
Anti-TNF- α therapy as an evidence-based treatment option for different clinical manifestations of psoriatic arthritis

M. Köhm^{1,2}, H. Burkhardt^{1,2}, F. Behrens^{1,2}

¹Rheumatology Department,
Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main;
²Fraunhofer IME, Projectgroup
Translational Medicine and Pharmacology
TMP at Goethe-University Frankfurt am
Main, Germany.

Michaela Köhm
Harald Burkhardt
Frank Behrens

Please address correspondence to:
Dr Frank Behrens,
Rheumatology Department,
Fraunhofer Projectgroup TMP,
c/o Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main,
Sandhöfer Allee 6,
60528 Frankfurt, Germany.
E-mail: frank.behrens@ime.fraunhofer.de

Received and accepted on September 9,
2015.

Clin Exp Rheumatol 2015; 33 (Suppl. 93):
S109-S114.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND
EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2015.

Key words: psoriatic arthritis,
anti-TNF therapy, adalimumab,
etanercept, golimumab, infliximab,
certolizumab

Competing interests: M. Köhm has
received consultancy fees from Janssen,
Pfizer, AbbVie, and MSD, and research
support from Pfizer;

H. Burkhardt has received speaker's
and consultancy fees from AbbVie, Pfizer,
MSD, and UCB, and research support
from AbbVie and Pfizer;

F. Behrens has received speaker's and
consultancy fees from Pfizer, AbbVie,
MSD, Celgene, Janssen, and UCB, and
research support from Pfizer, AbbVie,
and Janssen.

ABSTRACT

The development programmes of different TNF-blocking agents in psoriatic arthritis (PsA) not only provided substantial evidence for the therapeutic benefits of the specific treatment options, but also enabled new insights into the differential treatment effects on distinct disease manifestations. For the first time, specific robust evidence for distinctive effects on different manifestations of PsA, as a distinct entity separate from rheumatoid arthritis (RA), has been generated in a standardised way. The clearest evidence was shown for an effect on peripheral arthritis (polyarticular) with ACR20 response rates from 45 up to 58% (vs. 9–24% for placebo), and an inhibition of radiographic progression demonstrated for the first time for a treatment principle in PsA. However, as PsA does not remain confined to the peripheral joints, it was necessary to address diverse patterns of PsA-subtypes in the outcome measurements of the anti-TNF trials. Accordingly, the results of the clinical studies on anti-TNF treatment also have demonstrated efficacy on enthesitis, dactylitis and skin psoriasis, either in sub analysis of results from phase III RCTs, or in additional prospective studies.

Introduction

The development of the TNF-blockade as a treatment principle has provided a new era of evidence for therapeutic effectiveness in psoriatic arthritis (PsA), as a distinct entity apart from other rheumatic diseases. In past times, conventional synthetic DMARD-therapies for PsA-treatment were mainly based on evidence originally derived from clinical studies in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (with the exception of SASP (1)). Anti-TNF- α treatment in PsA was developed as a separate indication for PsA, and thus independent of the pre-existing data in RA.

The performance of PsA-specific clinical studies was limited by the absence of specific validated outcome criteria for PsA. For many years, the composite of PsA response criteria set (PsARC (2)) was the only available specific outcome measurement for PsA available to demonstrate effectiveness in clinical trials. Suitable PsA-specific outcome tools were lacking for other clinical manifestations of the multifaceted disease including dactylitis, enthesitis, axial disease, impairment of life quality, etc. Therefore, clinical trials in PsA were mainly designed to demonstrate differences between active compound and placebo in (polyarticular) peripheral arthritis by adopting outcome criteria from RA studies (e.g. ACR responses or DAS28). As a consequence, best evidence was generated for polyarticular (“RA-like”) manifestations of PsA, due to a bias for study inclusion of patients with a high mean score of swollen and tender joints (almost always more than 5), often not reflecting disease characteristics of patients in routine clinical care. Power calculations for other relevant manifestations such as enthesitis, dactylitis, axial involvement or skin disease, remained neglected in early anti-TNF trials for PsA. Accordingly, these studies could provide evidence only for pharmacological effect on these PsA sub phenotypes by chance, in case sufficiently high numbers of patient were available for subgroup analysis. The definition of disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) requires more than just control of signs and symptoms, but also evidence of reduction or prevention of joint damage and preservation of the structure and function of the joints (3). Anti-TNF- α agents could fulfil these DMARD-criteria in relation to PsA for the first time. In the present article, an overview will be provided of TNF- α as a target in

