# **Prognostic factors for erosive rheumatoid arthritis**

T.E. Markatseli, C. Papagoras, A.A. Drosos

Rheumatology Clinic, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece. T.E. Markatseli, MD C. Papagoras, MD A.A. Drosos, MD, FACR

Please address correspondence to: Alexandros A. Drosos, MD, FACR Professor of Medicine/Rheumatology, Rheumatology Clinic, Department ofInternal Medicine, Medical School, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece. E-mail:

adrosos@cc.uoi.gr; www.rheumatology.gr Received on April 13, 2009; accepted in revised form on June 26, 2009.

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2010; 28: 114-123. © Copyright CLINICAL AND

EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2010.

**Key words:** Erosive rheumatoid, autoantibodies, acute phase reactants, smoking, HLA-DRB1, early erosive imaging.

# ABSTRACT

The course of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) varies among patients, ranging from a mild disease with a small impact on patient's functional capacity to a severe, erosive and catastrophic disease accompanied by subluxations, deformities and subsequent poor quality of life. In clinical practice, the prediction of the outcome of RA is substantial in terms of making the right therapeutic decision for each patient. Reliable prognostic factors of long-term outcome are needed, so as to distinguish patients prone to severe disease course from patients with a smaller probability of severe structural damage. For the former group early aggressive treatment is required, whereas in the latter group remission may be achieved with less aggressive and potentially less toxic treatments. In the present review, the predictive role of demographic, clinical, laboratory, imaging, immunological and genetic characteristics of RA patients is discussed.

# Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic inflammatory autoimmune disease characterised by symmetrical polyarthritis of the small and the large joints, morning stiffness and various extra-articular manifestations (EAM). It affects mainly women (female/ male ratio 3:1), with a prevalence, varying worldwide, between 0.5-1% (1, 2). The disease is characterised by a considerable heterogeneity, in terms of clinical expression and long-term course, thus making it difficult to establish optimal strategies for the treatment of patients with RA, particularly of those with early disease. During the recent years though, it has become clear that RA treatment should aim at suppressing the inflammatory process early and effectively, in order to relieve patient symptoms and achieve better long-term functional and structural outcomes. The goal of early and effective treatment is pursued through

the early use of disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARD) (3, 4), primarily methotrexate (MTX) and through close monitoring of disease activity. Tight disease control by means of timely escalation of drug doses, introduction of DMARD combinations and addition of biological agents have been effective in controlling disease activity and/or achieving remission (5-17). However, more aggressive treatment strategies are often associated with adverse events, as well as higher costs, factors curtailing the unconditional and widespread use of these therapeutic options. Hence, the prospect of distinguishing which patient with early RA is to run a severe disease course and who is not, will be of great value in clinical practice. Such predictors of disease outcome would ideally channel aggressive treatments to patients with adverse prognostic factors, and would reserve less aggressive treatments for patients with a more favourable disease profile, thus preventing undue adverse events and high treatment costs.

## **Radiological changes**

Concerning the various long-term RA outcomes, radiological progression is considered a key variable, because it reflects the cumulative damage in bones and cartilage. The quality of life and functionality of a patient in the long term are influenced, at least in part, by the joint deterioration seen in x-rays (18-20). The x-rays of hands and wrists are commonly used in observational studies, not only because they are easily obtained, but also because the radiological damage in hands and wrists is considered representative of the total structural damage a patient has sustained (21). Figure 1 shows the progression of the radiological damage of a RA patient over a 10-year period. The damage in the small joints of hands and feet predicts the damage in the large joints (22). The small joints of feet are eroded earlier than those of the





hands according to Hulsmans *et al.* (23), however, x-rays of feet are not always evaluated in the studies.

Plenty of scoring systems of x-rays of hands, wrists and feet have been developed so far, in order to quantify joint damage. Each one focuses on different features of structural damage such as erosions, joint space narrowing, osteoporosis, soft tissue swelling, subluxation, ankylosis, cyst formation etc. The most common systems are Sharp score, Larsen score and their modifications (24-27). Genant score (28, 29), Ratingen score (30), and other scoring methods have also been proposed and are minor variations of the previous two. No matter which scoring system is used in observational studies, the finding that the radiological damage increases in the disease course is common among the studies. However, the rate of the radiological progression differs between patients. Thus, a small proportion of RA patients present more than 50% of the

maximum possible damage at first 5 years from the disease onset, whereas others have no damage even after 20 years (31). Graudal et al. described the patterns of radiological progression (32). Five main types of progression were suggested: 1) a rare type (<1%), with no radiographic progression at all; 2) a type with a slow or moderate onset, but an accelerating progression (39%); 3) a type with a moderate-tofast onset and a later stable progression rate (11%); 4) a type with a fast onset, but a later decreasing progression rate (30%); and 5) a type characterised by slow onset, then acceleration and later deceleration (20%). Scott suggested that these patterns can be reduced to 4 similar patterns: 1) Linear progression; 2) Rapid onset with a later plateau; 3) Slow onset with acceleration and 4) Non progression (31). In the study by Machold et al. (33), erosive disease developed in 63.6% of the patients over 3 years, with the majority (74.3%) already appearing

1. Rheuma-Fig. toid arthritis with a two-year disease duration: posteroanterior view of the wrist demonstrating early changes with osteopenia and mild loss of joint spaces and some erosions in a pancarpal distribution (A). Same patient, 8 years later: posteroanterior view of the wrist showing advanced changes with osteopenia severe joint space narrowing and extensive erosions in a pancarpal distribution (B).

in the first year and 97.2% by the end of the second year, whereas in another study 95% of the RA patients had at least 1 eroded joint over 6 years (23). Numerous multivariate analyses have been conducted in order to identify possible prognostic factors of radiological progression in RA. There are differences between their results, possibly due to different study design and to different length of follow-up. Differences in treatment may also be responsible for these conflicting results, since biologic agents (with a more potent anti-erosive efficacy than traditional DMARDs) are used in the more recent studies. Several long-term observational studies have been published so far. Table I summarises potential prognostic factors of ra-

The aim of this article is to review the prognostic role of demographic, genetic, clinical, laboratory, immunological and imaging characteristics of RA patients, in order to provide potential prognostic clues for structural damage, when evaluating these patients.

diological progression in RA.

## **Demographic factors** *Age*

There are conflicting results in the literature with regard to the role of age at the time of RA diagnosis in predicting the radiological outcome. Peltomaa et al. (34) showed that patients with late onset RA (>55 years) had higher Larsen score for hands at baseline in comparison with patients with early onset RA (<55 years), but during the follow up the radiological progression was the same in both groups. Similarly, in the study by Papadopoulos et al., elderly RA patients (>60 years) presented with more severe joint involvement at disease onset than younger patients, but age at disease onset did not influence the outcome in terms of radiological progression (35). On the contrary, in the long-term study by Kaarela et al. (36), old age at disease onset correlated with radiological progression. However other long-term studies have not confirmed the relationship between age at disease onset and radiological progression (37, 38).

