# Vectra DA for the objective measurement of disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis

O.G. Segurado, E.H. Sasso

Crescendo Bioscience, South San Francisco, United States.

Oscar G. Segurado, MD, PhD Eric H. Sasso, MD

Please address correspondence to: Oscar G. Segurado, MD, PhD Crescendo Bioscience, 341 Oyster Point Blvd, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA.

*E-mail: osegurado@crescendobio.com Received on September 15, 2014; accepted in revised form on September 16, 2014.* 

*Clin Exp Rheumatol 2014; 32 (Suppl. 85): S29-S34.* 

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2014.

**Key words:** rheumatoid arthritis, Vectra DA, multi-biomarker disease activity (MBDA) score, tight control, therapy response monitoring

Competing interests: O.G. Segurado and E.H. Sasso are employees of Crescendo Bioscience, South San Francisco, USA.

# ABSTRACT

Quantitative and regular assessment of disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is required to achieve treatment targets such as remission and to optimise clinical outcomes. To assess inflammation accurately, predict joint damage and monitor treatment response, a measure of disease activity in RA should reflect the pathological processes resulting in irreversible joint damage and functional disability.

The Vectra DA blood test is an objective measure of disease activity for patients with RA. Vectra DA provides an accurate, reproducible score on a scale of 1 to 100 based on the concentrations of 12 biomarkers that reflect the pathophysiologic diversity of RA. The analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility of Vectra DA have been evaluated for patients with RA in registries and prospective and retrospective clinical studies.

As a biomarker-based instrument for assessing disease activity in RA, the Vectra DA test can help monitor therapeutic response to methotrexate and biologic agents and assess clinically challenging situations, such as when clinical measures are confounded by non-inflammatory pain from fibromyalgia. Vectra DA scores correlate with imaging of joint inflammation and are predictive for radiographic progression, with high Vectra DA scores being associated with more frequent and severe progression and low scores being predictive for non-progression.

In summary, the Vectra DA score is an objective measure of RA disease activity that quantifies inflammatory status. By predicting risk for joint damage more effectively than conventional clinical and laboratory measures, it has the potential to complement these measures and optimise clinical decision making.

# Introduction

The regular assessment of disease activity is the cornerstone of tight control and treat-to-target strategies in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (1). In routine clinical practice, the assessment of disease activity is primarily based on *gestalt*, with limited examination of joints and, typically, no formal or quantitative evaluation of the articular and systemic manifestations of inflammation (2).

Conventional clinical measures and indices of disease activity include subjective patient-reported and physicianreported assessments, swollen and tender joint counts, and laboratory tests (3). Patient-reported assessments have been proposed to be comparable to joint counts for assessing remission (4). Patient and physician assessments reflect both joint inflammation and subjective functional status of the patient and can be confounded by comorbidities and non-inflammatory pain, as from fibromyalgia (5, 6). Joint counts can be influenced by patient and physician subjectivity (7) and can be confounded by pre-existing joint damage, fibrotic changes, and osteoarthritis (8, 9). The conventional laboratory tests used for assessing RA disease activity, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and Creactive protein (CRP), are often normal despite demonstrable joint inflammation (10, 12). These clinical measures and the composite indices that use them, such as the 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28), require time and expertise, which has limited their adoption in routine practice.

In RA, long-term disability is driven in part by inflammation and joint damage (13), and may be affected by time to remission (14). Advanced imaging of joints by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or ultrasound is considered the *gold standard* to assess objectively the degree of synovial inflammation and os-

## Vectra DA: objective disease activity score in RA / O.G. Segurado & E.H. Sasso

teitis (15). These pathological processes drive the cumulative and irreversible joint damage that is characteristic of inadequately treated RA (16). Prediction of joint damage in RA has proven difficult because not all patients with apparently active disease demonstrate radiographic progression, and because progression is observed in patients who are in clinical remission (17).

This review will discuss the evidence supporting the utility of the Vectra<sup>®</sup> DA score as a quantitative and objective blood test for the management of patients with RA. Three key aspects of the Vectra DA score will be addressed: 1. association with synovitis and osteitis and prediction of subsequent joint damage;

2. ability to assist measurement of disease activity in patients with confounding conditions; and

3. ability to monitor response to therapies. In addition, the potential of Vectra DA to optimise drug utilisation is being investigated.