PsA-therapy, as well as of the efficacy of the licensed anti-TNF- α agents currently available. Evidence from phase III randomised clinical trials (RCTs) in active PsA for the main outcome criteria at the defined primary endpoints will be discussed. In addition, available evidence for the efficacy of anti-TNF- α agents for changes in signs and symptoms of other PsA manifestations like enthesitis, dactylitis and skin psoriasis will be presented. We refer to the actual ASAS recommendations (4) for the treatment of axial manifestations of PsA.

TNF- α as valuable target for therapy of distinct manifestations of PsA

TNF- α is a pro-inflammatory cytokine associated with pleiotropic effects on different cell-types (5). It is produced predominantly by macrophages, but also by other cell types involved in inflammation, such as CD4⁺ lymphocytes, NK cells, neutrophils, mast cells and others are also relevant sources. During the inflammatory process, it is overexpressed in two different forms: the soluble TNF- α (sTNF- α) and the transmembranous form (tmTNF- α). Both forms act differently within this process: tmTNF- α requires cell-to-cell contact to function, whereas sTNF- α acts at sites without contact to the TNF- α -producing cells (6).

Two different levels of TNF- α function can be distinguished: At a cellular level, TNF- α plays a role in lymphocyte and neutrophil adhesion, inhibition of haemopoiesis, stimulation of prostaglandin E₂ synthesis, and the production of other (pro-inflammatory) cytokines. At the target tissue level, TNF- α participates locally in catabolic events, such as proteoglycan breakdown, acute tubular necrosis and bone resorption (7, 8). Thus, TNF- α is involved in a number of biological processes that contribute to joint damage (including stimulation of bone resorption, inhibition of bone formation and proteoglycan synthesis as well as induction of collagen and cartilage degrading metalloproteinases) (9-12).

The pro-inflammatory intracellular signalling cascade is initiated upon interaction of TNF with its specific cell-membrane anchored receptor. Two

almost ubiquitously expressed, structurally similar but functionally distinct TNF- α receptors can be distinguished: TNF Receptor 1 (TNFR1, synonymous: p55) and TNF Receptor 2 (TNFR2, synonymous: p75). These receptors form dimers on the cell surface, thereby binding to a trimeric TNF- α molecule to induce signal transduction (7).

Soluble forms of both, p55 and p75 TNF-receptor have been identified in sera and synovial fluids of patients with rheumatic diseases. Results of studies suggest that they may act as endogenous TNF- α inhibitors (13-16). Synovial fluid analysis has demonstrated that levels of TNF- α are significantly higher in patients with PsA compared to those with osteoarthritis, but lower than in patients with RA-derived joint effusions, whereas TNF- α is undetectable in sera and synovial fluid from healthy humans (17-19). Overall, the best evidence for a role of TNF- α in joint inflammation and destruction is the capacity of TNF- α inhibitors to improve clinical symptoms and disease activity in certain forms of inflammatory arthritis and slowing or stopping progression of clinical and radiographic joint damage.

Different TNF- α inhibitors

At this time (August 2015), five different TNF- α inhibitors have been licensed for the treatment of PsA, with and without concomitant use of methotrexate: adalimumab, certolizumab, etanercept, golimumab and infliximab. In contrast to RA, a benefit of the additional use of methotrexate in anti-TNF- α therapy has not been documented from randomised clinical trials in PsA. In a systematic literature search, no benefit on clinical efficacy for the combination therapy with methotrexate was detected despite a prolonged drug survival of monoclonal anti-TNF antibodies (20). Randomised clinical trials should be performed to address this topic.