# Sex

Female sex is considered a predictive

#### REVIEW

## REVIEW

factor of poor outcome in RA. Indeed, in several studies it has been identified as an independent predictor of radiological progression. Female sex was the strongest prognostic factor of Larsen progression at 2 years in the stepwise logistic regression analysis of Sanmarti's study (39) and the second [after anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies (anti-CCP)] strongest contributor to the overall prediction model in the 10-year study by Syversen et al. (38). On the other hand, in another observational study, male sex was a major prognostic factor of remission [Disease activity score-28 joints (DAS-28 < 2.6)] at 5 years and at other time points (40). In the same study women showed less favourable disease course, while these results could not be explained by differences in disease duration, age and treatment with DMARDs or steroids. However female sex was not a prognostic factor of radiological deterioration in another study (41).

## **Disease duration**

Disease duration constitutes an important predictive factor for radiological damage. It has been shown that the radiological damage at presentation correlates with the duration of disease symptoms or patient's complaints prior to the first visit to the doctor (42). Longlasting untreated disease may result in catastrophic joint damage (43,44).

#### **Body mass index**

In the study by Kaufmann et al. (45), body mass index (BMI) at baseline was found to correlate with the radiographic damage in terms of the annual increase of the Larsen score. In a stepwise logistic regression analysis, low body weight was proved to be a significant predictor of rapid joint damage. The authors proposed BMI as a sensitive and inflammation-independent predictor of radiological outcome of RA. However, the hypothesis that BMI is independent of inflammation is debated in the literature. Abnormal body composition (abnormal proportion and body distribution of fat and lean mass) has been described in patients with RA, even in those in normal weight BMI range (46). The combination of muscle loss and fat

Demographic	Genetic
- Age	- Shared epitope
- Sex	- PTPN22 gene
- Disease duration	Autoantibodies
- Smoking	- Rheumatoid factor
- Body mass index	- Anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies
Clinical	- Anti-peptidyl-arginine deiminase-4 antibodies
- Symmetrical polyarthritis	Bone markers
- Disease activity score	- Matrix metalloproteinase-3
- Health assessment questionnaire score	- RANKL/OPG ratio
- Extra-articular manifestations	- Human cartilage glycoprotein-39
Inflammatory markers	- Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein
- Erythrocyte sedimentation rate	- Collagen cross-linked C-telopeptide
- C-reactive protein	Early imaging damage

mass gain, referred to as "sarcopenic obesity", is seen in those patients. An association of reduced body cell mass with inflammatory cytokine levels in patients with RA has been described years ago (47). Additionally, adipose tissue itself is a potent source of inflammatory adipokines, such as tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ , interleukin-6, adiponectin and others, that may contribute to systemic inflammation through induction of hepatic C-reactive protein (CRP) production (48).

#### **Cigarette smoking**

Among the life style factors that have been implicated in the prognosis of RA (2), cigarette smoking is the most studied. Smokers show higher radiological damage than never smokers, while this association seems to be time- and dose-dependent (49-53). Manfredsdottir et al. (54) suggested that smokingassociated joint damage in RA patients may be mediated by smoking-induced immunological changes, including rheumatoid factor (RF) production. In contrast, cigarette smoking did not correlate with radiological damage in other studies (55-57). In the study by Finckh et al. (55), radiographic joint damage progressed at an equivalent rate in smokers and non-smokers, although heavy smokers demonstrated significant less radiographic disease progression than mild smokers and generally more favourable functional outcomes, suggesting that cigarette smoking may be important in the initiation of RA, but may not accelerate RA disease progression. In the study by Westhoff et al. (56), it was shown that RA patients who smoke have a higher need for DMARDs and feel worse, but they do not have more joint damage than non-smokers with the same RF status. In addition, cigarette smoking has also been associated with EAM and particularly with rheumatoid nodules, which are factors associated with progressive joint damage (2).

# **Clinical features**

There are conflicting results from the literature concerning the predictive value of various clinical parameters for radiographic damage in RA. In the long-term study by Kaarela et al. (36), symmetrical polyarthritis in peripheral joints at disease onset and morning stiffness strongly correlated with destructive joint disease. The number of swollen joints was an independent predictive factor of radiological progression. None of these factors were shown to be independent prognostic factors of radiological progression at 10 years in the study by Courvoisier et al. (37). In the recent report by Machold et al. (33), none of the clinical variables at onset was useful to distinguish patients with erosive and non-erosive disease, but, in the final regression model, cumulative clinical activity substantially contributed to explaining radiological progression. Thus, cumulative measures of disease activity including joint counts, pain estimation on visual analogue scale, acute phase reactants and DAS 28 predicted radiological progression. Similar results were obtained not only for DAS-28 but also for simplified disease activity index (SDAI) and clinical disease activity index (CDAI) in an-

other study (58), where the time-averaged composite disease activity measures were associated with radiological progression. With regard to large joints only and particularly the elbows (59), the mean DAS-28-CRP (3) both at 2 and at 10 years were higher in patients of the group with more deteriorated elbows (defined as a Larsen grade  $\geq$ 3 at 10 years) than those of the group with less deteriorated elbows (defined as Larsen grade  $\leq$ 2 at 10 years).

# Health Assessment Questionnaire score

According to published studies, the functional capacity in RA based on Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) score is influenced by disease activity in early RA and by joint damage in established RA (18-20). However HAQ score at baseline did not predict radiological progression in several studies (37, 38, 60, 61), indicating that the presumed association between HAQ and radiographic score possibly appears later in the disease course.

# Extra-articular manifestations

In the past, EAM have been correlated with male sex, cigarette smoking, worse joint damage, elevated inflammatory markers and the presence of RF and the shared epitope (SE) (62). Among the EAM those considered to be predictive of radiological progression in RA are rheumatoid nodules and anemia of chronic disease (low serum iron and normal ferritin levels) (63). Indeed, when erosions were considered as the outcome variable in RA course, nodules and anemia predicted erosions with a high odds ratio similar to that of RF and the SE (64). After stratification of RA patients to nodular and non-nodular, in the study by Saraux et al. (65), it was shown that nodular patients presented with an accelerated rate of radiological progression than non-nodular patients. Although the relationship between erosive disease and extra-articular manifestations remains uncertain, de Rycke et al. (66) suggested that RF is implicated in the pathogenesis of both of them in RA patients.

