
Glucocorticoids in systemic lupus erythematosus

M. Mosca, C. Tani, L. Carli, S. Bombardieri

Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

Marta Mosca, MD

Chiara Tani, MD

Linda Carli, MD

Stefano Bombardieri, MD, Professor

Please address correspondence to:

Marta Mosca, MD,

Rheumatology Unit,

Department of Internal Medicine,

Via Roma 67,

56126 Pisa, Italy.

E-mail: marta.mosca@med.unipi.it

Received and accepted on August 27, 2011.

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2011; 29 (Suppl. 68):

S126-S129.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND

EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2011.

Key words: corticosteroids treatment, systemic lupus erythematosus, pulses, treatment withdrawal, damage

ABSTRACT

Glucocorticoids (GCs) remain the cornerstone of the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), despite advances in immunosuppressive drugs, therapeutic protocols and development of new drugs. GCs rapidly control disease activity in mild as well as in severe disease, although these effects might not be maintained over time. The majority of SLE patients have received GC treatment; in some cohorts up to 80% of patients continue this treatment indefinitely as "maintenance" therapy, at low doses of less than 7.5 mg/day. The positive effects of GCs are diminished by adverse effects, particularly at high doses. The cumulative dose of GCs clearly is related to adverse effects. Several unresolved issues in GC treatment of SLE include the optimal doses to be used in induction and maintenance, and in particular how high the dose for how long. It remains unclear whether GCs should be continued indefinitely and, if not, when and how this treatment should be discontinued. Both clinical trials and observational data will help to clarify these issues.

Introduction

The first reports of glucocorticoid (GC) use in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) date back to the 1950s, shortly after their administration to patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (1, 2). The introduction of these drugs has changed the natural history of SLE, and contributed greatly to the improvement of prognosis observed over the past decades (2).

GC are used in the treatment of acute SLE as well as in the maintenance of remission and are often continued long-term. Zonana-Nacach *et al.* reported in 2000 that, among 539 patients followed at the Hopkins Lupus Cohort, only 11% had never been treated with GCs and 57% of patients with a disease duration >10 years had always received these agents (3). Of 215 SLE patients

regularly followed at our Unit in Pisa, only 1 patient has never received GC treatment; 86% of patients have been treated with GCs continuously, with a median maintenance dose of 4 mg/day (methylprednisolone) and mean cumulative dose of 24.7 grams (range 0.5–140 grams) (unpublished data).

Mode of administration and protocols

The most commonly used GCs in the treatment of SLE are prednisone (PDN) and methylprednisolone (MP); other GCs such as triamcinolone and dexamethasone are used less frequently (4).

Although GCs have been administered in divided doses, particularly at medium to high doses, single morning administration appears advisable to minimise both adverse effects and suppression of the pituitary axis (5).

Therapeutic protocols of GCs for SLE range from low (0.1–0.2 mg/kg/day), to medium (0.2–0.5 mg/kg/day), to high doses (0.5–1 gm/kg/day) and intravenous pulses (doses ranging from 250 to 10000 mg/daily for 3 consecutive days) and are used for induction and maintenance of remission (4).

Low-dose GCs (0.1–0.2 mg/kg/day) are generally used as maintenance treatment, often even in the absence of active disease. Low to medium (0.2–0.5 mg/kg/day), doses are also used in the treatment of mild disease activity, particularly cutaneous, musculoskeletal, haematological and constitutional manifestations.

In patients with mild to moderate SLE flares, two approaches to administration of GCs include: an increase in daily oral dose, followed by rapid tapering; or an intramuscular (i.m.) triamcinolone injection (100 mg). Petri *et al.* reported the effectiveness of i.m. GC to control disease activity, compared with a Medrol dose pack (24 mg tapered of 4 mg each day for a cumulative dose of 84 mg) (6).

Bootsma *et al.* suggested in 1995 that administration of medium doses of GC

Competing interests: none declared.

might prevent the occurrence of flares in patients whose anti-dsDNA antibody titre was increased (7). More recently, Tseng *et al.* have reported a significant reduction in severe flares among patients treated with moderate dose GCs (30 mg/day for 2 weeks, 20 mg/day for 1 week, 10 mg/day for 1 week) upon presentation with an increase of C3a and anti-dsDNA antibodies (8). Unfortunately, these are the only studies, of which the authors are aware, concerning intervention in patients with clinically inactive but serologically active disease (9).