Infliximab is a chimeric, humanised, mouse anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody consisting a murine variable region and a human IgG1 constant region. Infliximab binds to both the monomeric and trimeric forms of soluble TNF- α (21). Infliximab forms stable complexes with soluble TNF- α . Each infliximab

molecule is capable of binding to two TNF- α molecules, and up to three infliximab molecules can bind to each TNF- α homotrimer. In PsA, infliximab is administered intravenously after a loading phase (week 0, 2, 6) every 6 to 8 weeks, at a dose of 5 mg/kg per body weight. Due to the intravenous administration, infliximab circulates at high initial concentrations in serum that are 13- to 40-fold greater than the peak concentrations of adalimumab or etanercept at steady state (22). Due to its structure with a murine-derived TNF binding antibody-region, infliximab is the anti-TNF-agent with the highest probability for the formation of neutralising anti-drug antibodies and thus the highest risk of anaphylactic reactions (23).

Adalimumab and golimumab are fully humanised anti-TNF-alpha monoclonal antibodies (mAb), which are similar to normal IgG1. Adalimumab is administered at 40 mg subcutaneously every other week, golimumab at 50 mg every four weeks.

Etanercept is a TNF- α receptor Fc fusion protein that binds to TNF- α and lymphotoxin. Therefore, its structure combines an extracellular portion of the human TNF-R2 (p75 TNF receptor) linked to the Fc portion (CH2 and CH3 domains) of human IgG1. Etanercept is thought to form 1:1 complexes with TNF- α trimer only and the complexes are relatively unstable compared with those formed with infliximab (20). Etanercept is administered once (50 mg) or twice weekly (25 mg), subcutaneously. Peak plasma concentrations are reached 48 to 60h after administration (24); the volume of distribution at steady state is at least as high as that for infliximab or adalimumab, which implies comparable or greater tissue penetration for etanercept. In clinical use, etanercept shows a lack of efficacy in the treatment of granulomatous diseases, such as Crohn's disease and Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (25, 26) and is less effective in the therapy of psoriatic skin disease compared to infliximab or adalimumab (27, 29). Nevertheless, autoantibody formation occurs, but less often during treatment with etanercept compared to adalimumab or infliximab (30, 31).

Table I. Overview of biochemical and clinical responses to anti-TNF- α therapies.

	Infliximab	Etanercept	Adalimumab	Golimumab	Certolizumab
Class	mAb	Fc-Fusion protein	mAb	mAb	mAb fragment
Structure	chimeric (murine-human)	human	human	human	peg human
TNF ligands	sTNF, tmTNF	sTNF, tmTNF	sTNF, tmTNF	sTNF, tmTNF	sTNF, tmTNF
tmTNF binding	+++	++	+++	No data available	+++
Administration	IV 5mg/kg per body weight every 6 to 8 weeks	SC 50mg once weekly 25mg twice weekly	SC 40mg every other week	SC 50mg every 4 weeks	SC 200mg every other week 400mg every 4 weeks
Immunogenicity Monotherapy	+++	+	+	+	+
Effectiveness on Psoriasis (skin) RCTs	+++ IMPACT (41) IMPACT2 (42)	++ Mease <i>et al.</i> (44, 45)	+++ ADEPT (47) Genovese <i>et al.</i> (48)	+++ Go-REVEAL (50)	+++ RAPID-PsA (51)

mAb: monoclonal antibody; IV: intravenous; SC: subcutaneous.

Certolizumab is a Fab fragment of an anti-TNF- α IgG1 mAb. Thus the Fab-domain is lacking the Fc portion, and instead is covalently attached to two cross-linked 20 kDa chains of polyethylene glycol. Due to its structure with only a single Fab domain, certolizumab should not have the capacity to crosslink tmTNF. However, it has been found to induce reverse signalling in cells leading to tmTNF inhibition (32). Infliximab, adalimumab, etanercept and certolizumab pegol bind equally well to tmTNF- α on tmTNF- α -transfected cells (32), but exhibit weaker affinities compared to their interactions with sTNF- α (33).

In studies of safety, all of the anti-TNF-agents increase the overall risk of infections (34, 35), particularly reactivation of tuberculosis (35, 36). Etanercept with its reduced effect on granulomatous diseases appears to be associated with a lower risk for reactivation of tuberculosis compared to mAbs (37). The potential threat of a weakened immunosurveillance of malignancies by TNF-blocking agents has been carefully monitored in respective registries. Increased rates of lymphoma were initially reported in patients treated with TNF- α inhibitors (38). However, there is evidence that the severity of the active underlying immune-mediated disease is responsible for the increased lymphoma risk in RA, independent from its therapy (39, 40). Non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) also appears to

Table II. Overview of approval status of anti-TNF- α therapies for psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis.

