Letters to the Editors

Prevention of infusion reactions to infliximab in paediatric patients with oral acetylsalicylic acid

Sirs,

For those with refractory forms of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), the use of infliximab, a monoclonal TNF- α antibody, is emerging also in paediatric patients (1). For juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) infliximab is not licensed although proven efficient (2). Infusion reactions ranging from flushing or urticaria to anaphylaxis (3) remain a poorly preventable type of adverse event (4). Recently, we showed that prevention of acute infusion reactions with acetaminophen and cetirizine was not effective (5). In some cases, methylprednisolone has not worked, either (5-7).

In 2004, Becker *et al.* reported a successful prevention of infusion reactions in 3 patients with acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) hypothesising that ASA prevented a niacin-like reaction dependent on cyclooxygenase (COX)-mediated synthesis of prostaglandin D_2 (PGD2) (8). Thus, we set up a prospective observational study to determine the efficacy of ASA in preventing infliximab-related infusion reactions in paediatric patients.

A total of 88 paediatric patients (37 JIA (or related) and 51 IBD) were treated with infliximab during a 67-week period between March 2009 and June 2010 in the Children's Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ASA

was administered orally 30 minutes prior to infusion according to established weightadjusted guidelines. Trained personnel administered the infliximab infusions nonblinded on weeks 0, 2 and 6 at introduction and at a median interval of 7.1 weeks (range 4–8 weeks) during maintenance. The median dose was 5.2 mg/kg (range 3–13).

The total number of infusions during the study period was 547 (induction n=113, maintenance n=434): 482 with routine ASA premedication and, due to protocol violations, 54 without ASA. One patient was given 11 infusions with intravenous meth-ylprednisolone (IVMP) combined to ASA. The median number of infusions was 7.0 per patient.

Three children (3.4%) presented with an infusion reaction, while the total number of reactions was 6: 1 with routine ASA premedication (482 infusions, 0.2%), 1 without any premedication (54 infusions, 1.9%) and 4 (infusions 2–5/11) in the patient with IVMP and ASA. In our previous study(5), 11 (383 infusions, 2.9%) infusion reactions were seen in a total of 8 patients with acetaminophen and cetirizine (Table).

Thirty-four children carried over from our previous study (5). Four of them had previously presented with an infusion reaction but here, only one of them had a reaction. This particular patient was the one treated with combined IVMP and ASA. One of the previously non-reacting 30 patients had a reaction under no premedication in this study. Infliximab is of considerable importance in treating refractory IBD and has been successfully used in JIA. So far, infusion reactions remain poorly preventable. Recently, acetaminophen and cetirizine have proven ineffective (5) while the efficacy of glucocorticoids is debatable (6). Concomitant immunosuppressive therapy does not seem to prevent acute reactions either (4-6, 9). The present study suggests that ASA could be considered for clinical trials as a prophylactic agent against infliximab-related reactions, with a mechanism possibly through inhibition of COX-mediated PGD2 synthesis (8).

Of the few infusion reactions one was observed in a ASA-premedicated child and one in a child with no ASA. Though younger children are more prone to infusion reactions (4), two of the three patients who now experienced a reaction were older than 10 years of age.

Optimising dosage and timing, now determined by established dosages, could improve the usefulness of ASA as premedication. In irregular use with common doses serious adverse effects with ASA are unlikely, although *aspirin-induced asthma* (10) was suspected in one patient here.

In conclusion, in our preliminary study we report few infusion reactions with ASA as premedication and less when compared to our earlier results on acetaminophen and cetirizine. Neither glucocorticoids nor concomitant immunosuppression could prevent all reactions. Given the small number of in-

IVMP, discontinuation

Table A. Infliximab infusions and reactions to infliximab in relation to premedication in the current study (547 infusions in 88 paediatric patients) and previous study(5) with acetaminophen and cetirizine (383 infusions in 65 patients). **B.** Acute adverse events observed during the current study.

