
The role of low-dose glucocorticoids for rheumatoid arthritis in the biologic era

R. Caporali¹, C.A. Scirè², M. Todoerti¹, C. Montecucco¹

¹Division of Rheumatology, University of Pavia, IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy;

²Epidemiology Unit, Italian Society for Rheumatology (SIR), Milano, Italy.

Roberto Caporali, MD
Carlo Alberto Scirè, MD, PhD
Monica Todoerti, MD

Carlomaurizio Montecucco, MD
Please address correspondence to:
Roberto Caporali, MD,
IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo
Piazzale Golgi 2
27100 Pavia, Italy.

E-mail: caporali@smatteo.pv.it

Received and accepted on September 3, 2013.

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2013; 31 (Suppl. 78): S9-S13.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2013.

Key words: rheumatoid arthritis, glucocorticoid, biologics, remission, discontinuation

ABSTRACT

In rheumatoid arthritis (RA), low-dose glucocorticoid (GC) therapy has a well-established effect on disease activity. Particularly in early RA, robust evidence demonstrates that GC treatment in association with standard disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) is effective in inducing high remission rates, earlier and more persistently.

Despite international recommendations that discourage long-term concomitant GC use, the majority of the clinical trials and observational registries on biologic agents include a high proportion (up to 80%) of patients in treatment with GC.

From an analysis of the literature, a substantial lack of reliable information about the efficacy of GC in association with biologic agents emerges; in particular, the role of GC co-therapy in sustaining remission after biological therapy discontinuation remains to be clarified.

Given the increasing prevalence of patients in sustained remission, a rational discontinuation strategy should include low-dose GCs in the experimental design to elucidate their role in inducing and maintaining biologic-free remission, for efficacy, safety and pharmacoeconomic considerations.

Introduction

In rheumatoid arthritis (RA), low-dose glucocorticoid (GC) therapy has a well-established effect on disease activity (1, 2). Particularly in early RA, robust evidence demonstrates that GC treatment in association with standard disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) is effective in inducing high remission rates, earlier and more persistently (3–5). Such an earlier and more stringent control of inflammation, as also revealed in imaging studies (6–8), may account for better functional (9) and structural outcomes (10).

GC co-therapy seems to have a role in a *treat-to target* and *tight control* strategy, as recently demonstrated by the CAMERA II study, in which RA patients treated with 10 mg/day of prednisone showed higher remission rate with respect to placebo-treated patients (3). This may allow a reduced rate of patients who need additional treatments, including biologic agents, with obvious economic and safety implications.

Systematic literature reviews and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials (RCT) support a disease-modifying effect of GC in terms of reduced radiographic progression (10–12). Although clinically significant also in established disease (13), this disease-modifying effect is more evident in the early phases, prior to any joint damage. Accordingly, low-dose GC are currently recommended in early RA at least as bridging therapy (14, 15).

In real life, the use of GC is not limited to early RA; for example, in the QUEST-RA database, about two-thirds of patients analysed were receiving concurrent GCs (16). A general decline in GC dosages toward <5 mg/day regimens has been documented in the recent decades; such very low-dose GC is associated with low risk of adverse events, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cataract, with evidence of long-term effectiveness (17). Several RCTs also appear to confirm a favourable risk/benefit ratio for low-dose GC regimens in RA (18).

Glucocorticoids and biologic agents

Although the literature suggests a long-term disease-modifying effect of low-dose GC treatment, in most studies it is not possible to discriminate between the contributions made by different components in a combination regimen that includes both GC and synthetic DMARDs. This is even more difficult in analyses of clinical trials of biologic agents, in which potential additive or

Competing interests: none declared.

multiplicative effect of GCs over biologics (and conventional DMARDs) has not been systematically investigated. As reported in a comprehensive review of RCTs, the percentages of patients receiving concomitant GC treatment range from 34% to 93%, at least 50% for each biologic agent: abatacept, 74.4%; golimumab, 67.9%; infliximab, 60.6%; certolizumab 57.5%; rituximab, 57.5%; etanercept, 54.4%; tocilizumab, 52.8%; adalimumab, 50.4% (19). It is difficult to assess the contribution of concomitant GC beyond the specific effect of a given biologic drug, as well as to compare and properly evaluate safety data. Moreover, reporting on concomitant treatments is not adequate to evaluate the effect of biologic agents in the subgroup of patients who take GC treatment (19).