Substance	Approved for PsA	Approved for Pso	Effectiveness on Skin from PsA studies
Infliximab	yes	yes	PASI 75 (week 14): 64% (42)
Etanercept	yes	yes	PASI 75 (week 24): 23% (45)
Adalimumab	yes	yes	PASI 75 (week 48): 58% (55)
Golimumab	yes	no	PASI 75 (week 14): 40% (50)
Certolizumab	yes	no	PASI 75 (week 24): 47% (51)

be associated with anti-TNF- α therapy, especially when the patient has been previously treated with phototherapy for skin psoriasis (41). Other adverse events that are associated with anti-TNF- α treatment include systemic lupus erythematosus-like syndromes and demyelinating diseases (42).

Evidence for efficacy of different anti-TNF- α agents

Infliximab

The efficacy and safety of infliximab in active PsA was documented in two major placebo-controlled randomised clinical trials (RCTs), the IMPACT study (43) and the IMPACT 2 study (44). In these studies, doses of 5 mg/kg per body weight were compared with placebo in more than 300 patients in a randomised setting. The primary endpoint of the IMPACT study was the ACR20 response at week 16, which was seen in 45% of the patients in the infliximab-treatment group compared with 10% in the control group. In IMPACT 2, infliximab treatment resulted

in an ACR20 response in 58% of the patients at week 14 (primary endpoint IMPACT 2) compared with 11% of control patients. The PsARC response criteria were met in 75% (IMPACT) and 77% (IMPACT 2) of infliximab-treated patients compared with 21% and 27% of control patients. According to the chosen primary endpoints, infliximab showed highly significant changes in comparison to placebo. In addition, in these studies, infliximab demonstrated high capacity to inhibit radiographic progression as well as efficacy against enthesitis and dactylitis. A significant reduction of PASI was reported in the PsA-RCTs but also from Psoriasis RCTs (45).

Etanercept

The efficacy and safety of etanercept in active PsA was reported by Mease *et al.* (46, 47) in two major placebo-controlled randomised clinical trials (RCTs) which included more than 260 patients. Etanercept was administered subcutaneously using a dose of 25mg

	Infliximab (IMPACT ⁴¹)	Etanercept (Mease et al. ⁴⁴ , PRESTA ⁴⁵)	Adalimumab (ADEPT ⁴⁷)	Golimumab (Go-Reveal ⁴⁶)	Certolizumab (RAPID-PsA ⁵¹)
ACR20 response [%]					
PsARC response [%]					
Radiographic Progression					
Entesitis	Infliximab: 22% (W14), 20% (W24) Placebo: 34% (W14), 37% (W24) % of patients with enthesitis	Etanercept (PRESTA): 73.7% (week 12) IMPACT Index % improvement to Baseline	Modified Total Sharp Score (TTS) ADEPT: improvement in ADA-group but no significant changes IMPACT Index	Modified Total Sharp Score (TTS) Golimumab (50mg, week 14): 50% Placebo: 0% Modified MASES Median change from baseline	Modified Total Sharp Score (mTSS) Certolizumab (200mg): -2 (week 24) vs Placebo: -1.1 LEEDS Enthesitis Index LEI mean change from baseline
Dactylitis	Infliximab: 18% (W14), 12% (W24) Placebo: 30% (W14), 34% (W24) No of patients with ≥ 1 dactylitis digit (%)	Etanercept (PRESTA) 74.3% (weeks 12) scored 0-3 % improvement to baseline	ADEPT: n.s. Gladman et al.: significant change % of dactylitis in ≥ 4 digits of hand and feet	Golimumab (50mg, week 14): 76% Placebo: 0% No of patients with dactylitis/no assessed (%); median change from BL	Certolizumab (200mg): -40.7 (week 24) Placebo: -22 LEEDS DACTYLITIS INDEX Mean change from baseline
Skin PASI75	Infliximab (week 16): 86% Placebo: 12%	Etanercept (week 12): 23% Placebo: 3%	Adalimumab (week 24): 59% Placebo: 1%	Golimumab (50mg): 40% (week 14) Golimumab (100mg): 58% (week 14) Placebo: 3%	Certolizumab (200mg): 62.2% (week 24) Placebo: 15.1%

