rheumatoid arthritis. J

Rheumatol 26: 1176-86.

- 100. BUTTERLY DW, SPURNEY RF, RUIZ P, GRIFFITHS R, ALBRIGHTSON C, COFFMAN TM: A role for leukotrienes in cyclosporine nephrotoxicity. *Kidney Int* 2000; 57: 2586-93.
- 101. FAVRE H, MIESCHER PA, HUANG YP, CHATELANAT F, MIHATSCH MJ: Cyclosporin in the treatment of lupus nephritis. Am J Nephrol 198; 9 (Suppl. 1): 57-60.
- 102. BALLETTA M, SABELLA D, MAGRI P et al.: Cyclosporin plus steroids versus steroids alone in the treatment of lupus nephritis. Contrib Nephrol 1992; 99: 129-30.
- 103. DOSTAL C, TESAR V, RYCHLIK I *et al.*: Effect of one year cyclosporine A treatment on the activity and renal involvement of systemic lupus erythematosous: a pilot

study. Lupus 1998; 7: 29-36.

- 104. HU W, LIU Z, SHEN S *et al.*: Cyclosporine A in the treatment of membranous lupus nephropathy. *Chin Med J* (Engl.) 2003; 116: 1827-30.
- 105. MIESCHER PA, FAVRE H, MIHATSCH MJ, CHATELANAT F, HUANG YP, ZUBLER R: The place of cyclosporin A in the treatment of connective tissue diseases. *Transplant Proc* 1988; 20 (Suppl.): 224-37.
- 106. TAM LS, LI EK, LEUNG CB *et al.*: Long-term treatment of lupus nephritis with cyclosporin A. *QJM* 1998; 91: 573-80.
- 107. FU LW, YANG LY, CHEN WP, LIN CY: Clinical efficacy of cyclosporin neural in the treatment of pediatric lupus nephritis with heavy proteinuria. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998; 37: 217-21.