#### **Inflammatory markers**

Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) is a recognized predictive factor of radiographic deterioration in most studies. Both short-term (64, 67-69) and longterm (36-38, 70) studies are in agreement with this. On the contrary, the predictive role of CRP is doubted in the literature. The results of the short-term studies show that CRP at baseline either correlates with radiological progression (67, 71) or is not prognostic (72). In the study by Plant et al. (73), the radiological progression was 5-fold higher in patients with high levels of CRP than in patients with low CRP levels. In longterm studies, CRP is either not studied (70, 74), or is found not prognostic (36, 37) or, if found prognostic, it was not an independent factor for radiological damage (38). However, as mentioned above, the mean concentration of CRP over the years correlates statistically significantly with joint damage progression (75). These controversial results merit further investigation.

## **Genetic factors**

The presence of the SE-containing DRB1 alleles and especially of HLA-DRB1\*0401 has been found to play a role in RA progression, leading to more severe forms of disease in many short-term studies (67, 76-81). According to the results by Rojas-Villarraga et al. (82), the median time until the appearance of substantial radiographic joint damage (defined as a Sharp/van der Heijde erosive score equal to 5) decreased as the number of the SE alleles increased, reflecting a more rapid damage in patients with two SE alleles in comparison with patients with one or no SE alleles. In two long-terms studies (37, 74), this predictive value was confirmed at 3 and 5 years, but it was lost after 5-10 years indicating that SE influences short- but not long-term radiological outcome in RA.

The SE allele carriership and the presence of anti-CCP antibodies were the strongest prognostic markers for radiographic progression in the study by Kaltenhäuser *et al.* (83). The simultaneous presence in a patient of both markers was associated with higher Larsen scores compared with patients positive for either marker alone, indicating a possible additive effect of these markers. It has been shown that SE alleles predispose for both RF and anti-CCP production (84). It remains controversial if the presence of the SE constitutes an independent or an anti-CCP-dependent prognostic factor for radiological deterioration.

Carriage of a missense polymorphism  $(1858C \rightarrow T; rs2476601)$  in the protein tyrosine phosphatase N22 (PTPN22) gene has been shown in many studies to confer an increased risk for developing RA (85-89) and to influence the risk for other autoimmune diseases, that are classically characterised by circulating autoantibodies, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, Graves' disease, myasthenia gravis, and type 1 diabetes mellitus (90, 91). PTPN22 encodes a tyrosine phosphatase, which modulates the activation of kinases such as Lck, involved in early events of T cell-receptor signalling. The predisposing 1858T allele encodes a protein with higher catalytic activity, which is a more potent negative regulator of T cell activation (92). It is less clear whether this autoimmune risk-conferring variant (the T allele) may influence the disease phenotype and the clinical outcome, as well as if there is an association between the SE and the PTPN22 risk variant.

In a recent 10-year study (93), the reported association between RA susceptibility and carriage of the T allele was confirmed, and additionally, an association between the annual progression rate of the Sharp-van der Heijde score and T-allele carriership (p = 0.01), was also found. This association was also present when only patients carrying the SE were analysed. On the contrary, in another recent study (94), no association was detected between any of the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) spanning the PTPN22 gene tested, including the PTPN22\*1858C→T polymorphism, and either erosion status or Larsen score by the fifth year. Further investigation is needed to clarify whether the PTPN22 1858T risk-conferring variant is associated not only with disease susceptibility, but also with the rate of radiographic progression in RA.

## REVIEW

## Prognostic factors for erosive RA / T.E. Markatseli et al.

#### Autoantibodies

#### Rheumatoid factor

RF is a known predictive factor of radiological short-term and long-term outcome in RA (37,38,60,61,70,95-101). Its predictive value has been confirmed in many studies and it involves mainly the two RF isotypes, IgA and IgM. However Kaarela (36) did not recognise RF as an independent prognostic factor and Lindqvist in his observational study (102) showed that RF independently of isotype did not predict radiological progression. Studies comparing the predictive value of the two isotypes conclude that IgA is better than IgM RF (54,103,104).

# Anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies

The presence of anti-CCP antibodies constitutes a strong and independent prognostic factor according to many studies (33, 38, 40, 42, 82, 102, 103, 105, 106). To our knowledge, only two studies do not fully support this association (107, 108). Reasons for this discrepancy in one of the studies may be the use of anti-CCP 1 test (which is less sensitive than the newer anti-CCP 2 and anti-CCP 3 tests) or a different study design. In the study by Syversen et al., the presence of anti-CCP antibodies was the strongest independent predictor and 1 of the 4 variables in a model predicting the 10-year radiological progression in RA patients (38). This study is unique in the literature for having examined not only the predictive role of the presence of anti-CCP antibodies, but also of their levels in radiological progression. Levels of anti- CCP antibodies seem to be an independent predictor factor of radiological progression. Patients with high anti-CCP titers (>200 IU/ml) were ten times more likely than anti-CCP negative patients to progress in the van der Heijde modified Sharp score over a decade, and about five times more likely than patients with low-moderate levels (25-200 IU/ml). In the study by Courvoisier et al. (37), it was shown that not only the presence of anti-CCP antibodies at baseline, but also of ACPA (anti-citrullinated protein/ peptide autoantibodies) in total, with the inclusion of data on

anti-perinuclear and anti-keratin antibodies, were independent predictive factors of the total Sharp/van der Heijde score after 10 years.

Reports comparing the performance of both anti-CCP antibodies and RF in predicting radiological progression, agree that anti-CCP antibodies are strongest predictive factor than RF. The predictive role of RF for radiological progression is independent of the presence of anti-CCP according to some studies (33, 102, 103, 107), but in others it is anti-CCP-dependent (40, 106, 108). On the other hand, the use of a higher cut-off point to determine the positivity of RF (40 IU/L instead of 20 IU/L) eliminated the additional prognostic value of anti-CCP in Nell's study (109) but not in Syversen's (38).

## Anti-peptidyl-arginine deiminase-4 antibodies (anti-PAD4)

Peptidyl-arginine deiminase-4 (PAD4) is an enzyme responsible for the citrullination of proteins and thus may play a role in the development of RA (110). The presence of serum IgG antibodies against human PAD4 is estimated at approximately 23% of Caucasian RA patients. In the study by Halvorsen et al. (111), the presence of anti-human PAD4 autoantibodies correlated longitudinally with greater radiological damage, in a weaker, though, fashion than RF and anti-CCP antibodies. Even in the presence of anti-CCP antibodies, anti-hPAD4-positive patients had a trend towards higher Sharp/van der Heijde scores over time than anti-hPAD4negative patients.