Fig. 1. Overview of efficacy of the different anti-TNF- α therapies.

twice weekly. Significant relief at week 12 (primary endpoint ACR20 response) was demonstrated with an ACR20 response in 58% in the etanercept group compared with 15% in patients control and a PsARC response of 72% (etanercept) compared with 31% in the control group. Inhibition of radiographic progression also was greater in patients treated with etanercept versus control patients. No significant efficacy was reported for enthesitis and dactylitis in these RCTs. However, in subsequent PRESTA-study (48), in which administration of 50 mg once weekly was compared with a dose of 50 mg etanercept twice weekly over a 6 month observational period (no placebo control), improvement was demonstrated in dactylitis and enthesitis. A significant reduction of PASI was reported in the PsA-RCTs as well as in psoriasis RCTs (45).

Adalimumab

The efficacy and safety of adalimumab in active PsA was reported in the ADEPT-study (48) and by Genovese *et al.* (49), including more than 400 patients. Adalimumab was administered at 40mg every other week, subcutaneously. The ACR 20 response, one of the primary endpoints, was met at week 12 in 58% of the patients taking adalimumab and in 14% of control patients. In the Genovese *et al.* study, 39% of the patients in the adalimumab group met ACR20 criteria versus 16% in the control group. Adalimumab also resulted in significant inhibition of radiographic progression compared with a control group at week 24. A trend towards a reduction of disease severity, of enthesitis and dactylitis was seen, but was not statistically significant. In addition, open-label study by Gladman *et al.* (51) documented a favourable effect on reduction of dactylitis. Significant reduction of PASI was reported in the PsA-RCTs as well as the psoriasis RCTs (45).

Golimumab

Golimumab was documented to have efficacy in more than 400 patients with PsA in the Go-Reveal placebo-controlled RCT (52). Two doses (50mg and 100 mg every 4 weeks) were tested. The pooled response to golimumab

for the primary endpoint at week 14, ACR 20 response, was met in 49% of all patients, 51% at 50mg dose, 45% at 100mg dose compared to 9% in the placebo group. A significant improvement in the enthesitis score was observed at both dosages. No significant differences between placebo and golimumab were seen in dactylitis scores at weeks 12 and 24 for 50 mg, but a significantly greater improvement in severity score was reported at a 100mg dose. A significant reduction of PASI was reported from in the PsA-RCTs as well as the psoriasis RCTs (45).

Certolizumab pegol (Cimzia®)

Certolizumab pegol, as the pegylated anti-TNF- α -Fab fragment was efficacious with regard to the primary endpoint, ACR20 response at week 12, of the RAPID-RCT performed in more than 400 patients with active PsA (53). Certolizumab was administered at a loading dose of 400 mg at weeks 0, 2 and 4 followed by 200 mg every 2 weeks or 400 mg every four weeks. ACR20 was met by 58% of the patients in the 200 mg dose group and by 51.9% in the 400 mg treatment group, compared with 24.3% in the control group. A statistically significant response, in enthesitis and dactylitis, was seen at week 24. A significant reduction of PASI also was reported in both treatment groups in PsA-RCTs and psoriasis RCTs (45). Moreover, in a sub-group analysis within the study, certolizumab demonstrated comparable efficacy to that of the anti-TNF- α therapy to which the patients had been exposed previously.

Summary

TNF- α inhibitors are the first drugs to be approved for PsA, showing significant efficacy in RCTs, not only in peripheral arthritis, but also in inhibiting radiographic progression, dactylitis, enthesitis and skin psoriasis. The evidence level for the primary outcome (ACR20 response rate) was comparable for each compound, although, some differences were noted for extraarticular manifestations of PsA, primarily due to the different study designs and numbers of patients included over time. Additionally, in an indirect com-

parison, no relevant differences could be demonstrated between the different treatments (54). In a recently published update for GRAPPA review, the number of patients needed to treat to achieve ACR20 response for peripheral arthritis, was estimated as 3 for each of the licensed anti-TNF- α agents (55).