Although the majority of the clinical trials on biologics include patients in treatment with GC, international recommendations discourage concomitant GCs (14). This is mainly due to the increased risk of infection of high-dose GC noticeable in observational settings – in which GC are administered based on disease severity and comorbidities – rather than in RCT (20, 21). The analysis of the complex interaction of anti-TNF alpha agents with concomitant risk factors and GC use supports a clinically important increased risk of infections in patients exposed to more than 7.5 mg of prednisone per day (22).

Since patients in clinical trials are drawn from clinical practice, a similar proportion of concomitant GC is seen in both clinical trials and clinical care. In observational registers, the prevalence of GC ranges from 40 to 84% (23, 24).

The efficacy of biologic agents may be accounted for in part by interaction with concurrent treatments, and it may be more appropriate to consider efficacy in the context of a disease-modifying drug combination strategy, rather than focusing on individual disease-modifying drugs (25). This concept of strategy has been clearly demonstrated by the BeSt study and other clinical trials, in which a treatment strategy rather than a specific agent has been evaluated. In the BeSt trial, concomitant treatment with prednisone (at a maintenance

dose of 7.5 mg per day) and synthetic DMARDs resulted in clinical improvements comparable to those observed in patients receiving infliximab in conjunction with methotrexate in patients with recent-onset RA. Furthermore, the BeSt trial introduced the practical possibility to aim for persistent and even drug-free remission (26). Trials in early RA evaluating the efficacy of GCs over synthetic plus biologic DMARDs will elucidate possible interaction between these drugs in the context of a disease-modifying strategy, aiming for sustained remission even after down-titration and drug discontinuation.

Discontinuation of glucocorticoids and biologic agents

The possibility to modulate treatment after the achievement of a persistent status of clinical remission is now one of the challenges of clinical research of RA, to improve patient outcomes, reduce adverse events, and lighten the economic burden of widespread and long-term treatment with high-cost biologic drugs. This goal is made feasible in practice by the high remission rates achieved by intensive therapeutic strategies applied in the early phases of the disease course (27). The recently updated EULAR recommendations for the management of RA suggest ‘for patients in persistent remission, first taper down the corticosteroid dosage’, and ‘if remission persists consider tapering down treatment with any biological DMARD, especially if the patient is also receiving one or more synthetic DMARDs.

When examining data concerning long-term efficacy and safety of low-dose GCs in early RA, particularly in a perspective of pharmaceutical cost containment, a need to suspend GCs before the biologic DMARD may appear counterintuitive. However, the prescription of GC in clinical practice often is perceived as an indicator of disease activity and lack of adequate response to DMARDs. Also, GCs are thought to mask the actual disease activity, because of their symptomatic effect. This perception may be linked mainly to “confounding by indication,” as, in clinical practice, patients

with established RA who are receiving treatment with GC are more likely to be those with more severe disease.

Nevertheless, little prospective evidence is available concerning the best strategy for down-titration/withdrawal of combination therapy once a patient has achieved a status of sustained response to combination treatment including GCs, conventional DMARDs and biologics. Perhaps this will vary considerably in different individual patients, so that group data from a randomised trial will provide only guidelines for individual patients.

Several studies have evaluated discontinuation of biologic agents (28, 29). Table I reports the characteristics of withdrawal group of patients in studies evaluating biologic discontinuation. Interpreting the results from these studies is difficult due to their wide heterogeneity of patients and methods.