#### Serological biomarkers

The predictive role of other serological markers is of great interest. Baseline serum level of matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MPP-3), the enzyme involved in the degradation of cartilage proteoglycans, was strongly associated with final Sharp/van der Heijde score in the 10year longitudinal study by Courvoisier *et al.* (37). This study confirmed the results of other short- terms studies previously published (112, 113). Eklund *et al.* showed that serum interleukin -1 beta (Il-1 $\beta$ ) levels were associated with the presence of erosions in recent

onset RA (114). Although IL-1 levels correlated with the baseline number of eroded joints, they did not predict radiographic joint damage at 2 years. Other serological biomarkers reflecting bone and cartilage destruction such as receptor activator of nuclear factorκB ligand (RANKL), osteoprotegerin (OPG), human cartilage glycoprotein -39, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) and collagen cross-linked Ctelopeptide (CTX-I) have been studied in a recent observational study (115). No association between the baseline serum levels of these biomarkers and the 10-year increase in the Sharp/van der Heijde score was found, except for the CTX-I. The CTX-I levels correlated with subsequent joint destruction, although this association was weak. Another study, however, showed that the ratio of circulating OPG to RANKL predicted subsequent bone destruction (116). More studies are needed in this field to identify stronger predictive factors of radiological progression.

# **Early imaging findings**

Early radiographic damage has significantly been associated with the likelihood of subsequent structural deterioration, in both short- (40, 42, 67, 68) and long-term (36, 37, 74) studies. However, the predictive value of early radiographic damage has not been studied in all long-term studies. In the report by Courvoisier et al., baseline erosion score, according to the van der Heijde modified Sharp scoring system, was the best independent predictor of the 10-year radiographic damage (37). Baseline radiographic score was also an independent predictive factor of radiological progression in Kaarela's study (36), while Larsen score at the beginning was a predictive factor for radiographic progression during years 0–5 and 5–10 in Lindqvist's study (74). Apart from x-ray scores, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) score at baseline can predict radiological outcome in RA. McQueen showed that initial MRI score of the wrist could predict x-ray erosions at 2 years (117). Few years later, the same author suggested that baseline MRI bone oedema predicts 6year radiographic scores, whereas base-

#### REVIEW



Fig. 2. A 62-yearold female with rheumatoid arthritis: coronal, short inversion time recovery (STIR) scan [TR/2500ms, TE/60ms. TI/160ms] shows high signal intensity of all carpal bones suggestive of bone oedema.

line MRI synovitis does not, implying a significant role of bone oedema as a pre-erosive lesion (118). A magnetic resonance image of bone oedema in a RA patient's hand is shown in Figure 2. In the study by Tamai et al., bone oedema determined by hand MRI was considered to reflect the presence of severe disease in patients with early RA, since the number of bones scored as positive for bone oedema correlated with the number of other types of MRI lesions (synovitis, erosions) and the levels of CRP, MMP-3 and IL-6 (119). Also patients with bone oedema were more likely anti-CCP positive, had higher anti-CCP antibody titers and DAS-28-CRP score and were more often carriers of the SE-containing HLA-DRB1\*0405 allele, compared to patients without bone oedema. In a recent report, MRI bone oedema proved to be the strongest predictor of subsequent radiographic progression in early RA and the only independent predictor of delta-TSS (total Sharp/van der Heijde score) (120). Additionally, in the last years, a new predictive factor has been recognized, when hand bone mineral density (BMD) was measured by digital x-ray radiogrammetry (DXR) in RA patients (121,122). In the study by Hoff et al., hand bone loss after 1 year in early RA was an independent predictor of the Sharp/van der Heijde score at 5 and 10 years in all patient subgroups studied (patients stratified according to anti-CCP positivity and baseline x-ray damage) (121). Finally, musculoskeletal

ultrasonography (US) enables rheumatologist sonographers to recognise premature changes in joints affected by RA (such as synovitis and erosions) (123, 124) that plain radiographs cannot, especially in early stage of the disease (125). In the short-term study by Brown et al. (126), baseline scores on musculoskeletal US synovial hypertrophy and power Doppler in individual joints were significantly associated with the progress in the Genant-modified Sharp score. A significant association between the power Doppler score and the radiological progression was found even in totally asymptomatic joints. The quantification of the sonographic findings in valid scoring system form is necessary and challenging, since this will help the research to identify their exact role in predicting patients' outcome in long term and thus, may have an impact in therapeutic decisions.

In summary, various demographic, clinical, laboratory, imaging, immunological and genetic predictors have been recognised so far as regards the future course of RA. Nevertheless, their use is limited by certain drawbacks: first of all, their predictive value is not absolute, which means that a patient having a certain adverse prognostic factor may run an unexpectedly mild disease course or respond well to treatment, whereas another patient lacking such a predictor may still have a severe and relentless disease. Furthermore, the multiplicity of predictors and the variety of their combinations on every patient makes it often

puzzling to preclude all from the beginning how a patient will do in the short and long term. Second, plenty of these predictors have not been integrated into disease prediction models and have not been tested in prospective observational trials. To our knowledge, only two prediction models for erosive arthritis have been described (38, 127). Therefore, for the time being there are no strong data, so as to establish suggestions concerning treatment strategies based on predictive factors. Third, although some prognostic factors are evident (e.g. gender, age at disease onset, etc.) or routinely assessed (ESR, RF, anti-CCP antibodies), others are much more troublesome and costly to assess (e.g. HLA-DRB1 genotype) or even assessed solely at certain research centers (e.g. PTPN-22 polymorphisms). Consequently, evaluating as many predictive factors as possible is not feasible, is time- and money-consuming and may still yield inconclusive results with regard to the prediction of the disease outcome of any individual patient a rheumatologist is called to treat. However, in early patients with inflammatory synovitis, it is mandatory to measure the number of tender and swollen joints, the CRP and ESR, as well as RF and anti-CCP antibodies and to perform hand and wrist x-rays (128).

In conclusion, one should keep in mind that structural damage is a result of the inflammatory process (129) and that it may still progress despite apparent clinical remission, because inflammation may still smolder subclinically. Pursuing remission early means close monitoring of the patient and prompt treatment modifications according to disease status. After all, early response to treatment is itself a predictive factor of disease status at later time points (130), while disease activity averaged through time is in turn a predictor of structural damage (33, 58). Furthermore, early treatment of RA with DMARDs has been associated with lower radiographic progression rates in the long-term (44, 131). Therefore, it is on the rheumatologist to tailor his/her treatment taking into account both the prognostic factors that are routinely available in daily practice, as well as the mode each individual patient responds to treatment.