References

1. CLEGG DO, REDA DJ, MEJIAS E *et al.*: Comparison of sulfasalazine and placebo in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. A Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996 Dec; 39: 2013-20.
2. MEASE PJ, GOFFE BS, METZ J, VANDERSTOEP A, FINCK BK, BURGE DJ: Etanercept in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000; 356: 385-90.
3. FURST D, UptoDate - Remission Criteria for Rheumatoid Arthritis; <https://www.uptodate.com>
4. KILTZ U, SIEPER J, BRAUN J: ASAS recommendations on the use of TNF inhibitors for patients with axial spondyloarthritis: evaluation of the 2010 update in the German-speaking area. *Z Rheumatol* 2013; 72: 81-8.
5. BRADLEY JR: TNF-mediated inflammatory disease. *J Pathol* 2008; 214: 149-60.
6. PEREZ C, ALBERT I, DEFAY K, ZACHARIADES N, GOODING L, KRIEGLER M: A nonsecretable cell surface mutant of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) kills by cell-to-cell contact. *Cell* 1990; 63: 251-8.
7. BEUTLER BA: The role of tumor necrosis factor in health and disease. *J Rheumatol* 1999; 26 (Suppl. 57): 16-21.
8. BAZZONI F, BEUTLER B: The tumor necrosis factor ligand and receptor families. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 334: 1717-25.
9. SAKLATVALA J: Tumour necrosis factor α stimulates resorption and inhibits synthesis of proteoglycan in cartilage [letter]. *Nature* 1986; 322: 547-9.
10. BERTOLINI DR, NEDWIN GE, BRINGMAN TS, SMITH DD, MUNDY GR: Stimulation of bone resorption and inhibition of bone formation in vitro by human tumour necrosis factors. *Nature* 1986; 319: 516-18.
11. SHINMEI M, MASUDA K, KIKUCHI T, SHIMOMURA Y: The role of cytokines in chondrocyte mediated cartilage degradation. *J Rheumatol* 1989; 6 (Suppl. 18): 32-4.
12. DAYER JM, BEUTLER B, CERAMI A: Cachectin/tumor necrosis factor stimulates collagenase and prostaglandin E2 production by human synovial cells and dermal fibroblasts. *J Exp Med* 1985; 162: 2163-8.
13. PESCHON JJ, TORRANCE DS, STOCKING KL, GLACCUM MB, OTTEN C, WILLIS CR: TNF receptor-deficient mice reveal divergent roles for p55 and p75 in several models of inflammation. *J Immunol* 1998; 160: 943-52.
14. COPE AP, ADERKA D, DOHERTY M *et al.*: Increased levels of soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors in the sera and synovial fluid of patients with rheumatic diseases. *Arthritis Rheum* 1992; 35: 1160-9.
15. ROUX-LOMBARD P, PUNZI L, HASLER F *et*