Pulse GCs have been used since the 1970s to obtain a rapid control of activity in severe disease while minimising toxicity. Intravenous methylprednisolone (MP) appears more immunosuppressive than oral MP, as it has a more prolonged duration of action and induces more profound or sustained changes in neutrophils, lymphocytes, and humoral immunity (10, 11).

Most of the data available concerning pulse GCs in SLE involve treatment of lupus nephritis (LN) (11-18). Early studies suggested that treatment with pulse GCs increased renal survival. Controlled trials from the NIH have reported use of intravenous pulses of 1 gram of MP for three consecutive days in association with cyclophosphamide (CYC), which has become the standard of care for the induction of remission of LN (12-16). Other studies have documented efficacy with lower GC pulses. In the Euro-Lupus Nephritis trial, Caucasian patients with LN were treated with three consecutive pulses of 750 mg each (16). In a study by Moroni *et al.*, GC doses were defined on the basis of patients' weight (500 mg each for patients who weighed ≤ 50 kg; 1000 mg each for patients who weighed > 50 kg) (17).

Pulse GCs have been effective in the treatment of non-renal SLE, including haematological, mucocutaneous and articular manifestations (10, 19-21). Macworth-Young *et al.* have added pulse steroids to conventional GC treatment in patients with active disease, and documented more rapid improvement with a relatively safe profile. Although no differences between conventional treatment and pulse GCs

were observed after 28 days, nonetheless these data confirm the rapidity of action of pulse GCs, which may be important to prevent long-term damage. Long-term outcomes were not examined, as the study included only 6 months of observation after treatment. Pulse GCs have been effective in the treatment of neuropsychiatric lupus, although combination with CYC was more efficacious than treatment with GCs alone.

Although the dose of 1000 mg daily for three consecutive days is the dose most widely used in clinical practice as well as in clinical trials, it appears possible that lower doses might be as effective (10, 19, 21, 23). In 1987, Edwards *et al.* observed that small doses (100 mg) and high doses (1000 mg) yielded similar efficacy, with lower incidence of adverse effects in patients treated with lower GC doses (19). Bashda *et al.* showed similar efficacy of low (1-1.5 gr) and high (3-5 gr) doses pulse methylprednisolone over three days, but a 3.8-fold higher risk of infectious side effects in patients treated with higher doses. Interestingly, serious infections appeared more common in patients with lower albumin levels. It has been suggested that side effects of GCs may increase with lower albumin levels, and the authors suggested that a reduction of the dose of pulse GCs should be considered in such patients (21). Nonetheless, as low albumin levels also are markers for more severe disease, the increased incidence of side effects could also be related with SLE itself (21).

Tapering schedules for GCs are based primarily on the physician's experience and clinical judgment. In the National Institute of Health (NIH) protocol for the treatment of lupus nephritis (LN), after three pulses of methylprednisolone (MP, 1000 mg/day for three days), patients were given oral prednisone (PDN) 0.5 mg/kg/day for 4 weeks and the dose was then tapered by 5 mg every other day each week to a dose of 0.25 mg/kg every other day, or the minimal dose required to control extra-renal disease (12-15). In the Euro-Lupus Nephritis trial, after three MP pulses of 750 mg/day each, steroids were tapered to PDN 0.5 mg/kg/day or 1 mg/kg/day

for 4 weeks and then tapered by 2.5 mg/day every 2 weeks, to reach a maintenance dose of 5-7.5 mg/day (16). At our clinic, after GC pulses patients receive GCs at doses of 0.5 mg/kg/day which are tapered by 8 mg each week to a dose of 16 mg; thereafter, GCs are tapered more slowly, to reach a maintenance dose of 4-8 mg/day in three months.

Topical corticosteroids

Topical GCs are the mainstay of treatment of cutaneous manifestation of lupus erythematosus, although few controlled studies have been reported. High-potency corticosteroid cream (*e.g.* 0.05%, flucinonide) has been shown to be more effective than low-potency corticosteroid cream (1% hydrocortisone) in the treatment of discoid lupus erythematosus (24). As topical GC treatment may lead to skin atrophy and telangiectasia, the treatment should be intermittent and short.