#### REVIEW

#### References

- ALAMANOS Y, VOULGARI PV, DROSOS AA: Incidence and prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis, based on the 1987 American College of Rheumatology criteria: a systematic review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 36: 182-8.
- ALAMANOS Y, DROSOS AA: Epidemiology of adult rheumatoid arthritis. *Autoimmun Rev* 2005; 4: 130-6.
- VAN DER HEIDE A, JACOBS JW, BIJLSMA JW et al.: The effectiveness of early treatment with "second-line" antirheumatic drugs. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1996; 124: 699-707.
- 4. VAN JAARSVELD CH, JACOBS JW, VAN DER VEEN MJ et al.: Aggressive treatment in early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised controlled trial. On behalf of the Rheumatic Research Foundation Utrecht, the Netherlands. Ann Rheum Dis 2000; 59: 468-77.
- GRIGOR C, CAPELL H, STIRLING A *et al.*: Effect of a treatment strategy of tight control for rheumatoid arthritis (the TICORA study): a single-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004; 364: 263-9.
- GOEKOOP-RUITERMAN YP, DE VRIES-BOUWSTRA JK, ALLAART CF et al.: Comparison of treatment strategies in early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2007; 146: 406-15.
- PUOLAKKA K, KAUTIAINEN H, MOTTO-NEN T *et al.*: Impact of initial aggressive drug treatment with a combination of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs on the development of work disability in early rheumatoid arthritis: a five-year randomized follow-up trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004; 50: 55-62.
- MÖTTÖNEN T, HANNONEN P, LEIRISALO-REPO M et al.: Comparison of combination therapy with single-drug therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised trial. FIN-RACo trial group. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 1568-73.
- 9. MÖTTÖNEN T, HANNONEN P, KORPELA M et al.; FIN-RACO TRIAL GROUP: FINnish Rheumatoid Arthritis Combination therapy. Delay to institution of therapy and induction of remission using single-drug or combination-disease-modifying antirheumatic drug therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2002; 46: 894-8.
- 10. HETLAND ML, STENGAARD-PEDERSEN K, JUNKER P et al.: Aggressive combination therapy with intra-articular glucocorticoid injections and conventional disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in early rheumatoid arthritis: second-year clinical and radiographic results from the CIMESTRA study. Ann Rheum Dis 2008; 67: 815-22.
- 11. VERSTAPPEN SMM, JACOBS JWG, VAN DER VEEN MJ et al.: Intensive treatment with methotrexate in early rheumatoid arthritis: aiming for remission. Computer Assisted Management in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis (CAMERA, an open-label strategy trial). Ann Rheum Dis 2007; 66: 1443-9.
- 12. BREEDVELD FC, WEISMAN MH, KAVAN-AUGH AF et al.: The PREMIER study: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial of combination therapy with adali-

mumab plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone or adalimumab alone in patients with early, aggressive rheumatoid arthritis who had not had previous methotrexate treatment. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 54: 26-37.

- 13. SMOLEN JS, VAN DER HEIJDE DM, ST CLAIR EW et al.: Predictors of joint damage in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis treated with high-dose methotrexate with or without concomitant infliximab: results from the ASPIRE trial. Arthritis Rheum 2006; 54: 702-10.
- 14. GENOVESE MC, BATHON JM, MARTIN RW et al.: Etanercept versus methotrexate in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: two year radiographic and clinical outcomes. Arthritis Rheum 2002; 46: 1443-50.
- 15. EMERY P, BREEDVELD FC, HALL S et al.: Comparison of methotrexate monotherapy with a combination of methotrexate and etanercept in active, early, moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis (COMET): a randomised, double-blind, parallel treatment trial. *Lancet* 2008: 372: 375-82.
- 16. KLARESKOG L, VAN DER HEIJDE D, DE JAG-ER JP et al.: Therapeutic effect of the combination of etanercept and methotrexate compared with each treatment alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* 2004; 363: 675-81.
- 17. VAN DER HEIJDE D, KLARESKOG L, LANDEWE R *et al.*: Disease remission and sustained halting of radiographic progression with combination etanercept and methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2007; 56: 3928-39.
- 18. DROSSAERS-BAKKER KW, DE BUCK M, VAN ZEBEN D et al.: Long-term course and outcome of functional capacity in rheumatoid arthritis. The effect of disease activity and radiological damage over time. Arthritis Rheum 1999; 42: 1854-60.
- 19. WELSING PM, VAN GESTEL AM, SWINKELS HL et al.: The relationship between disease activity, joint destruction and functional capacity over the course of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2001; 44: 2009-17.
- 20. GUILLEMIN F, BRIANÇON S, POUREL J: Functional disability in rheumatoid arthritis: two different models in early and established disease. J Rheumatol 1992; 19: 366-9.
- 21. SCOTT DL, COULTON BL, POPERT AJ: Long term progression of joint damage in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1986; 45: 373-8.
- 22. DROSSAERS-BAKKER KW, KROON HM et al.: Radiographic damage of large joints in long-term rheumatoid arthritis and its relation to function. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2000; 39: 998-1003.
- 23. HULSMANS HM, JACOBS JW, VAN DER HEIJDE DM *et al.*: The course of radiologic damage during the first six years of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 43: 1927-40.
- 24. LARSEN A, DALE K, EEK M: Radiographic evaluation of rheumatoid arthritis and related conditions by reference films. Acta Radiol Diagn (Stockh) 1977; 18: 481-91.
- SHARP JT, LIDSKY MD, COLLINS LC et al.: Method of scoring the progression of radiologic changes in rheumatoid arthritis.

Arthritis Rheum 1971; 14: 706-20.

- 26. SCOTT DL, HOUSSIEN DA, LAASONEN L: Proposed modification to Larsen's scoring methods for hand and wrist radiographs. Br J Rheumatol 1995; 34: 56.
- 27. VAN DER HEIJDE D: How to read radiographs according to the Sharp/van der Heijde method. J Rheumatol 2000; 27: 261-3.
- GENANT HK: Methods of assessing radiographic change in rheumatoid arthritis. *Am J Med* 1983; 75: 35-47.
- 29. GENANT HK, JIANG Y, PETERFY C et al.: Assessment of rheumatoid arthritis using a modified scoring method on digitized and original radiographs. Arthritis Rheum 1998; 41: 1583-90.
- 30. RAU R, WASSENBERG S, HERBORN G et al.: A new method of scoring radiographic change in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 1998; 25: 2094-107.
- SCOTT DL: Radiological progression in established rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2004; 69 (Suppl.): 55-65.
- 32. GRAUDAL NA, GRETHE JURIK A, DE CAR-VALHO A, GRAUDAL HK: Radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis; a long-term prospective study of 109 patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998; 41: 1470-80.
- 33. MACHOLD KP, STAMM TA, NELL VPK et al.: Very recent onset rheumatoid arthritis: clinical and serological patient characteristics associated with radiographic progression over the first years of disease. *Rheumatol*ogy 2007; 46: 342-9.
- 34. PELTOMAA R, LEIRISALO-REPO M, HELVE T, PAIMELA L: Effect of age on 3 year outcome in early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2000; 27: 638-43.
- 35. PAPADOPOULOS IA, KATSIMBRI P, ALAMA-NOS Y *et al.*: Early rheumatoid arthritis patients: relationship with age. *Rheumatol Int* 2003; 23: 70-4.
- 36. KAARELA K: Prognostic factors and diagnostic criteria in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1985; 57: 1-54.
- 37. COURVOISIER N, DOUGADOS M, CANTA-GREL A et al.: Prognostic factors of 10-year radiographic outcome in early rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective study. Arthritis Res Ther 2008; 10: R106.
- 38. SYVERSEN SW, GAARDER PI, GOLL GL et al.: High anti-CCP levels and an algorithm of four variables predict radiographic progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from a 10-year longitudinal study. Ann Rheum Dis 2008; 67: 212-7.
- 39. SANMARTÍ R, GÓMEZ-CENTENO A, ERCIL-LAG et al.: Prognostic factors of radiographic progression in early rheumatoid arthritis: a two year prospective study after a structured therapeutic strategy using DMARDs and very low doses of glucocorticoids. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007; 26: 1111-8.
- 40. FORSLIND K, HAFSTRÖM I, AHLMÉN M, SVENSSON B FOR THE BARFOT STUDY GROUP: Sex: a major predictor of remission in early rheumatoid arthritis? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007; 66: 46-52.
- 41. VOULGARI PV, PAPADOPOULOS IA, ALA-MANOS Y *et al.*: Early rheumatoid arthritis: does gender influence disease expression? *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2004; 22: 165-70.