- al.: Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors in human inflammatory synovial fluids. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993; 36: 485-9.
16. PARTSCH G, WAGNER E, LEEB BF, DUNKY A, STEINER G, SMOLEN JS: Upregulation of cytokine receptors sTNF-R55, sTNF-R75, and sIL-2R in psoriatic arthritis synovial fluid. *J Rheumatol* 1998; 25: 105-10.
 17. PARTSCH G, STEINER G, LEEB BF, DUNKY A, BRÖLL H, SMOLEN JS: Highly increased levels of tumor necrosis factor- α and other proinflammatory cytokines in psoriatic arthritis synovial fluid. *J Rheumatol* 1997; 24: 518-23.
 18. HUSBY G, WILLIAMS RC JR: Synovial localization of tumor necrosis factor in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Autoimmun* 1988; 1: 363-71.
 19. DELEURAN BW, CHU CQ, FIELD M *et al.*: Localization of interleukin-1 α , type 1 interleukin-1 receptor and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist in the synovial membrane and cartilage/pannus junction in rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1992; 31: 801-9.
 20. BEHRENS F, CAÑETE JD, OLIVIERI I, VAN KUIJK AW, MCHUGH N, COMBE B: Tumour necrosis factor inhibitor monotherapy vs combination with MTX in the treatment of PsA: a systematic review of the literature. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2015; 54: 915-26.
 21. SCALLON B, CAI A, SOLOWSKI N *et al.*: Binding and functional comparisons of two types of tumor necrosis factor antagonists. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002; 301: 418-26.
 22. NESTOROV I: Clinical pharmacokinetics of TNF antagonists: how do they differ? *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 34: 12-8.
 23. BAERT F, NOMAN M, VERMEIRE S *et al.*: Influence of immunogenicity on the long-term efficacy of infliximab in Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348: 601-8.
 24. ZHOU H: Clinical pharmacokinetics of etanercept: a fully humanized soluble recombinant tumor necrosis factor receptor fusion protein. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2005; 45: 490-7.
 25. SANDBORN WJ, HANAUER SB, KATZ S *et al.*: Etanercept for active Crohn's disease: a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Gastroenterology* 2001; 121: 1088-94.
 26. UTZ JP, LEMPER AH, KALRA S *et al.*: Etanercept for the treatment of stage II and III progressive pulmonary sarcoidosis. *Chest* 2003; 124: 177-85.
 27. LEONARDI CL, POWERS JL, MATHESON RT *et al.*: Etanercept as monotherapy in patients with psoriasis. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 349: 2014-22.
 28. GOTTLIEB AB, EVANS R, LI S *et al.*: Infliximab induction therapy for patients with severe plaque-type psoriasis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2004; 51: 534-42.
 29. GORDON KB, LANGLEY RG, LEONARDI C *et al.*: Clinical response to adalimumab treatment in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis: double-blind, randomized controlled trial and open-label extension study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2006; 55: 598-606.
 30. DE RYCKE L, BAETEN D, KRUIHOF E, VAN DEN BOSCH F, VEYS EM, DE KEYSER F: Infliximab, but not etanercept, induces IgM anti-double-stranded DNA autoantibodies as main antinuclear reactivity: biologic and clinical implications in autoimmune arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 2192-201.
 31. ATZENI F, TURIEL M, CAPSONI F, DORIA A, MERONI P, SARZI-PUTTINI P: Autoimmunity and anti-TNF- α agents. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2005; 1051: 559-69.
 32. NESBITT A, FOSSATI G, BERGIN M *et al.*: Mechanism of action of certolizumab pegol (CDP870): *in vitro* comparison with other anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha agents. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2007; 13: 1323-32.
 33. ARORA T, PADAKIR, LIU L *et al.*: Differences in binding and effector functions between classes of TNF antagonists. *Cytokine* 2009; 45: 124-31.
 34. LISTING J, STRANGFELD A, KARY A *et al.*: Infections in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with biologic agents. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 3403-12.
 35. ASKLING J, FORED CM, BRANDT L *et al.*: Risk and case characteristics of tuberculosis in rheumatoid arthritis associated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists in Sweden. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 1986-92.
 36. CARMONAL, GÓMEZ-REINO JJ, RODRÍGUEZ-VALVERDE V *et al.*: Effectiveness of recommendations to prevent reactivation of latent tuberculosis infection in patients treated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 1766-72.
