The role of the *NLRP1* gene in systemic sclerosis: a replication study

Sirs,

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is an autoimmune disease of the connective tissue characterised by excessive fibrosis of the dermis and vascular damage (1), in which environmental and genetic factors are involved in its susceptibility. Despite the fact that the whole genetic component of SSc remains unknown, in the last decade several genes have been described to influence SSc predisposition and main clinical characteristics (2). In this regard, the NLRP1 (NLR family, pyrin domain containing 1) gene, a regulator of the innate response, has been recently reported as a new SSc susceptibility locus, mainly as a risk factor for SSc-related lung fibrosis and anti-topoisomerase positive SSc phenotypes (3). Given the importance to validate the association report through independent replication studies to establish new SSc susceptibility loci, we sought to replicate the NLRP1 rs8182352 variant in an independent large European population.

A total of 2,000 SSc patients and 3,802 controls from four European populations (Spain, The Netherlands, Germany and Italy) were included. All the patients fulfilled the classification criteria for SSc by LeRoy *et al.* (4). The main features of all the populations have been described previously (5, 6).

Genotype frequencies were in HWE in cases and controls. No significant differences were detected between rs8182352 and SSc susceptibility or SSc-related subphenotypes (Table I). A combined meta-analysis was subsequently performed including the previous results reported by Dieudé *et al.* (3), comprising a total of 3,929 SSc patients and 5,731 controls. Similarly, no significant differences between the allele frequencies of cases and controls were observed in the pooled analysis ($P_{Pooled} = 0.55$ OR=1.02, 95% CI= 0.96 to 1.08, P_{BD}= 0.23).

In addition, we analysed whether rs8182352 was a susceptibility locus for ATA production and the development of fibrosing alveolitis, as described previously (3). Our results showed heterogeneous differences between populations in both comparisons; hence, the combined OR was calculated under random effects. However, the overall allelic distribution in the combined analysis revealed no evidence of association with the presence of ATA and lung fibrosis (Table I). Additionally, we compared SSc patients positive for ATA or lung fibrosis with those patients without these clinical features. The meta-analysis including the French population showed no evidence of association for ATA under random model $(P_{pooled} = 0.535, \text{ OR} = 1.08 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.85-1.36, P_{BD} = 0.01)$ and for fibrosis alveolitis under fixed model (P_{Pooled} =0.940, OR=0.99 95% CI=0.88-1.12, P_{BD}=0.212).

Table I. Combined analyses of the NLRP1 rs8182352 variant.

T/T (%)	T/C (%)	C/C (%)	C	p-value**	OR [95% CI]**	$P_BD^{\dagger\dagger}$
()			(%)			_
			· /			
ean popu	lations* †					
28.7	48.0	23.4	47.3	0.684	0.98 [0.91-1.06]	0.12
28.1	48.2	23.7	47.8	0.983	1.00 [0.92-1.09]	0.15
30.1	47.1	22.8	46.4	0.402	0.95 [0.84-1.07]	0.52
28.7	47.8	23.5	47.4	0.726	0.98 [0.88-1.09]	0.28
30.9	45.4	23.7	46.4	0.353	0.94 [0.83-1.07]	0.20
29.3	49.6	21.1	49.9	0.258	0.93 [0.82-1.06]	0.15
27.5	49.4	23.1	47.8			
vious stu	dy from I	Dieudé et	al.(3)			
28.0	49.8	22.2	47.1	0.549	1.02 [0.96-1.08]	0.23
26.6	49.9	23.4	48.4	0.345	1.07 [0.90-1.27]	0.01
27.1	49.9	22.9	47.9	0.361	1.07 [0.93-1.22]	0.04
28.1	49.8	22.1	47.0			
	28.7 28.1 30.1 28.7 30.9 29.3 27.5 vious stu- 28.0 26.6 27.1	28.7 48.0 28.1 48.2 30.1 47.1 28.7 47.8 30.9 45.4 29.3 49.6 27.5 49.4 vious study from I 28.0 28.0 49.8 26.6 49.9 27.1 49.9	28.1 48.2 23.7 30.1 47.1 22.8 28.7 47.8 23.5 30.9 45.4 23.7 29.3 49.6 21.1 27.5 49.4 23.1 vious study from Dieudé et 28.0 49.8 22.2 26.6 49.9 23.4 27.1 49.9 22.9	28.7 48.0 23.4 47.3 28.1 48.2 23.7 47.8 30.1 47.1 22.8 46.4 28.7 47.8 23.5 47.4 30.9 45.4 23.7 46.4 29.3 49.6 21.1 49.9 27.5 49.4 23.1 47.8 vious study from Dieudé <i>et al.</i> (3) 28.0 49.8 22.2 47.1 26.6 49.9 23.4 48.4 27.1 49.9 22.9 47.9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

All comparisons are against controls.