- 42. JANSEN LM, VAN DER HORST-BRUINSMA IE, VAN SCHAARDENBURG D *et al.*: Predictors of radiographic joint damage in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001; 60: 924-7.
- 43. VOULGARI PV, DROSOS AA: Catastrophic rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2008; 35: 2062-3.
- 44. ABU-SHAKRA M, TOKER R, FLUSSER D et al.: Clinical and radiographic outcomes of rheumatoid arthritis patients not treated with disease-modifying drugs. Arthritis Rheum 1998; 41: 1190-5.
- 45. KAUFMANN J, KIELSTEIN V, KILIAN S et al.: Relation between body mass index and radiological progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2003; 30: 2350-5.
- 46. GILES JT, LING SM, FERRUCCI L et al.: Abnormal body composition phenotypes in older rheumatoid arthritis patients: association with disease characteristics and pharmacotherapies. Arthritis Care Res 2008; 59: 807-15.
- 47. ROUBENOFF R, ROUBENOFF RA, CANNON JG et al.: Rheumatoid cachexia: cytokinedriven hypermetabolism accompanying reduced body cell mass in chronic inflammation. J Clin Invest 1994; 93: 2379-86.
- 48. HOTAMISLIGIL GS, ARNER P, CARO JF et al.: Increased adipose tissue expression of tumor necrosis factor-α in human obesity and insulin resistance. J Clin Invest 1995; 95: 2409-15.
- 49. SAAG KG, CERHAN JR, KOLLURI S et al.: Cigarette smoking and rheumatoid arthritis severity. Ann Rheum Dis 1997; 56: 463-9.
- 50. MATTEY DL, DAWES PT, CLARKE S et al.: Relationship among the HLA-DRB1 shared epitope, smoking, and rheumatoid factor production in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2002; 47: 403-7.
- 51. WOLFE F: The effect of smoking on clinical, laboratory, and radiographic status in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2000; 27: 630-7.
- 52. MÁSDÓTTIR B, JÓNSSON T, MANFREOS-DÓTTIR V *et al.*: Smoking, rheumatoid factor isotypes and severity of rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2000; 39: 1202-5.
- 53. PAPADOPOULOS NG, ALAMANOS Y, VOUL-GARI PV *et al.*: Does cigarette smoking influence disease expression, activity and severity in early rheumatoid arthritis patients? *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005; 23: 861-6.
- 54. MANFREDSDOTTIR VF, VIKINGSDOTTIR T, JONSSON T *et al.*: The effects of tobacco smoking and rheumatoid factor seropositivity on disease activity and joint damage in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2006; 45: 734-40.
- 55. FINCKH A, DEHLER S, COSTENBADER KH, GABAY C ON BEHALF OF THE SWISS CLINI-CAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROJECT FOR RA (SCQM): Cigarette smoking and radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007; 66: 1066-71.
- 56. WESTHOFF G, RAU R, ZINK A: Rheumatoid arthritis patients who smoke have a higher need for DMARDs and feel worse, but they do not have more joint damage than nonsmokers of the same serological group.

Rheumatology 2008; 47: 849-54.

- 57. MIKULS TR, HUGHES LB, WESTFALL AO et al.: Cigarette smoking, disease severity and autoantibody expression in African Americans with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2008; 67: 1529-34.
- 58. ALETAHA D, NELL VPK, STAM MT et al.: Acute phase reactants add little to composite disease activity indices for rheumatoid arthritis: validation of a clinical activity score. Arthritis Res Ther 2005; 7: R796-R806.
- 59. ABE A, ISHIKAWA H, MURASAWA A, NAKA-ZONO K: Disease activity and the course of elbow joint deterioration over 10 years in the patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2008; 27: 867-72.
- 60. SCOTT DL: Prognostic factors in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2000; 39 (Suppl. 1): 24-9.
- 61. MOREL J, COMBE B: How to predict prognosis in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2005; 19: 137-46.
- 62. YOUNG A, KODURI G: Extra-articular manifestations and complications of rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2007; 21: 907-27.
- 63. VOULGARI PV, KOLIOS G, PAPADOPOULOS GK *et al.*: Role of cytokines in the pathogenesis of anemia of chronic disease in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Immunol* 1999; 92: 153-60.
- 64. DIXEY J, YOUNG A, SOLYMOSSY C et al.: Is it possible to predict radiological damage in early RA? A report on occurrence, progression and prognostic factors for radiological erosions over first 3 years in 860 patients from early RA study (ERAS). J Rheumatol 2004; 31 (Suppl. 69): 48-54.
- 65. SARAUX A, ALLAIN J, GUEDES C et al.: Clinical, laboratory, and radiographic features of rheumatoid arthritis with and without nodules. *Rev Rhum Engl Ed* 1997; 64: 11-7.
- 66. DE RYCKE L, PEENE I, HOFFMAN IEA et al.: Rheumatoid factor and anticitrullinated protein antibodies in rheumatoid arthritis: diagnostic value, associations with radiological progression rate, and extra-articular manifestations. Ann Rheum Dis 2004; 63: 1587-93.
- 67. COMBE B, DOUGADOS M, GOUPILLE P et al.: Prognostic factors for radiographic damage in early rheumatoid arthritis; a multiparameter prospective study. Arthritis Rheum 2001; 44: 1736-43.
- 68. GUILLEMIN F, GERARD N, VAN LEEUWEN M et al.: Prognostic factors for joint destruction in rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective longitudinal study of 318 patients. J Rheumatol 2003; 30: 2585-9.
- 69. VALENZUELA-CASTANO A, GARCIA-LOPEZ A, PÉREZ-VILCHES D *et al.*: The predictive value of the HLA shared epitope for severity of radiological joint damage in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. A 10-year observational prospective study. *J Rheumatol* 2000; 27: 571-4.
- 70. WOLFE F, SHARP JT: Radiographic outcome of recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis: a 19-year study of radiographic progression. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998; 41: 1571-82.
- 71. AMOS RS, CONSTABLE TJ, CROCKSON RA

*et al.*: Rheumatoid arthritis: relation of serum C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rates to radiographic changes. *Br Med J* 1977; 22: 195-7.