A first level of variability is related to the study samples, which vary in terms of disease duration, duration and type of exposure to biologic agents, and threshold of disease activity for discontinuation. Further differences in withdrawal strategy, presence of comparator, definition of the outcome, study duration and design render it infeasible to pool data for reliable figures and external validity.

Among all these issues, a further complexity relies on concurrent treatment during the discontinuation period: the use of concomitant non-biologic DMARDs and GC at the time of biologic discontinuation is highly variable. Out of 18 reported studies of biologic discontinuation in RA, 15 report concurrent exposure to GC, of which 12 allowed the use of low-dose GC (≤ 10 mg/day). The percentage of patients on treatment with GC is reported in 6 out of 12 studies, and ranges between 4% and 61%. Dosages are reported in 5 out of 12 studies, ranging from 2.5 to 3.8 mg/day. The overall rate of successful biologic discontinuation in the moderate term (6 to 12 months) ranges from 0 to 82%, with better outcome for studies recruiting early RA patients.

The influence of GC co-medication is not quantitatively evaluable. Only 4 studies report results on the impact of

Table I. Glucocorticoid use in the main biologic discontinuation studies.

Study	Year	Drug	Number	Design	Duration of biologic use	Discontinuation criterion	Duration required	Concurrent DMARD	Concurrent GC (PDN)	GC	GC dose	Outcome (failure)	Time	Absence of failure
Aguilar-Lozano <i>et al.</i> (34)	2013	TCZ	45	LTE	5 years	DAS28<2.6 SJC28=0	Cross sectional	100%	?	?	?	SJC28>0	12 months	26.6%
DREAM (33)	2013	TCZ	187	LTE	Median 7.8 years	DAS28 <3.2	2-3 time points	0%	Y (stable <10mg/d)	34.2%	2.8mg/d	DAS28 ≥3.2 drug-free	At 52 wks	13.4%
PRESERVE (35)	2013	ETN	200	RCT	8 months	DAS28 <3.2	Cross sectional	100%	Y (stable <10mg/d)	61%	?	DAS28 ≥3.2	At 52 wks	42.6%
CERTAIN (36)	2013	CZP	17	LTE	6 months	CDAI ≤2.8	Cross sectional	100%	?	?	?	CDAI >2.8	28 wks	17.6%
HIT HARD (37)	2013	ADA	87	LTE	6 months	N/A	N/A	100%	Y (<10mg/d)	?	?	DAS28 ≥2.6	24wks	N/A
ADMIRE (38)	2012	ADA	15	RCT	Median 43.3 months	DAS28 <2.6	≥3 months	100%	Y (stable <10mg/d)	?	?	DAS28 ≥2.6	At 28wk	33.3%
BRIGHT (31)	2012	ADA	22	LTE	Mean 45.8 months	DAS28 <2.7	Cross sectional	13.6%	Y	40.9%	3.7mg/d	DAS28 ≥2.7	At 52 wks	18.2%
DOSERA (39)	2012	ETN	23	RCT	Mean 35.3 months	DAS28 <3.2	11 months	100%	Y (≤7.5mg)	?	?	DAS28 ≥3.2 and Δ ≥0.6	48wks	13.0%
HONOR (40)	2012	ADA	51	SA	Mean 16.6 months	DAS28 <2.6	6 months	100%	N	0%	0	DAS28 ≥2.6	12 months	36%
Van der Maas <i>et al.</i> (41)	2012	IFX	51	SA	Mean 67.2 months	DAS28 <3.2	6 months	100%	Y	4%	?	Stopping/dow-titration	54 wks	16%/45%
OPTIMA (32)	2012	ADA	102	RCT	26wks	DAS28 <3.2	4 wks	100%	Y?	?	?	DAS28 ≥3.2	At 52wks	81.2%
BeSt (42)	2011	IFX	104	LTE	Median 11 months	DAS ≤2.4	6 months	100%	N	0%	0	DAS >2.4	12 months	≈80%
ALLOW (43)	2011	ABA	80	RCT	12 wks	DAS responders	Cross sectional	100%	Y (stable <10mg/d)	55%	3.8mg/d	DAS28 ≥2.6	At 12 wks	N/A
Saleem <i>et al.</i> (44)	2010	ADA INF ETA	47	SA	19mo (27) 120mo (20)	DAS28 <2.6	>6 months	100%	N	0	0	DAS28 ≥2.6 or Δ>1.2	24 months	40.4%
RRR (30)	2010	IFX	102	SA	?	DAS28 <3.2	24 weeks	100%	Y (<5mg/d)	?	2.5mg/d	DAS28 ≥3.2	12 months	55%
Brocq <i>et al.</i> (44)	2009	ADA ETN IFX	21	SA	Mean 40.3 months	DAS28 <2.6	6 months	66.7%	Y (≤5mg/d)	14.3%	2.7mg/d	DAS28 ≥3.2	12 months	25%
Quinn <i>et al.</i> (46)	2005	IFX	10	LTE	12months	N/A	N/A	100%	Y	?	?	DAS28	58wks	N/A
ATTRACT (47)	2004	IFX	17	LTE	24 months	N/A	Cross sectional	100%	Y	?	?	Loss of ACR20	1.5wks	0%