Corticosteroids and SLE pregnancy

PDN and MP are largely metabolised by the placenta, and less than 10% of the maternal dose reaches the foetus. By contrast, fluorinated GCs (betamethasone and dexamethasone) are less metabolised and reach the foetus (25, 26). Therefore, PDN and MP should be used during pregnancy for maternal treatment, while fluorinated GCs should be used to treat foetuses.

Low-dose PDN and MP treatment may be continued safely during pregnancy in patients with SLE. In addition, patients should be advised not to stop GCs treatment without consulting their physician once pregnancy is diagnosed. Flares of disease activity during pregnancy usually are treated with an increase in GC dose to achieve rapid control of disease activity (27).

During pregnancy, GC treatment increases the risk of gestational diabetes and infections, as well as premature rupture of membranes. Therefore, the dose should be maintained as low as possible (as in most clinical situations). In the case of resistant flares, steroid-sparing agents (immunosuppressive drugs, intravenous immunoglobulins) should

be considered (26, 27), though with extreme caution in pregnant patients.

Data have been reported that exposure to GCs at conception may increase the risk of cleft palate; however, it is likely that this risk is minimal (25, 26).

As in the general population, fluorinated GCs are used to treat fetuses when early delivery is threatened to reduce the risk of respiratory distress, cerebral haemorrhage, and death. In addition, in anti-Ro positive patients dexamethasone is used to treat congenital heart block (CHB). Concerns have been raised regarding possible deleterious effects on children's neuropsychological development; a recent study of 11 children with CHB who had been treated with dexamethasone did not show any negative effect (28)

Small amounts of GCs are present in breast milk of lactating women treated with PDN or MP, and consumption by the nursing infant is considered safe.

Side effects and monitoring

Most SLE patients accrue damage related to long-term GC treatment (2, 29-36). Cumulative doses of corticosteroids, irrespective of the route of administration, is predictive of osteoporotic fractures, coronary artery disease, and cataracts. In addition, the risk of avascular necrosis and stroke appears increased in association with high-dose prednisone treatment (2).

The more common side effects of GCs in low (<7.5 mg/day) to moderate doses (7.5-30 mg/day prednisolone equivalent) are osteoporosis, diabetes, cataracts, thinning of the skin, weight gain and fat redistribution. At higher doses, infections, myopathy, psychological disturbances and osteonecrosis are seen (29-31). The effects of GCs on bone mass occur very early after treatment initiation. The risk of osteoporosis and fracture is related with the cumulative dose and the duration of treatment, and therefore in long-term therapy there may be no entirely "safe" dose. Adherence to guidelines on the prevention of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis is strongly recommended (9).

Treatment with GCs is associated with an increased risk of infection, again related to dose; this risk appears to be

low in patients taking low daily doses. Infections represent a leading cause of death in SLE, and their occurrence has been related both to immunosuppressive treatment and to the disease itself (9). High-dose GC therapy may also increase the risk of cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection. CMV infection may mimic active SLE, and might be frequent with high-dose corticosteroid therapy used to treat active SLE. Therefore, CMV testing (antigenemia) should be considered in selected cases, particularly in patients with active disease undergoing therapy with high-dose GCs (9). Furthermore, assessment for the presence of chronic infections (tuberculosis, hepatitis B and C viruses) should be included in endemic areas (9, 24).

Assessment and correction of comorbidities and/or side-effects – especially diabetes, osteoporosis, peptic ulcer, glaucoma/cataract, peptic ulcer – should be addressed before and during treatment. Patients receiving chronic therapy who undergo surgery are at risk to develop adrenal insufficiency, and therefore should receive glucocorticoid replacement therapies.

Withdrawal of treatment

SLE patients frequently are maintained on a low dose of GCs (10 mg/day or less of prednisone or equivalent) for years (2). Of 215 SLE patients followed at our Unit, only 30 (14%) had discontinued GC treatment at the last observation. In 2003, Gladman *et al.* suggested that during the first year of disease about 58% of damage could be related to GC use, as compared to about 80% of damage at later stages of disease (32). Although some of the developed damage could indeed be attributed to active disease, nonetheless these data highlight the important impact of GC treatment in damage development.

Therefore, it is important to define not only when and how GCs should be used in SLE treatment but also if, when and how these drugs should be discontinued, after obtaining a stable clinical status of remission.

In a recent survey, Walsh and colleagues showed the presence of extreme variation in practice patterns of GC therapy

after induction of remission in patients with diffuse proliferative glomerulonephritis (37), suggesting continued uncertainty on whether long-term treatment is beneficial or not.