 37. CANTINI F, NICCOLI L, GOLETTI D: Tuberculosis risk in patients treated with non-anti-tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) targeted biologics and recently licensed TNF- α inhibitors: data from clinical trials and national registries. *J Rheumatol* 2014; 91 (Suppl.): 56-64.
 38. KHANNAD, MCMAHON M, FURST DE: Safety of tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonists. *Drug Saf* 2004; 27: 307-24.
 39. BAECKLUND E, ASKLING J, ROSENQUIST R, EKBOM A, KLARESKOG L: Rheumatoid arthritis and malignant lymphomas. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2004; 16: 254-61.
 40. BAECKLUND E, ILIADOU A, ASKLING J *et al.*: Association of chronic inflammation, not its treatment, with increased lymphoma risk in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 54: 692-701.
 41. VAN LÜMIG PP, MENTING SP, VAN DEN REEK JM *et al.*: An increased risk of non-melanoma skin cancer during TNF-inhibitor treatment in psoriasis patients compared to rheumatoid arthritis patients probably relates to disease-related factors. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2015; 29: 752-60.
 42. COPE AP, LONDEI M, CHU N *et al.*: Chronic exposure to tumor necrosis factor (TNF) *in vitro* impairs the activation of T cells through the T cell receptor/CD3 complex; reversal *in vivo* by anti-TNF antibodies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Clin Invest* 1994; 94: 749-60.
 43. ANTONI CE, KAVANAUGH A, KIRKHAM B *et al.*: Sustained benefits of infliximab therapy for dermatologic and articular manifestations of psoriatic arthritis: results from the infliximab multinational psoriatic arthritis controlled trial (IMPACT). *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 1227-36. Erratum in: *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 2951.
 44. ANTONI C, KRUEGER GG, DE VLAM K *et al.*: Infliximab improves signs and symptoms of psoriatic arthritis: results of the IMPACT 2 trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; 64: 1150-7.
 45. BOEHNCKE WH, ALVAREZ MARTINEZ D, SOLOMON JA, GOTTLIEB AB: Safety and efficacy of therapies for skin symptoms of psoriasis in patients with psoriatic arthritis: a systematic review. *J Rheumatol* 2014; 41: 2301-5.
 46. MEASE PJ, GOFFE BS, METZ J, VANDERSTOEP A, FINCK B, BURGE DJ: Etanercept in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000; 356: 385-90.
 47. MEASE PJ, KIVITZ AJ, BURCH FX *et al.*: Etanercept treatment of psoriatic arthritis: safety, efficacy, and effect on disease progression. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004; 50: 2264-72.
 48. STERRY W, ORTONNE JP, KIRKHAM B *et al.*: Comparison of two etanercept regimens for treatment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis: PRESTA randomised double blind multicentre trial. *BMJ* 2010; 340: c147.
 49. MEASE PJ, GLADMAN DD, RITCHLIN CT *et al.*: Adalimumab for the treatment of patients with moderately to severely active psoriatic arthritis: results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 3279-89.
 50. GENOVESE MC, MEASE PJ, THOMSON GT *et al.*: Safety and efficacy of adalimumab in treatment of patients with psoriatic arthritis who had failed disease modifying antirheumatic drug therapy. *J Rheumatol* 2007; 34: 1040-50. Erratum in: *J Rheumatol* 2007; 34: 1439.
 51. GLADMAN DD; ACCLAIM STUDY INVESTIGATORS, SAMPALIS JS, ILLOUZ O, GUÉRETTE B: Responses to adalimumab in patients with active psoriatic arthritis who have not adequately responded to prior therapy: effectiveness and safety results from an open-label study. *J Rheumatol* 2010; 37: 1898-906.
 52. KAVANAUGH A, MCINNES I, MEASE P *et al.*: Golimumab, a new human tumor necrosis factor alpha antibody, administered every four weeks as a subcutaneous injection in psoriatic arthritis: Twenty-four-week efficacy and safety results of a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009; 60: 976-86.
 53. MEASE PJ, FLEISCHMANN R, DEODHAR AA *et al.*: Effect of certolizumab pegol on signs and symptoms in patients with psoriatic arthritis: 24-week results of a Phase 3 double-blind randomised placebo-controlled study (RAPID-PsA). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014; 73: 48-55.
 54. THORLUND K, DRUYTS E, AVIÑA-ZUBIETA JA, MILLS EJ: Anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) drugs for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis: an indirect comparison meta-analysis. *Biologics* 2012; 6: 417-27.
 55. COATES LC, KAVANAUGH A, RITCHLIN CT; GRAPPA TREATMENT GUIDELINE COMMITTEE: Systematic review of treatments for psoriatic arthritis: 2014 update for the GRAPPA. *J Rheumatol* 2014; 41: 2273-6.
 56. GLADMAN DD, MEASE PJ, RITCHLIN CT *et al.*: Adalimumab for long-term treatment of psoriatic arthritis: Forty-eight week data from the adalimumab effectiveness in psoriatic arthritis trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007; 56: 476-88.