*Independent analysis in each population is showed in Supplementary Table I.

[†]All the patients fulfilled the classification criteria for SSc by LeRoy *et al.* (4). ACAs were determined by their characteristic distinctive pattern on HEP 2 cells, while ATAs was detected by passive immunodiffusion against calf thymus extract (Inova Diagnostics, Davis, California, USA). Pulmonary fibrosis was diagnosed by High Resolution Computed Tomography (HRCT).

 $^{\circ}$ The estimation of the power was calculated with OR of 1.2 at the 5% significance level, assuming a SSc prevalence of 0.01% and considering a minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.48.

**Combined ORs were calculated according to a fixed-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel meta-analysis) or random effects (DerSimonian-Laird meta-analysis), when necessary. *p*-values lower than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

^{††}The homogeneity of OR among all populations was calculated by Breslow-Day test.

SSc: sclerosis systemic; lcSSc: limited cutaneous subtype; dcSSc: diffuse cutaneous subtype; ACA: anti-centromere antibodies; ATA: anti-topoisomerase I antibodies; PF: pulmonary fibrosis; +: positive; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence intervals; P_BD: *p*-value by Breslow-Day method; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium.

In agreement with previous data (3), our results firmly establish that NLRP1 gene does not confer risk to SSc or the two major clinical forms of the disease: limited cutaneous (lcSSc) and diffuse cutaneous (dcSSc). In addition, we can not confirm the recent reported association of the NLRP1 rs8182352 variant with SSc-related fibrosis alveolitis or anti-topoisomerase-positive SSc, which is probably due to the differences in the phenotype measurement, difference in the genotype and population stratification. A homogeneous genetic background is necessary in order to limit the possibility of this bias. However, SSc patients show a high phenotypical heterogeneity between populations (2) and, a new revision of the current classification criteria for SSc would be desirable to better characterise this disease and its major subtypes/specific clinical features (2).

In summary, our results do not support the previously suggested role of the *NLRP1* rs8182352 in the development of specific features of SSc.

M. TERUEL ¹	P. CARREIRA ⁴			
C.P. SIMEON ²	P. GARCÍA DE LA PEÑA ⁵			
J. BROEN ³	M ^a Á. AGUIRRE ⁶			
and the SPANISH SCLERODERMA GROUP,				
L. BERETTA ⁷	A.E. VOSKUYL ¹⁰			
T. WITTE ⁸	A.J. SCHUERWEGH ¹¹			
A. KREUTER ⁹	T.R.D.J. RADSTAKE ¹²			
M.C. VONK ³	J. MARTIN ¹			

¹Instituto de Parasitología y Biomedicina López-Neyra, IPBLN-CSIC, Granada, Spain; ²Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Valle de Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; 3Dept. of Rheumatology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ⁴Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; 5Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario Madrid Norte Sanchinarro, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Reina Sofía, Córdoba, Spain; ⁷Referral Center for Systemic Autoimmune Diseases, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico and University of Milan, Milan, Italy; 8Clinic for Immunology and Rheumatology Medical School, Hannover, Germany; ⁹Dept. of Dermatology, Allergology, and Venereology, Ruhr University of Bochum, Bochum, Germany; ¹⁰Dept. of Rheumatology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ¹¹Dept. of Rheumatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ¹²Dept. of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Utrecht Medical Center, The Netherlands.

The Spanish Scleroderma Group: Norberto Ortego-Centeno, José Luis Callejas and Raquel Ríos, Systemic Autoimmune Diseases Unit, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Clínico Universitario San Cecilio, Granada; Nuria Navarrete and Antonio Garcia, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Virgen de las Nieves, Granada; María Teresa Camps, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Carlos Haya, Málaga; Antonio Fernández-Nebro, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Carlos Haya, Málaga; Rosa García-Portales, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Virgen de la Victoria, Málaga; María F. González-Escribano, Dept. of Immunology, Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla; Julio Sánchez-Román and Mª Jesús Castillo, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla; Inmaculada Gómez-Gracia, Dept. of