Moroni *et al.* reported a retrospective study of 44 patients with diffuse proliferative glomerulonephritis who had been stabilised, in whom treatment was stopped; 12 had flares, but the remaining 32 patients did not show signs of renal or extra-renal activity. The authors concluded that discontinuation of treatment could be appropriate in patients with persistent remission of renal disease, after at least 5 years of treatment. Discontinuation should be very gradual, and monitoring should be very strict (38). No other data on GC withdrawal for non-renal manifestations are available in the literature.

Conclusions

Although many drugs have been introduced in the treatment of SLE, GCs still represent a cornerstone in the treatment of SLE in all its clinical aspects and different levels of severity. In severe disease, pulse GCs appear able to rapidly control disease activity, although their effect is not maintained in the long term and therefore immunosuppressive drugs are required.

However, long-term GC treatment carries a risk of adverse effects, with the development of consistent morbidity. Therefore, GCs may be viewed as a double-edged sword in the treatment of SLE and are one of the first drugs that the treating physician is willing to withdraw in long-term treatment.

References

1. HENCH PS, KENDALL EC, SLOCUMB CH, POLLEY HF: Effects of cortisone acetate and pituitary ACTH on rheumatoid arthritis, rheumatic fever and certain other conditions. *Arch Int Med* 1950; 85: 545-66.
2. BORCHERS AT, KEEN CL, SHOENFELD Y, GERSHWIN ME: Surviving the butterfly and the wolf: mortality trends in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Autoimm Rev* 2004; 3: 423-53.
3. ZONANA-NACACH A, BARR SG, MAGDER LS, PETRI M: Damage in systemic lupus erythematosus and its association with corticosteroids. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; 43: 1801-8.
4. YILDIRIM-TORUNER C, DIAMOND B: Current and novel therapeutics in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 127: 303-12.