A.										
Type of premedication			No. of reactions		No. of infusions		% of reactions per infusion		Significance vs. ASA, p-value	
ASA			1		482		0.2%	2	_	
None			1		54		1.9%		0.1942	
Previous study (ref. 5) Acetaminophen and cetirizine			11			383		2	0.0017	
В.										
Patient number	Diagnosis	Sex, age	Maintenance therapy	Premed.	Wks since previous infusion	No. of infusions (period)	Infliximab dose	Adverse reaction	n First aid	
1	Crohn's, asthma	F, 18	Prednisolone 10mg x1	ASA	3	2 (2)	300 mg, 5.0 mg/kg	Nausea, vomiting dyspnea	g, Discontinuation	
2	JIA + CATCH22	F, 13								
Reaction 1		*	MTX 15 mg/wk	ASA+ IVMP	6	31 (1)	200 mg, 6 mg/kg	Flushing, rhinorr	hea Cetirizine	
Reaction 2			MTX 15 mg/wk	ASA+ IVMP	8	32 (1)	200 mg, 6.2 mg/kg	Urticaria, flushin	g Cetirizine	
Reaction 3			MTX 15 mg/wk	ASA+ IVMP	8	33 (1)	200 mg, 5.7 mg/kg	Flushing	None	
Reaction 4			MTX 15 mg/wk	ASA+ IVMP	6	34 (1)	200 mg, 5.6 mg/kg	Nausea, flushing	None	
3	JIA + uveitis	M, 9	None	None	6	39 (1)	100 mg,	Urticaria, dyspne	a, Oxygen, Ringer,	

Letters to the Editors

fusion reactions, and the small number of patients with no premedication, a controlled clinical trial is warranted.

Acknowledgement

We thank Anne Nikkonen, RN, for her invaluable assistance in collecting the patient data.

- A. HÄMÄLÄINEN, BMed
- P. LAHDENNE, MD, PhD
- A. WIKSTRÖM, MD, PhD
- K. AALTO, MD, PhD
- K.-L. KOLHO, MD, PhD

Children's Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

Please address correspondence to: Dr Anssi Hämäläinen, Children's Hospital, University of Helsinki, Stenbäckinkatu 11, PL 281, 00029 HUS Helsinki, Finland.

E-mail: anssi.hamalainen@helsinki.fi

Funding for this study was provided by The Finnish Pediatric Research Foundation, the Päivikki and Sakari Sohlberg Foundation, and the Helsinki University Central Hospital Research Fund.

Competing interests: none declared.

References

- BAUMGART DC, SANDBORN WJ: Inflammatory bowel disease: clinical aspects and established and evolving therapies. *Lancet* 2007; 369: 1641-57.
- RUPERTO N, LOVELL DJ, CUTTICA R et al.: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of infliximab plus methotrexate for the treatment of polyarticular-course juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2007; 56: 3096-106.
- 3. DELABAYE I, DE KEYSER F, THE REMITRACT STUDY GROUP: 74-week follow-up of safety of infliximab in patients with refractory rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010; 12: R121.
- 4. KOLHO KL, RUUSKA T, SAVILAHTI E: Severe adverse reactions to Infliximab therapy are common in young children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Acta Paediatr* 2007; 96: 128-30.

- LAHDENNE P, WIKSTROM AM, AALTO K, KOLHO KL: Prevention of acute adverse events related to infliximab infusions in pediatric patients. *Arthritis Care Res* 2010; 62: 785-90.
- JACOBSTEIN DA, MARKOWITZ JE, KIRSCHNER BS *et al.*: Premedication and infusion reactions with infliximab: results from a pediatric inflammatory bowel disease consortium. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2005; 11: 442-6.
- FARRELL RJ, ALSAHLI M, JEEN YT, FALCHUK KR, PEPPERCORN MA, MICHETTI P: Intravenous hydrocortisone premedication reduces antibodies to infliximab in Crohn's disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Gastroenterology* 2003; 124: 917-24.
- BECKER M, ROSE CD, MCILVAIN-SIMPSON G: Niacin-like reaction to infliximab infusion in systemic juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2004; 31: 2529-30.
- FRIESEN CA, CALABRO C, CHRISTENSON K et al.: Safety of infliximab treatment in pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2004; 39: 265-9.
- BABU KS, SALVI SS: Aspirin and asthma. Chest 2000; 118: 1470-6.