- 72. GREEN M, MARZO-ORTEGA H, MCGONA-GLE D et al.: Persistence of mild, early inflammatory arthritis: the importance of disease duration, rheumatoid factor, and the shared epitope. Arthritis Rheum 1999; 42: 2184-8.
- 73. PLANT MJ, WILLIAMS AL, O'SULLIVAN MM et al.: Relationship between time-integrated C-reactive protein levels and radiologic progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2000; 43: 1473-7.
- 74. LINDQVIST E, JONSSON K, EBERHARDT K: Course of radiographic damage over 10 years in a cohort with early rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2003; 62: 611-6.
- 75. ROUX-LOMBARD P, EBERHARDT K, SAXNE T et al.: Cytokines, metalloproteinases, their inhibitors and cartilage oligomeric matrix protein: relationship to radiological progression and inflammation in early rheumatoid arthritis. A prospective 5-year study. *Rheumatology* 2001; 40: 544-51.
- 76. VAN DER HEIJDE DM, VAN RIEL PC, VAN LEEUWEN MA et al.: Pronostic factors for radiographic damage and physical disability in early rheumatoid arthritis. A prospective follow-up study of 147 patients. Br J Rheumatol 1992; 31: 519-25.
- 77. VAN LEEUWEN MA, WESTRA J, VAN RIEL PLCM et al.: IgM, IgA and IgG rheumatoid arthritis predictive of radiological progression? Scand J Rheumatol 1995; 24: 146-53.
- 78. WAGNER U, KALTENHAUSER S, PIERER M et al.: Prospective analysis of the impact of HLA-DR and -DQ on joint destruction in recent onset rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheuma*tology 2003; 42: 553-62.
- 79. VAN ZEBEN D, HAZES JM, ZWINDERMAN AH et al.: Association of HLA-DR4 with a more progressive disease course in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Results of a followup study. Arthritis Rheum 1991; 34: 822-30.
- WAGNER U, KALTENHAUSER S, SAUER H et al.: HLA markers and prediction of clinical course and outcome in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1997; 40: 341-51.
- 81. IOANNIDIS JP, TARASSI K, PAPADOPOULOS IA et al.: Shared epitopes and rheumatoid arthritis: disease associations in Greece and meta-analysis of Mediterranean European populations. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2002; 31: 361-70.
- 82. ROJAS-VILLARRAGA A, DIAZ FJ, CALVO-PARAMO E *et al.*: Familial disease, the HLA-DRB1 shared epitope and anti-CCP antibodies influence time at appearance of substantial joint damage in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Autoimmun* 2009: 1-6.
- 83. KALTENHÄUSER S, PIERER M, ARNOLD S et al.: Antibodies against cyclic citrullinated peptide are associated with the DRB1 shared epitope and predict joint erosion in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2007; 46: 100-4.
- 84. GOURRAUD PA, DIEUDÉ P, BOYER JF et al.: A new classification of HLA-DRB1 alleles differentiates predisposing and protective

alleles for autoantibody production in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2007; 9: R27.

- 85. HINKS A, BARTON A, JOHN S et al.: Association between the PTPN22 gene and rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile idiopathic arthritis in a UK population: further support that PTPN22 is an autoimmunity gene. Arthritis Rheum 2005; 52: 1694-9.
- 86. VIKEN MK, AMUNDSEN SS, KVIEN TK et al.: Association analysis of the 1858C>T polymorphism in the PTPN22 gene in juvenile idiopathic arthritis and other autoimmune diseases. *Gene Immun* 2005; 6: 271-3.
- 87. OROZCO G, SANCHEZ E, GONZALEZ-GAY MA et al.: Association of a functional single-nucleotide polymorphism of PTPN22, encoding lymphoid protein phosphatase, with rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2005; 52: 219-24.
- SIMKINS HM, MERRIMAN ME, HIGHTON J et al.: Association of the PTPN22 locus with rheumatoid arthritis in a New Zealand Caucasian cohort. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 2222-5.
- 89. ZHERNAKOVA A, EERLIGH P, WIJMENGA C et al.: Differential association of the PTPN22 coding variant with autoimmune diseases in a Dutch population. *Gene Immun* 2005; 6: 459-61.
- GREGERSEN PK, LEE HS, BATLIWALLA F, BEGOVICH AB: PTPN22: Setting thresholds for autoimmunity. *Semin Immunol* 2006; 18: 214-23.
- 91. BOTTINI N, MUSUMECI L, ALONSO A *et al.*: A functional variant of lymphoid tyrosine phosphatase is associated with type I diabetes. *Nat Genet* 2004; 36: 337-8.
- 92. VANG T, CONGIA M, MACIS MD *et al.*: Autoimmune-associated lymphoid tyrosine phosphatase is a gain-of-function variant. *Nat Genet* 2005; 37: 1317-9.
- 93.LIE BA, VIKEN MK, ØDEGÅRD S et al.: Associations between the PTPN22 1858C→ T polymorphism and radiographic joint destruction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from a 10-year longitudinal study. Ann Rheum Dis 2007; 66: 1604-9.
- 94. NASEEM H, THOMSON W, SILMAN A et al.: The PTPN22\*C1858T functional polymorphism is associated with susceptibility to inflammatory polyarthritis but neither this nor other variants spanning the gene is associated with disease outcome Ann Rheum Dis 2008; 67; 251-5.
- 95. PAPADOPOULOS IA, KATSIMPRI P, KATSA-RAKI A *et al.*: Clinical course and outcome of early rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Int* 2001; 20: 205-10.
- ISOMAKI H: Long-term outcome of rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol 1992; 95 (Suppl.): 3-8.
- 97. PLANT MJ, JONES PW, SAKLATVALA J et al.: Patterns of radiological progression in early rheumatoid arthritis: results of an 8 year prospective study. J Rheumatol 1998; 25: 417-26.
- 98. UHLIG T, SMEDSTAD LM, VAGLUM P et al.: The course of rheumatoid arthritis and predictors of psychological, physical and radiographic outcome after 5 years of follow-up.

Rheumatology 2000; 39: 732-41.