TCZ: tocilizumab; ABA: abatacept; ETA: etanercept; ADA: adalimumab; CZP: certolizumab pegol; INF: infliximab; PDN: prednisone equivalent; LTE: long-term extension trial; RCT: randomised controlled trial; SA: single arm trial; DAS: disease activity score; CDAI: clinical disease activity index; N/A: not available or applicable.

concurrent GC treatment on the discontinuation outcome.

The RRR, a single-arm clinical study of infliximab discontinuation in RA patients, analysed the influence of low dose of GC (mean prednisone dosage of 2.5 mg/day) on the persistency of low disease activity (LDA) over 1 year, without finding any significant difference in univariable logistic models (30). The BRIGHT study, an open-label extension of a RCT of adalimumab (ADA) monotherapy, evaluated the persistence of LDA after ADA discontinuation over 52 weeks of follow-up in 46 RA patients. Though no formal statistical analyses were carried out because of the low power of the study, patients who achieved the primary endpoint of persistent LDA had shorter disease duration (4.4 vs. 18.1 years) and higher use of GC (75% vs. 33%) (31).

In the OPTIMA study, outcomes after 52 weeks of double-blind withdrawal or continuation of ADA were assessed in early RA patients who achieved a stable LDA target of DAS28 <3.2 at weeks 22 and 26 with initial ADA+MTX. GC use at baseline was not significantly associated with 'Biologic-Free Comprehensive Disease Control' (defined as DAS28 remission, absence of functional disability and radiographic progression) over 52 weeks of follow-up (32). The DREAM study, a long-term extension study of clinical trials, included 187 patients on monotherapy with tocilizumab (TCZ) and DAS28 remission or LDA, followed up for 54 weeks after withdrawal of TCZ. About one third of patients were in low-dose GC treatment. Patients on GC treatment showed a lower probability of persistency in LDA (HR 0.64; 95%CI 0.46, 0.88) in univariable analyses, which however was not significant (HR 1.1; 95%CI 0.76, 1.59) when adjusted for disease activity and severity measures (33).

From an analysis of the literature, a substantial lack of reliable information about the relationship between GC and biologic drugs emerges, and the role of GC co-therapy in sustaining remission after biological therapy discontinuation remains to be clarified. Even if more detailed information on concurrent treatment in biologic discontinua-

tion studies were available, no inference on the effect of GC would be possible. Since exposure to GC is not included in the experimental design, it is probably prescribed in patients with more severe disease, leading to confounding by indication.