# Analytical and clinical validation and decision impact

Vectra DA is a blood test that integrates the concentrations of 12 biomarkers that were selected after an evaluation of nearly 400 potential candidates using gene expression profiling and other serological and molecular techniques (18). The Vectra DA biomarkers reflect the complexity of systemic inflammation and the biological processes occurring in RA joints, such as increased trafficking and adhesion of immune cells, vascular proliferation, and the production of destructive enzymes, tissue metabolites, and acute phase reactants. The biomarkers that were most informative in preliminary testing and met laboratory requirements of reproducibility, stability and technical feasibility were selected for the Vectra DA algorithm (19).

The following biomarkers are measured for Vectra DA in the Crescendo Bioscience CLIA- and CAP-certified clinical laboratory using an automated, multiplexed sandwich immunoassay: vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1), epidermal growth factor (EGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A), interleukin 6 (IL-6), tumour necrosis factor receptor type I (TNF-R1), matrix metalloproteinase 1 (MMP-1), matrix metalloproteinase 3 (MMP-3), human cartilage glycoprotein-39 (YKL-40), leptin, resistin, serum amyloid A (SAA), and CRP. Applied bioinformatics and modeling using serum samples of well-characterised patients with RA led to development and validation of the Vectra DA algorithm (18). The Vectra DA score, on a scale of 1 to 100, and disease activity categories of low (<30), moderate (30-44), and high (>44), is precise and reproducible (19).

The clinical validity of the Vectra DA score as an objective measure of disease activity was evaluated in a study of 371 patients from 3 cohorts from North America and Europe. Vectra DA was statistically significantly associated with DAS28 based on CRP (DAS28-CRP), the Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI), the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI) and the Routine Assessment of Patient Index Data 3 (RAPID3) in both seropositive and seronegative patients (20).

Two other studies have confirmed the clinical validity of Vectra DA to assess RA disease activity, based on evaluation of patients in early RA: the CAMERA tight-control study (21) and the BeSt study, which demonstrated a statistically significant correlation with DAS28 based on ESR (DAS28-ESR), SDAI, CDAI, and the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) Disability Index (22).

The impact of Vectra DA on clinical decision-making has been evaluated in a prospective cross-sectional study of 101 RA patients seen in rheumatology office practices. Vectra DA tests were ordered as part of routine care. Based on a questionnaire completed before and after the practitioner had seen the test result, the Vectra DA score led to changes in the treatment plans of 38% of patients with RA, with approximately half of these changes involving disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs. Despite this effect, overall drug utilisation was minimally affected. This study suggests that Vectra DA can support the clinical decision-making process (23).

# Assessment and prediction of joint damage

The clinical utility of Vectra DA is supported by evidence that the Vectra DA score is associated with measurements of joint pathology based on imaging. This evidence supports validation of the Vectra DA score as a measure of RA inflammation and establishes it as a predictor of joint damage progression. In a post hoc analysis of ASSET, a randomised, placebo-controlled trial of intravenous abatacept for treatment of methotrexate (MTX)-inadequate responders, the amount of joint inflammation seen by MRI, as synovitis or bone marrow edema (osteitis), was significantly correlated with the Vectra DA score but not with DAS28-CRP (24). Similarly, correlations have been observed between the Vectra DA score and joint inflammation detected by power Doppler ultrasound (25, 26).

Joint damage on radiographs of patients with RA is a record of the cumulative destruction to bone and cartilage caused by inflammation. Analyses of 3 prospective and 1 retrospective cohorts have shown that Vectra DA scores are predictive for radiographic progression. In a study of patients receiving ongoing DMARD therapy for established RA from Leiden, the Netherlands, Vectra DA score was associated more significantly with radiographic progression than was DAS28-CRP (Fig. 1) (27). Patients who were in remission defined by Vectra DA score <25 had a statistically significantly reduced likelihood of progression, whereas those in remission by DAS28-CRP or the stringent American College of Rheumatology (ACR)-European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Boolean criteria did not. This result illustrates that Vectra DA can detect potentially destructive disease activity when conventional clinical measures do not. Exploratory analyses demonstrated that the Vectra DA score supplemented CRP and serological status for predicting radiographic progression (27).