5. MAZZANTINI M, TALARICO R, DOVERI M *et al.*: Incident comorbidity among patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated or not with low-dose glucocorticoids: a retrospective study. *J Rheumatol* 2010; 37: 2232-6.
6. DANOWSKIA, MAGDER L, PETRIM: Flares in lupus: Outcome Assessment Trial (FLOAT), a comparison between oral methylprednisolone and intramuscular triamcinolone. *J Rheumatol* 2006; 33: 57-60.
7. BOOTSMA H, SPRONK P, DERKSEN R *et al.*: Prevention of relapses in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lancet* 1995; 345: 1595-9.
8. TSENG CE, BUYON JP, KIM M *et al.*: The effect of moderate-dose corticosteroids in preventing severe flares in patients with serologically active, but clinically stable, systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 54: 3623-32.
9. MOSCA M, TANI C, ARINGER M *et al.*: EULAR recommendations for monitoring systemic lupus erythematosus patients in clinical practice and in observational studies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; 69: 1269-74.
10. PARKER BJ, BRUCE IN: High dose methylprednisolone therapy for the treatment of severe systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2007; 16: 387-93.
11. BADSHA H, EDWARDS CJ: Intravenous pulses of methylprednisolone for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 32: 370-7.
12. AUSTIN II HA, KLIPPEL JH, BALOW JE *et al.*: Therapy of lupus nephritis. Controlled trial of prednisone and cytotoxic drugs. *N Engl J Med* 1986; 314: 614-9.
13. BOUMPAS DT, AUSTIN HA 3RD, VAUGHN EM *et al.*: Controlled trial of pulse methylprednisolone versus two regimens of pulse cyclophosphamide in severe lupus nephritis. *Lancet* 1992; 340: 741-5.
14. GOURLEY MF, AUSTIN HA 3RD, SCOTT D *et al.*: Methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide, alone or in combination, in patients with lupus nephritis. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Int Med* 1996; 125: 549-57.
15. STEINBERG AD, STEINBERG SC: Long-term preservation of renal function in patients with lupus nephritis receiving treatment that includes cyclophosphamide versus those treated with prednisone only. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001; 43: 945-50.
16. HOUSIAU FA, VASCONCELOS C, D'CRUZ D *et al.*: Immunosuppressive therapy in lupus nephritis the euro-lupus nephritis trial, a randomized trial of low-dose versus high-dose intravenous cyclophosphamide. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 2121-31.
17. MORONI G, DORIA A, MOSCA M *et al.*: A randomized pilot trial comparing cyclosporine and azathioprine for maintenance therapy in diffuse lupus nephritis over four years. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 1: 925-32.
18. FLANC RS, ROBERTS MA, STRIPPOLI GFM, CHADBAN SJ, KERR PG, ATKINS RC: Treatment of diffuse proliferative lupus nephritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Kid Dis* 2004; 43: 197-208.
19. EDWARDS JCW, SNAITH ML, ISENBERG DA: A double blind controlled trial of methylprednisolone infusions in systemic lupus erythematosus using individualized outcome assessment. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1987; 46: 773-6.
20. MACKWORTH-YOUNG CG, DAVID J, MORGAN SH, HUGHES GRV: A double blind, placebo controlled trial of intravenous methylprednisolone in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1988; 47: 496-502.
21. BADSHA H, KONG KO, LIAN TY, CHAN SP, EDWARDS CJ, CHNG HH: Low-dose pulse methylprednisolone for systemic lupus erythematosus flares is efficacious and has a decreased risk of infectious complications. *Lupus* 2002; 8: 508-13.
22. BARILE-FABRIS L, ARIZA-ANDRACA R, OLGUIN-ORTEGA L *et al.*: Controlled clinical trial of IV cyclophosphamide versus IV methylprednisolone in severe neurological manifestations in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; 64: 620-5.
23. FRANCHIN G, DIAMOND B: Pulse steroids: how much is enough? *Autoimmunity reviews* 2006; 5: 111-3.
24. KUHN A, RULAND V, BONSMANN G: Cutaneous lupus erythematosus: update of therapeutic options. Part I. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 10.1016/j.jaad.2010.06.018.
25. JANSSEN NM, GENTA M: The effects of immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory medications on fertility, pregnancy and lactation. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160: 610-9.
26. ØSTENSEN M, KHAMASHTA M, LOCKSHIN M *et al.*: Anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive drugs and reproduction. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2006; 8: 209.
27. PETRI M: The Hopkins Lupus Pregnancy Center: ten key issues in management. *Rheum Dis Clin N Am* 2007; 33: 227-35.
28. BRUCATO A, ASTORI MG, CIMAZ R *et al.*: Normal neuropsychological development of children with congenital complete heart block exposed or not in utero to high dose dexamethasone. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006; 65: 1422-6.
29. DA SILVA JA, JACOBS JW, BIJLSMA JV: Revisiting the toxicity of low-dose glucocorticoids: risks and fears. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006; 1069: 275-88.
30. HOES JN, JACOBS JW, BOERS M *et al.*: EULAR evidence based recommendations on the management of systemic glucocorticoid therapy in rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007; 66: 1560-7.
31. NAKAMURA J, OHTORI S, SAKAMOTO M, CHUMA A, ABE I, SHIMIZU K: Development of new osteonecrosis in systemic lupus erythematosus patients in association with long-term corticosteroid therapy after disease recurrence. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2010; 28: 13-8.
32. GLADMAN DD, UROWITZ MB, RAHMAN P, IBANEZ D, TAM LS: Accrual of organ damage over time in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2003; 30: 1955-9.
33. BRUCE IN: 'Not only but also': factors that contribute to accelerated atherosclerosis and premature coronary heart disease in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatology* 2005; 44: 1492-502.
34. BECKER-MEROK A, NOSSENT HC: Damage accumulation in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus and its relation to disease activity and mortality. *J Rheumatol* 2006; 33: 1570-7.
35. ROMAN MJ, SHANKER BA, DAVIS A *et al.*: Prevalence and correlates of accelerated atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 349: 2399-406.
36. SABIO J, VARGAS-HITOS J, NAVARRETE N, HIDALGO-TENORIO C, JIMENEZ-ALONSO J: Effects of low or medium-dose of prednisone on insulin resistance in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2010; 28: 483-9.
37. WALSH M, JAYNE D, MOIST L, TONELLI M, PANNU N, MANN B: Practice pattern variation in oral glucocorticoid therapy after the induction of response in proliferative lupus nephritis. *Lupus* 2010; 19: 628-33.
38. MORONI G, GALLELLI B, QUAGLINI S *et al.*: Withdrawal of therapy in patients with proliferative lupus nephritis: long-term follow-up. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; 21: 1541-8.