Conclusion

Although there is a definite role of GC in the induction therapy of early RA, as well as the role of long-term (up to 2 years) low-dose GC in reducing structural progression, their use in the next phases of the clinical pathway of RA is still to be clarified. At present, GC co-medication in biologic-treated RA looks like *something that most rheumatologists do but do not wish to talk about*. Given the increasing prevalence of patients in sustained remission, a rational discontinuation strategy is desirable for effectiveness, safety and pharmacoeconomic considerations. Future studies should include GCs in the experimental design, trying to elucidate their role in inducing and maintaining biologic-free remission.

References

1. SAAG KG, CRISWELL LA, SEMS KM, NETTLEMAN MD, KOLLURI S: Low-dose corticosteroids in rheumatoid arthritis. A meta-analysis of their moderate-term effectiveness. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996; 39: 1818-25.
2. CAPORALI R, TODOERTI M, SAKELLARIOU G, MONTECUCCO C: Glucocorticoids in rheumatoid arthritis. *Drugs* 2013; 73: 31-43.
3. JACOBS JW: The CAMERA (Computer-Assisted Management in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis) studies. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012; 30 (Suppl. 73): S39-43.
4. SVENSSON B, HAFSTRÖM I: Effects on joint destruction and remission, bone turnover and lack of influence on atherogenesis: a review of the BARFOT low-dose prednisolone studies on patients with early RA. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011; 29 (Suppl. 68): S63-67.
5. MONTECUCCO C, TODOERTI M, SAKELLARIOU G, SCIRÈ CA, CAPORALI R: Low-dose oral prednisone improves clinical and ultrasonographic remission rates in early rheumatoid arthritis: results of a 12-month open-label randomised study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2012; 14: R112.
6. TODOERTI M, SCIRÈ CA, BOFFINI N, BUGATTI S, MONTECUCCO C, CAPORALI R: Early disease control by low-dose prednisone co-medication may affect the quality of remission in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2010; 1193: 139-45.
7. SCIRÈ CA, MONTECUCCO C, CODULLO V, EPIS O, TODOERTI M, CAPORALI R: Ultrasonographic evaluation of joint involvement

- in early rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission: power Doppler signal predicts short-term relapse. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2009; 48: 1092-7.
8. SAKELLARIOU G, SCIRÈ CA, VERSTAPPEN SMM, MONTECUCCO C, CAPORALI R: In patients with early rheumatoid arthritis, the new ACR/EULAR definition of remission identifies patients with persistent absence of functional disability and suppression of ultrasonographic synovitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013; 72: 245-9.
9. SCIRÈ CA, VERSTAPPEN SMM, MIRJAFARI H *et al.*: Reduction of long-term disability in inflammatory polyarthritis by early and persistent suppression of joint inflammation: results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register. *Arthritis Care Res* 2011; 63: 945-52.
10. KIRWAN JR, BIJLSMA JWJ, BOERS M, SHEA BJ: Effects of glucocorticoids on radiological progression in rheumatoid arthritis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007; 1: CD006356.
11. GRAUDAL N, JÜRGENS G: Similar effects of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, glucocorticoids, and biologic agents on radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis: meta-analysis of 70 randomized placebo-controlled or drug-controlled studies, including 112 comparisons. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010; 62: 2852-63.
12. MALYSHEVA O, BAERWALD CG: Low-dose corticosteroids and disease modifying drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011; 29 (Suppl. 68): S113-115.
13. CHOY EH, KINGSLEY GH, KHOSHABA B, PIPITONE N, SCOTT DL, INTRAMUSCULAR METHYLPREDNISOLONE STUDY GROUP: A two year randomised controlled trial of intramuscular depot steroids in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis who have shown an incomplete response to disease modifying antirheumatic drugs. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; 64: 1288-93.
14. SMOLEN JS, LANDEWÉ R, BREEDVELD FC *et al.*: EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; 69: 964-75.
15. GORTER SL: Rheumatoid arthritis and glucocorticoids; the contribution of a literature search to the development of a EULAR recommendation on treatment with glucocorticoids in RA. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011; 29 (Suppl. 68): S77-80.
16. SOKKA T, KAUTIAINEN H, TOLOZA S *et al.*: QUEST-RA: quantitative clinical assessment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis seen in standard rheumatology care in 15 countries. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007; 66: 1491-6.
17. PINCUS T, SOKKA T, CASTREJÓN I, CUTOLO M: Decline of mean initial prednisone dosage from 10.3 to 3.6 mg/day to treat rheumatoid arthritis between 1980 and 2004 in one clinical setting, with long-term effectiveness of dosages less than 5 mg/day. *Arthritis Care Res* 2013; 65: 729-36.
18. DA SILVA JAP: Safety of glucocorticoids - clinical trials. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011; 29 (Suppl. 68): S99-103.