An association between Vectra DA scores and risk for radiographic progression was also found in the Swedish Pharmacotherapy (SWEFOT) randomised trial of tight control strategies





for MTX-naïve patients with early RA. The Vectra DA score at baseline was a significant predictor of clinically meaningful rapid radiographic progression (change of Sharp-van der Heijde score [SHS] > 5) over the first year (28), with rapid progression observed for 21%, 3%, and 0% of patients with high, moderate, or low Vectra DA scores, respectively. In addition, Vectra DA score at baseline differentiated rapid progressors from non-progressors more effectively than baseline CRP or DAS28-ESR (Fig. 2) (29). Among the 30% of patients with low CRP (<10 mg/L) at baseline, rapid progression occurred only among those with a high baseline Vectra DA score. This discordance between Vectra DA and CRP indicates that Vectra DA detected destructive disease activity when CRP did not. Multivariate analysis established that Vectra DA score was an independent predictor of rapid progression. Additional SWEFOT analyses suggested that lowering disease activity with therapy, as measured by the Vectra DA score, may lower risk for subsequent progression (30).

BeSt, a prospective study of tight-control strategies for patients with early RA, confirmed the association between Vectra DA and risk for progression. A multivariate analysis showed that Vectra DA was an independent predictor of progression (31). In a retrospective study from Japan, patients with long-standing RA and inadequate response to MTX were treated with TNF inhibitors. Radiographic progression was greatest in patients who had consecutive high Vectra DA scores despite TNF inhibition (32).

Viewed together, these 4 studies demonstrate that: 1) a low Vectra DA score is associated with infrequent progression; 2) a high Vectra DA score is associated with more frequent and more severe progression; and 3) Vectra DA is a better predictor of progression and non-progression than measures that are used to assess disease activity in routine clinical care. The results also suggest that Vectra DA may help clarify risk for progression among patients who are in clinical remission or have low clinical disease activity. Based on this evidence, Vectra DA appears to be a more sensitive measure of disease activity and a more effective discriminator of risk for joint damage than conventional clinical or laboratory measures.

#### **Resolution of clinical uncertainty**

Clinical uncertainty is a common problem for the management of patients with RA. For example, patients who appear to have little or no clinically active disease may have destructive synovitis when examined by MRI or ultrasound (17). As discussed above, the Vectra DA score can be elevated in patients with low DAS28-CRP or CRP, indicating an increased risk for joint damage. Another type of uncertainty occurs when clinical assessment is confounded by non-inflammatory pain, as from fibromyalgia, osteoarthritis, or depression. Fibromyalgia occurs in up to 20% of patients with RA, and by confounding the predominantly subjective signs and symptoms used to assess RA disease activity, may lead to costly overtreatment of RA and under-treatment of the non-inflammatory complaints (33). An accurate, objective measure is needed in such cases. CRP and ESR are objective measures, but they are in the normal range in a large portion of patients with active RA and are not well suited to the assessment of RA patients with fibromyalgia (10, 11, 13).

In a study conducted in collaboration with the Brigham Rheumatoid Arthritis Sequential Study (BRASS) registry, mean values for DAS28-CRP, CDAI, SDAI, RAPID3, patient global assessment, physician global assessment, and pain score were all approximately twice as high for patients with RA and fibromyalgia compared with RA alone. By contrast, Vectra DA and CRP did not appear to be affected by secondary fibromyalgia, as they were similar between groups. However, despite this similarity, CRP and Vectra DA detected disease activity differently because when CRP was in a range generally considered normal, which was observed in the majority of patients, Vectra DA was frequently elevated (34). This result is consistent with the Leiden and SWEFOT radiographic studies by suggesting that Vectra DA is able to detect RA disease activity when CRP does not.

#### Monitoring of therapy response

Monitoring disease activity over time is needed to assess response to conventional and biologic therapies in RA. In clinical trials, registries, and observational studies, Vectra DA has demonstrated the ability to track response in patients receiving methotrexate (MTX)



**Fig. 2.** Probability plots of radiographic progression at Year 1 for high, moderate and low disease activity patients (n=235) grouped according to baseline MBDA/Vectra DA score (A), CRP (B), DAS28 (C) and ESR (D). Each black circle represents a patient with low disease activity, red triangle-moderate disease activity and blue square-high disease activity. Horizontal dashed lines represent  $\Delta$ SHS=5 from baseline to 1 year, above which the change is considered as rapid radiographic progression ( $\Delta$ SAH>5). DAS28, disease activity score; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; MBDA, multi-biomarker disease activity (Vectra DA); SHA, Sharp-van Heijde score.