Letters to the editor

Rheumatology, Hospital Reina Sofía, Córdoba; Benjamín Fernández-Gutiérrez and Luis Rodríguez-Rodríguez, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid; Esther Vicente, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital La Princesa, Madrid: Mónica Fernández Castro and José Luis Andreu, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Puerta del Hierro, Madrid; Francisco Javier López-Longo and Lina Martínez-Estupiñán, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid; Anna Pros, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Del Mar, Barcelona; Vicente Fonollosa, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Valle de Hebrón, Barcelona; Gerard Espinosa, Department of Autoimmune Diseases, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona; Carlos Tolosa, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Parc Tauli, Sabadell; Mónica Rodríguez Carballeira, Dept.of Internal Medicine, Hospital Universitari Mútua Terrasa, Barcelona; Ivan Castellví, Unidad de Reumatología, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital de la Santa Čreu i Sant Pau, Barcelona; Francisco Javier Narváez, Dept of Rheumatology, Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Barcelona: Francisco Javier Blanco-García, Natividad Oreiro and María Ángeles Robles, Dept. of Rheumatology, INIBIC-Hospital Universitario A Coruña, A Coruña; María Victoria Egurbide, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital de Cruces, Vizcaya; Luis Sáez-Comet, Systemic Autoimmune Diseases Unit, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Zaragoza;

Miguel Ángel González-Gay and Ricardo

Blanco, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander; Bernardino Díaz, Luis Trapiella and María Gallego, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Hospital Central de Asturias, Oviedo; Federico Díaz, Vanesa Hernández and Esmeralda Delgado-Frías, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Tenerife; Emma Beltrán, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital del Doctor Peset Aleixandre, Valencia; José Andrés Román-Ivorra, Dept. of Rheumatology, Hospital Universitari i Politecnic La Fe, Valencia.

Address correspondence to: María Teruel, PhD, Instituto de Parasitología y Biomedicina 'López-Neyra', IPBLN-CSIC, Avenida del Conocimiento s/n, 18106 Armilla (Granada), Spain.

E-mail: mteruel@ipb.csic.es

Competing interests: M. Vonk is a consultant and member of speakers' bureau, and has received research support from Actelion, Pfizer, and United Therapeutics; the other co-athors have declared no competing

interests.

Acknowledgments: We thank Sofia Vargas, Sonia Garcia and Gema Robledo for their excellent technical assistance, and all the patients and healthy controls for kindly accepting to give their essential collaboration. Banco Nacional de ADN (University of Salamanca, Spain) is thanked for supplying part of the control material. We are also thankful to EUSTAR (The EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group) and the German Network of Systemic Sclerosis for the facilitation of this project.

Funding: This work was supported by the following grants. J.M. was funded by SAF2009-

11110 from the Spanish Ministry of Science, by CTS-4977 and PI-0590-2010 from Junta de Andalucía and by the RETICS Programme, RD08/0075 (RIER) from Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), within the VI PN de I+D+i 2008-2011 (FEDER). T.R.D.J.R. was funded by the VIDI laureate from the Dutch Association of Research (NWO) and Dutch Arthritis Foundation (National Reumafonds). J.M. and T.R.D.J.R. were sponsored by the Orphan Disease Programme grant from the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR). T.W. was funded by a grant from DFG WI 1031/6.1 and DFG KFO 250 TP03. MT was supported by Spanish Ministry of Science through the Juan de la Cierva programme (JCI-2010-08227).

References

- GABRIELLI A, AVVEDIMENTO EV, KRIEG T: Scleroderma. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360: 1989-2003.
- MARTIN JE, BOSSINI-CASTILLO L, MARTIN J: Unraveling the genetic component of systemic sclerosis. *Hum Genet* 2012; 131: 1023-37.
- DIEUDE P, GUEDJ M, WIPFF J et al.: NLRP1 influences the systemic sclerosis phenotype: a new clue for the contribution of innate immunity in systemic sclerosis-related fibrosing alveolitis pathogenesis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011; 70: 668-74.
- LEROY EC, BLACK C, FLEISCHMAJER R et al.: Scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): classification, subsets and pathogenesis. J Rheumatol 1988; 15: 202-5.
- GORLOVA O, MARTIN JE, RUEDA B et al.: Identification of novel genetic markers associated with clinical phenotypes of systemic sclerosis through a genome-wide association strategy. PLoS Genet 2011; 7: e1002178.
- RADSTAKE TR, GORLOVA O, RUEDA B et al.: Genome-wide association study of systemic sclerosis identifies CD247 as a new susceptibility locus. *Nat Genet* 2010; 42: 426-9.