19. ANDRÉ V, LE GOFF B, LEUX C, POT-VAUCEL M, MAUGARS Y, BERTHELOT J-M: Information on glucocorticoid therapy in the main studies of biological agents. *Joint Bone Spine* 2011; 78: 478-83.
20. CAPORALI R, CAPRIOLI M, BOBBIO-PALLAVICINI F, MONTECUCCO C: DMARDS and infections in rheumatoid arthritis. *Autoimmun Rev* 2008; 8: 139-43.
21. DIXON WG, SUISSA S, HUDSON M: The association between systemic glucocorticoid therapy and the risk of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: systematic review and meta-analyses. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2011; 13: R139.
22. STRANGFELD A, EVESLAGE M, SCHNEIDER M *et al.*: Treatment benefit or survival of the fittest: what drives the time-dependent decrease in serious infection rates under TNF inhibition and what does this imply for the individual patient? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011; 70: 1914-20.
23. GALLOWAY JB, HYRICH KL, MERCER LK *et al.*: Anti-TNF therapy is associated with an increased risk of serious infections in patients with rheumatoid arthritis especially in the first 6 months of treatment: updated results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register with special emphasis on risks in the elderly. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2011; 50: 124-31.
24. MARCHESONI A, ZACCARA E, GORLA R *et al.*: TNF- α antagonist survival rate in a cohort of rheumatoid arthritis patients observed under conditions of standard clinical practice. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2009; 1173: 837-46.
25. SOKKA T, PINCUS T: Rheumatoid arthritis: strategy more important than agent. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 430-2.
26. VAN DEN BROEK M, LEMS WF, ALLAART CF: BeSt practice: the success of early-targeted treatment in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012; 30 (Suppl. 73): S35-38.
27. BYKERK VP, KEYSTONE EC, KURIYA B, LARCHÉ M, THORNE JC, HARAOUI B: Achieving remission in clinical practice: lessons from clinical trial data. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2013; 31: 621-32.
28. VAN DEN BROEK M, LEMS WF, ALLAART CF: Do we need guidelines to stop as well as to start biological therapies for rheumatoid arthritis? *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012; 30 (Suppl. 73): S21-26.
29. YOSHIDA K, SUNG Y-K, KAVANAUGH A *et al.*: Biologic discontinuation studies: a systematic review of methods. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013 May 30 [Epub ahead of print].
30. TANAKA Y, TAKEUCHI T, MIMORI T *et al.*: Discontinuation of infliximab after attaining low disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: RRR (remission induction by Remicade in RA) study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; 69: 1286-91.
31. HARIGAI M, TAKEUCHI T, TANAKA Y, MATSUBARA T, YAMANAKA H, MIYASAKA N: Discontinuation of adalimumab treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients after achieving low disease activity. *Mod Rheumatol* 2012; 22: 814-22.
32. KAVANAUGH A, EMERY P, FLEISCHMANN R *et al.*: Withdrawal of adalimumab in early rheumatoid arthritis patients who attained stable low disease activity with adalimumab plus methotrexate: results of a phase 4, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2012; 51: 29-30.
33. NISHIMOTO N, AMANO K, HIRABAYASHI Y *et al.*: Drug free REmission/low disease activity after cessation of tocilizumab (Actemra) Monotherapy (DREAM) study. *Mod Rheumatol* 2013 May 3 [Epub ahead of print].
34. AGUILAR-LOZANO L, CASTILLO-ORTIZ JD, VARGAS-SERAFIN C *et al.*: Sustained clinical remission and rate of relapse after tocilizumab withdrawal in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2013; 40: 1069-73.