Reproduced from: HAMBARDZUMYAN K, BOLCE R, SAEVARSDOTTIR S *et al.*: Pretreatment multi-biomarker disease activity score and radiographic progression in early RA: results from the SWEFOT trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204986, published online before print 8 May 2014, with permission from BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.

with or without prednisone (21, 35); biologic agents that inhibit TNF (20, 36, 30), cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (24), and the granulocyte macrophage-colony-stimulating factor receptor (37); and the Janus kinase inhibitor tofacitinib (38).

As a representative example, in Nested-1, a prospective observational study of MTX and anti-TNF treatment conducted within the BRASS cohort, Vectra DA tracked changes in disease activity over 12 weeks (Table I) (20). The change in Vectra DA score at Week 2 was associated with DAS28-CRP change at final visit, and the area under the receiver operator characteristic (AUROC) for the ability of the change in Vectra DA score at the final study visit to differentiate ACR 50% improvement responders was significantly greater than for the change in CRP (p=0.04) (Table I) (20).

# **Conclusion and future directions**

Vectra DA offers rheumatologists an objective tool for assessing disease activity in patients with RA. By being associated with joint inflammation and subsequent radiographic progression, it offers prognostic support that can supplement the information available from conventional clinical measures of disease activity, ESR or CRP. Vectra DA appears to be unaffected by non-inflammatory pain and, as such, may be useful when clinical assessment is confounded by fibromyalgia and potentially other comorbidities. When Vectra DA is measured both at baseline and following the initiation of a treatment, it can track disease activity and discriminate responders from non-responders more effectively than CRP.

In the future, Vectra DA may prove helpful in the selection, maintenance, or switching of therapies. Vectra DA is being studied as a potential tool to select patients most likely to respond to TNF inhibition (39). Vectra DA has been compared to clinical measures of RA disease activity (21) and is being investigated as a potential criterion for tapering or withdrawing therapy and predicting flares for patients in remis-

## Vectra DA: objective disease activity score in RA / O.G. Segurado & E.H. Sasso

**Table I.** Association of changes ( $\Delta$ ) in Vectra DA algorithm score and CRP with treatmentinduced changes in clinical disease activity in the Nested-1 study (20)\*.

Clinical outcome at final visit <sup>†</sup>	$\Delta$ Vectra DA score	e $\Delta CRP^{\ddagger}$
Correlation to change in clinical disease activity, Spearman's p		
Baseline to final visit <sup>†</sup> Δ DAS28-CRP ACR-N	0.51 ( <i>p</i> <0.001) 0.45 ( <i>p</i> =0.002)	0.43 ( <i>p</i> =0.004) 0.33 ( <i>p</i> =0.027)
Discrimination of clinically-defined response, AUROC Baseline to final visit <sup>†</sup>		
DAS28-CRP response ACR50 response	0.77 ( <i>p</i> =0.002) 0.69 ( <i>p</i> =0.03)	0.68 ( <i>p</i> =0.03) 0.59 ( <i>p</i> =0.30)
Baseline to week 2 DAS28-CRP response ACR50 response	0.72 ( <i>p</i> =0.02) 0.65 ( <i>p</i> =0.11)	0.69 ( <i>p</i> =0.03) 0.51 ( <i>p</i> =0.91)

\*ACR50: ACR criteria for 50% improvement; ACR-N: American College of Rheumatology N; AU-ROC: area under the receiver operating characteristic; DAS28-CRP: 28-joint disease activity score based on C-reactive protein.

<sup>†</sup>The final visit for each patient corresponds to week 6 or 12 (last available).

<sup>‡</sup>Percent change in CRP was used for AUROC; change in log (CRP) was used for correlation analyses. Adapted from: CURTIS JR, VAN DER HELM-VAN MIL AH, KNEVEL R *et al.*: Validation of a novel multibiomarker test to assess rheumatoid arthritis disease activity. *Arthritis Care Res* 2012; 64: 1794-803. Copyright © 2012 by the American College of Rheumatology.

sion. In drug development, Vectra DA may serve as an objective measure of disease activity reflecting distinct biological processes targeted by therapeutic agents. It may also have potential as a supplementary criterion to identify patients with active RA despite a low CRP, and thereby increase the number of patients who are eligible for enrolment in clinical trials.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Sara Arzeno for editorial assistance.