- 99. BRENNAN P, HARRISON B, BARRETT E et al.: A simple algorithm to predict the development of radiological erosions in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: prospective cohort study. BMJ 1996; 24: 471-6.
- 100. MATTEY DL, HASSELL AB, DAWES PT *et al.*: Independent association of rheumatoid factor and the HLA-DRB1 shared epitope with radiographic outcome in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001; 44: 1529-33.
- 101. VAN DER HEIDE A, REMME CA, HOFMAN DM et al.: Prediction of progression of radiologic damage in newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1995; 38: 1466-74.
- 102. LINDQVIST E, EBERHARDT K, BENDTZEN K et al.: Prognostic laboratory markers of joint damage in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2005; 64: 196-201.
- 103. BERGLIN E, JOHANSSON T, SUNDIN U et al.: Radiological outcome in rheumatoid arthritis is predicted by presence of antibodies against cyclic citrullinated peptide before and at disease onset, and by IgA RF at disease onset. Ann Rheum Dis 2006; 65: 453-8.
- 104. HOUSSIEN DA, JONSSON T, DAVIES E, SCOTT DL: Rheumatoid factor isotypes, disease activity and the outcome of rheumatoid arthritis: comparative effects of different antigens. Scand J Rheumatol 1998; 27: 46-53.
- 105. MEYER O, NICAISE-ROLAND P, SANTOS MD et al.: Serial determination of cyclic citrullinated peptide autoantibodies predicted five year radiological outcomes in a prospective cohort of patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2006; 8: R40.
- 106. NIELEN MM, VAN DER HORST AR, VAN SCHAARDENBURG D et al.: Antibodies to citrullinated human fibrinogen (ACF) have diagnostic and prognostic value in early arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2005; 64: 1199-04.
- 107. KROOT EJ, DE JONG BA, VAN LEEUWEN MA et al.: The prognostic value of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody in patients with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2000; 43: 1831-5.
- 108. QUINN MA, GOUGH AK, GREEN MJ et al.: Anti-CCP antibodies measured at disease onset help identify seronegative rheumatoid arthritis and predict radiological and functional outcome. *Rheumatology* 2006; 45: 478-80.
- 109. NELL VP, MACHOLD KP, STAMM TA et al.: Autoantibody profiling as early diagnostic and prognostic tool for rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2005; 64: 1731-6.
- 110. SUZUKI A, YAMADA R, CHANG X et al.: Functional haplotypes of PADI4, encoding citrullinating enzyme peptidylarginine deiminase 4, are associated with rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Genet 2003; 34: 395-402.
- 111. HALVORSEN EH, POLLMANN S, GILBOE IM *et al.*: Serum IgG antibodies to peptidylarginine deiminase 4 in rheumatoid arthritis and associations with disease severity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008; 67; 414-7.
- 112. GARNERO P, GINEYTS E, FINCK B, DELMAS PD: Association of baseline levels of urinary glucosyl-galactosyl-pyridinoline and type II

collagen C-telopeptide with progression of joint destruction in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 21-30.

- 113. YAMANAKA H, MATSUDA Y, TANAKA M *et al.*: Serum matrix metalloprotease-3 as a predictor of the degree of joint destruction during the six months after measurement in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 43: 852-8.
- 114. EKLUND KK, LEIRISALO-REPO M, RANTA P et al.: Serum IL-1beta levels are associated with the presence of erosions in recent onset rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2007; 25: 684-9.
- 115. SYVERSEN SW, GOLL GL, VAN DER HEIJDE D et al.: Cartilage and bone biomarkers in rheumatoid arthritis: prediction of 10-year radiographic progression. J Rheumatol 2008 Dec 15. [Epub ahead of print].
- 116. GEUSENS PP, LANDEWE RB, GARNERO P et al.: The ratio of circulating osteoprotegerin to RANKL in early rheumatoid arthritis predicts later joint destruction. Arthritis Rheum 2006; 54: 1772-7.
- 117. MCQUEEN FM, BENTON N, CRABBE J et al.: What is the fate of erosions in early rheumatoid arthritis? Tracking individual lesions using x rays and magnetic resonance imaging over the first two years of disease. Ann Rheum Dis 2001; 60: 859-68.
- 118. MCQUEEN FM, BENTON N, PERRY D et al.: Bone edema scored on magnetic resonance imaging Scans of the dominant carpus at presentation predicts radiographic joint damage of the hands and feet six years later in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48: 1814-27.
- 119. TAMAI M, KAWAKAMI A, UETANI M et al.: Bone edema determined by magnetic resonance imaging reflects severe disease status in patients with early-stage rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2007; 34: 2154-7.
- 120. HETLAND ML, EJBJERG B, HØRSLEV-PE-TERSEN K et al.: CIMESTRA study group: MRI bone oedema is the strongest predictor of subsequent radiographic progression in early rheumatoid arthritis. Results from a 2-year randomised controlled trial (CI-MESTRA). Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68: 384-90.
- 121. HOFF M, HAUGEBERG G, ODEGÅRD S et al.: Cortical hand bone loss after 1 year in early rheumatoid arthritis predicts radiographic hand joint damage at 5-year and 10-year follow-up. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68: 324-9.
- 122. DEODHAR AA, BRABYN J, PANDE I *et al.*: Hand bone densitometry in rheumatoid arthritis, a five year longitudinal study: an outcome measure and a prognostic marker. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003; 62: 767-70.
- 123. MEENAGH G, FILIPPUCCI E, DELLE SEDIE A et al.: Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist. XVIII. Ultrasound measurements. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2008; 26: 982-5.
- 124. MEENAGH G, FILIPPUCCI E, DELLE SEDIE A et al.: Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist. XIX. Imaging modalities in rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2009; 27: 3-6.
- 125. WAKEFIELD R, GIBBON W, CONAGHAN P et al.: The value of sonography in the detection

#### Prognostic factors for erosive RA / T.E. Markatseli et al.

#### REVIEW

of bone erosions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison with conventional radiography. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 43: 2762-70.

- 126. BROWN AK, CONAGHAN PG, KARIM Z *et al.*: An explanation for the apparent dissociation between clinical remission and continued structural deterioration in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008; 58: 2958-67.
- 127. VISSER H, LE CESSIE S, VOS K et al.: How to diagnose rheumatoid arthritis early: a

prediction model for persistent (erosive) arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 357-65.

- 128. COMBE B, LANDEWE R, LUKAS C et al.: EULAR recommendations for the management of early arthritis: report of a task force of the European Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). Ann Rheum Dis 2007; 66: 34-45.
- 129. GOLDRING SR: Periarticular bone changes in rheumatoid arthritis: pathophysiological implications and clinical utility. Ann

Rheum Dis 2009; 68; 297-9.

- 130. ALETAHA D, FUNOVITS J, KEYSTONE EC, SMOLEN JS: Disease activity early in the course of treatment predicts response to therapy after one year in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007; 56: 3226-35.
- 131. FINCKH A, LIANG MH, VAN HERCKEN-RODE CM, DE PABLO P: Long-term impact of early treatment on radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 55: 864-72.