35. SMOLEN JS, NASH P, DUREZ P *et al.*: Maintenance, reduction, or withdrawal of etanercept after treatment with etanercept and methotrexate in patients with moderate rheumatoid arthritis (PRESERVE): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2013; 381: 918-29.
36. SMOLEN J, EMERY P, FERRACCIOLI G *et al.*: Maintenance of remission in ra patients with low to moderate disease activity following withdrawal of CertolizumabPegol treatment: week 52 results from the certain study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2013; 52: 85.
37. DETERT J, BASTIAN H, LISTING J *et al.*: Induction therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate for 24 weeks followed by methotrexate monotherapy up to week 48 versus methotrexate therapy alone for DMARD-naive patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: HIT HARD, an investigator-initiated study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013; 72: 844-50.
38. CHATZIDIONYSIOU K, TURESSON C, TELEMAN A *et al.*: A multicenter, randomized, controlled, open-label pilot study of the feasibility of discontinuation of adalimumab in rheumatoid arthritis patients in stable clinical remission. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012; 64: S336.
39. VAN VOLLENHOVEN RF, OSTERGAARD M, LEIRISALO-REPO M *et al.*: In rheumatoid arthritis patients with stable low disease activity on methotrexate plus etanercept, continuation of etanercept 50 mg weekly or. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012; 64: 4171.
40. TANAKA Y, HIRATA S, FUKUYO S *et al.*: Discontinuation of adalimumab without functional and radiographic damage progression after achieving sustained remission in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (the HONOR study): 1-year results. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012; 64: S333.
41. VAN DER MAAS A, KIEVIT W, VAN DEN BEMT BJF, VAN DEN HOOGEN FHJ, VAN RIEL PL, DEN BROEDER AA: Down-titration and discontinuation of infliximab in rheumatoid arthritis patients with stable low disease activity and stable treatment: an observational cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012; 71: 1849-54.
42. VAN DEN BROEK M, KLARENBEK NB, DIRVEN L *et al.*: Discontinuation of infliximab and potential predictors of persistent low disease activity in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis and disease activity score-steered therapy: subanalysis of the BeSt study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011; 70: 1389-94.
43. KAINE J, GLADSTEIN G, STRUSBERG I *et al.*: Evaluation of abatacept administered subcutaneously in adults with active rheumatoid arthritis: impact of withdrawal and reintroduction on immunogenicity, efficacy and safety (phase IIIb ALLOW study). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012; 71: 38-44.
44. SALEEM B, KEEN H, GOEB V *et al.*: Patients with RA in remission on TNF blockers: when and in whom can TNF blocker therapy be stopped? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; 69: 1636-42.
45. BROCOQ O, MILLASSEAU E, ALBERT C *et al.*: Effect of discontinuing TNF alpha antagonist therapy in patients with remission of rheumatoid arthritis. *Joint Bone Spine* 2009; 76: 350-55.
46. QUINN MA, CONAGHAN PG, O'CONNOR PJ *et al.*: Very early treatment with infliximab in addition to methotrexate in early, poor-prognosis rheumatoid arthritis reduces magnetic resonance imaging evidence of synovitis and damage, with sustained benefit after infliximab withdrawal - Results from a twelve-month randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 27-35.
47. BUCH MH, MARZO-ORTEGA H, BINGHAM SJ, EMERY P: Long-term treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with tumour necrosis factor alpha blockade: outcome of ceasing and re-starting biologicals. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2004; 43: 243-4.