#### References

- SMOLEN JS, ALETAHA D, BIJLSMA JW et al.: Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: recommendations of an international task force. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; 69: 631-7.
- PINCUS T, SEGURADO OG: Most visits of most patients with rheumatoid arthritis to most rheumatologists do not include a formal quantitative joint count. Ann Rheum Dis 2006; 65: 820-2.
- ANDERSON J, CAPLAN L, YAZDANY J et al.: Rheumatoid arthritis disease activity measures: American College of Rheumatology recommendations for use in clinical practice. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2012; 64: 640-7.
- 4. PINCUS T, YAZICI Y, BERGMAN M, SWEAR-INGEN C, HARRINGTON T: A proposed approach to recognise "near-remission" quantitatively without formal joint counts or laboratory tests: a patient self-report questionnaire routine assessment of patient index data (RAPID) score as a guide to a "continuous quality improvements". *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2006; 24(6 Suppl. 43): S60-5; quiz S66-73.
- 5. KHAN NA, SPENCER HJ, ABDA E et al.:

Determinants of discordance in patients' and physicians' rating of rheumatoid arthritis disease activity. *Arthritis Care Res* (Hoboken) 2012: 64: 206-14.

- MASRI KR, SHAVER TS, SHAHOURI SH et al.: Validity and reliability problems with patient global as a component of the ACR remission criteria as used in clinical practice. J Rheumatol 2012; 39: 1139-45.
- SOKKA T, PINCUS T: Joint counts to assess rheumatoid arthritis for clinical research and usual clinical care: advantages and limitations. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2009; 35: 713-22.
- 8. O'CONNELL JX: Pathology of the synovium. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2000; 114: 773-84.
- 9. KEEN HI, WAKEFIELD RJ, GRAINGER AJ, HENSOR EM, EMERY P, CONAGHAN PG: An ultrasonographic study of osteoarthritis of the hand: synovitis and its relationship to structural pathology and symptoms. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008; 59: 1756-63.
- 10. SOKKA T, PINCUS T: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, or rheumatoid factor are normal at presentation in 35%-45% of patients with rheumatoid arthritis seen between 1980 and 2004: analyses from Finland and the United States. *J Rheumatol* 2009; 36: 1387-90.
- WOLFE F: The many myths of erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein [editorial]. J Rheumatol 2009; 36: 1568-9.
- 12. KAY J, MORGACHEVA O, MESSING SP et al.: Clinical disease activity and acute phase reactant levels are discordant among patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: acute phase reactant levels contribute separately to predicting outcome at one year. Arthritis Res Ther 2014; 16: R40.
- SMOLEN JS, ALETAHA D: Patients with rheumatoid arthritis in clinical care. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004; 63: 221-25.
- 14. ALETAHA D, FUNOVITS J, BREEDVELD FC *et al.*: Rheumatoid arthritis joint progression in

sustained remission is determined by disease activity levels preceding the period of radiographic assessment. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009; 60: 1242-9.

- 15. EMERY P, VAN DER HEIJDE D, OSTERGAARD M et al.: Exploratory analyses of the association of MRI with clinical, laboratory and radiographic findings in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011; 70: 2126-30.
- MCINNES IB, SCHETT G: The pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 2205-19.
- 17. BROWN AK CONAGHAN PG, KARIM Z et al.: An explanation for the apparent dissociation between clinical remission and continued structural deterioration in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2008; 58: 2958-67.
- CENTOLA M, CAVET G, SHEN Y et al.: Development of a multi-biomarker disease activity test for rheumatoid arthritis. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8: e60635.
- EASTMAN PS, MANNING WC, QURESHI F et al.: Characterization of a multiplex, 12-biomarker test for rheumatoid arthritis. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2012; 70: 415-24.
- 20. CURTIS JR, VAN DER HELM-VAN MIL AH, KNEVEL R et al.: Validation of a novel multibiomarker test to assess rheumatoid arthritis disease activity. Arthritis Care Res 2012; 64: 1794-803.
- 21. BAKKER MF, CAVET G, JACOBS JW et al.: Performance of a multi-biomarker score measuring rheumatoid arthritis disease activity in the CAMERA tight control study. Ann Rheum Dis 2012; 71: 1692-7.
- 22. HIRATA S, DIRVEN L, SHEN Y et al.: A multibiomarker score measures rheumatoid arthritis disease activity in the BeSt study. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2013; 52: 1202-7.
- 23. LI W, SASSO EH, EMERLING D *et al.*: Impact of a multi-biomarker disease activity test on rheumatoid arthritis treatment decisions and therapy use. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2013; 29: 85-92
- 24. HANEY DJ, CAVET G, DUREZ P et al.: Correlation of a multi-biomarker disease activity response assessment to disease activity score 28 (C-reactive protein) response assessment and Omeract Ramris scores in a placebo-controlled rheumatoid arthritis clinical trial with abatacept (ASSET). Arthritis Rheum 2012; 64 (Suppl. 10): S913.
- 25. KRABBE S, BOLCE R, BRAHE C et al.: Validation of a multi-biomarker disease activity score in rheumatoid arthritis in relation to imaging inflammation and damage. Ann Rheum Dis 2014; 73: (Suppl. 2): 396.
- 26. MA M, GARROOD T, LI W et al.: multi-biomarker disease activity (Vectra® DA Algorithm) score is associated with power Doppler ultrasound in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in low disease activity state: the REMIRA Cohort. Ann Rheum Dis 2014; 73: (Suppl. 2): 606.
- 27. van der HELM-VAN MIL A, KNEVEL R, CAVET G et al.: An evaluation of molecular and clinical remission in rheumatoid arthritis by assessing radiographic progression. *Rheuma*tology (Oxford) 2013; 52: 839-46.
- 28. BRUYNESTEYN K, VAN DER HEIJDE D, BO-ERS M et al.: Determination of the minimal

#### Vectra DA: objective disease activity score in RA / O.G. Segurado & E.H. Sasso

clinically important difference in rheumatoid arthritis joint damage of the Sharp/van der Heijde and Larsen/Scott scoring methods by clinical experts and comparison with the smallest detectable difference. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46: 913-20.

- 29. HAMBARDZUMYAN K, BOLCE R, SAEVARS-DOTTIR S et al.: Pretreatment multi-biomarker disease activity score and radiographic progression in early RA: results from the SWEFOT trial (published online before print 8 May 2014). Ann Rheum Dis doi:10.1136/ annrheumdis-2013-204986.
- 30. HAMBARDZUMYAN K, BOLCE R, SAEVAR-SDOTTIR S et al.: In early RA patients the multi-biomarker disease activity score at different time-points is predictive of subsequent radiographic progression. Ann Rheum Dis 2014; 73 (Suppl. 2): 382-3
- 31. MARKUSSE I, DIRVEN L, VAN DEN BROEK M et al.: A multi-biomarker disease activity score for rheumatoid arthritis predicts radiographic joint damage in the BeSt study. J Rheuma-

tology 2014 Aug 15. pii: jrheum.131412.

- 32. HIRATA S, LI W, DEFRANOUX N et al.: Repeated high or low multi-biomarker disease activity (Vectra® DA algorithm) scores associated with radiographic outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. Arthritis Rheum 2013; 65 (Suppl. 10): S616-7.
- 33. TOMS J, SOUKUP T, BRADNA P et al.: Disease activity composite indices in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and concomitant fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2010, 37: 468.
- 34. LEE Y, HANEY D, ALEXANDER C et al.: Application of a multi-biomarker disease activity (Vectra<sup>®</sup> DA) score for assessing rheumatoid arthritis patients with low CRP or fibromyalgia. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72 (Suppl. 3): A612-3.
- 35. JURGENS M, BIJLSMA J, JACOBS J: Response to methotrexate plus prednisone in CAM-ERA II using a multi-biomarker disease activity test (Vectra DA) and DAS28-ESR. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72 (Suppl. 3): A395-6.

- 36. HIRATA S, LI W, DEFRANOUX N et al.: A multi-biomarker disease activity score tracks clinical response consistently in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with different anti-tumor necrosis factor therapies: A retrospective observational study. Mod Rheumatol 2014 Oct 8:1-6. [Epub ahead of print].
- 37. BURMESTER G, WEINBLATT M, MCINNES I *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of mavrilimumab in subjects with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013; 72: 1445-52.
- 38. YAMAOKA K, KUBO S, LI W et al.: Effects of tofacitinib treatment on leptin and other components of the multi-biomarker disease activity score in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73 (Suppl. 2): 507-8.
- Biomarkers of anti-TNF-α therapy efficacy in rheumatoid arthritis to define unresponsive patients (BATTER-UP) NCT01211678 [date cited 15 July 2014]. <u>http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01211678.</u>